

10/16/77

Benn Jones Jr.  
Editor  
The Continuing Inquiry  
P.O. Box 1140  
Midlothian, Texas 76065

Dear Benn,

Leafing through the revised edition of *Forgive My Grief III*,  
for which I thank you, I came across the anomaly of

"Number 60" on page 56 preceding "Number 59" on page

67. And on the unnumbered page facing 56, I puzzled

over the caption below the picture: "Appears to be man with  
rifle on top of building as Presidential" What's missing?

Who took the picture? Where? When?

Ah, that Jones, I thought. Then I read "Number 60," and

when I read the last of the four paragraphs my interest  
quickerened. Crawford, you wrote, "died in a mysterious

plane crash" in Texas in 1969. Others died with him.

They, apparently, had no connection with the assassination of president Kennedy. But Crawford, he did.

↳ Crawford was a homosexual and a close friend of Jack Ruby. Ruby carried Crawford's name and phone number in his pocket at all times" (How did you know that?). Crawford was also a life-time friend of Buell Wesley Frazier, the neighbor who took Oswald to work that fatal morning of November 22, 1963. Crawford and Frazier grew up together in Humble, Texas." Now, that's something. But you let it rest there.

↳ Why didn't you analyze the bearing of what you wrote on the role of Ruth Paine in steering Oswald to the TSBD and Frazier?

↳ More importantly, why didn't you correlate this

information with O'Toole's investigation of  
Frazier, in *The Assassination Tapes* (Penthouse Press Ltd, N.Y., 1973;  
pp 189-206 - Finding Frazier, plus other index references)?

Correlation would lend support to the testimony of TSB  
shipping worker Dougherty who says Oswald enters the building  
on 11/22/63 without a package; and to Oswald's denial  
of the curtain-rod story.

And that, my negligent continuing inquirer, would have  
enabled you to expose Mark Lane as an unscrupulous  
opportunist whose mountebank performances on lecture  
platforms with the improbabilities of Frazier's packaged  
package story nevertheless gave credence to the  
Commission's acceptance of the validity of Frazier's package,  
because Lane's lawyerisms did not specifically challenge it  
as an invention in a frame up, did not cite it in

support of Oswald's innocence in general and his  
denial of the curtain rod story in particular.

It is not too late. Why don't you ask one of your  
contributors to undertake this useful research?

Hopefully,

Thomas Stamm  
2705 Bainbridge Ave  
Bronx, NY 10458