

April 30, 77

Dear Sylvia,

The text of Peter Dale Scott's memorandum to the government, the establishment, the press, the structure, the enemy, that he will not speak his disburse of the conspiratorial deaths from of government policy with respect to the Vietnam War who no more than a carefully document revelation of misdeeds, is to be found in "Government By Gossip", Assassination Company, Thomas From Dallas To Today, Edited by Sid Blumenthal and Shavon Yagizian of the Assassination Information Bureau, & produced by Philip Agee; Signet paperback 1976, p. 169.

The back-cover is headlined "links in the chain of conspirators!" Six typewritten letters underneath. #3 is "The Dallas Connection with Vietnam." #1, "Conspirators" links 3 "Part 5. & Part 2"

2  
The Vietnam War. Discover pp 152-187 incl.

L. On p. 152 "The President was considering the prospect (15) of debate with the Soviet Union, accommodation with China, an approach to China, and gradual deescalation of the war in Vietnam. This last issue was the general one, all of the others turned on it... Almost immediately upon assuming office, Johnson pursued the 5,000 ton barge ship's Kennedy had taken toward deescalation in Vietnam. Kennedy's murder... A definite shift of view from the place. This was the price paid by some of JFK's death " (E. S. in two, to view, possible, from Ramparts magazine, for which copy right was taken in 1973. This note closely with "Peter Dale Scott... Campbell, spokeswoman for foreign policy debate within the Kennedy Administration and the way in which it was perceived by the President's administration

Scott's opinion from her perspective: "Accidentally or not, the assassination of John F. Kennedy, on 11/22/63

policy in Problems ...

Leff's conclusion: "his study has focused on the impact of the Kennedy administration on U.S. foreign policy, and particularly on the symbolically (1) significant and far-reaching reversal of Kennedy's last Vietnam withdrawal initiative between November 30 and 24, 1963. It would be good (1) followed (1.1) to conclude (1) that these foreign policy changes were the motive (no emphasis) for the assassination, as well as the result of it." Why? Because "we have not, for example, looked at the specific political consequences of the assassination in the domestic area ... " (p. 69)

Empire and commerce. He often will a strong suggestion that a turning point in the evolution of foreign policy with respect to a business of the world in social progress imperialism and Soviet imperialism and national socialism on some with comparison sharp.

You will notice she does not use the word "inspiration".

To do so would exclude "accident" as an explanation;

never accident was indeed inspiration and we

have an initial clue to the character of Scott's

position.

In keeping with this prevailing concept of an

approach to history is the conclusion that

the reversal of the Vietnam withdrawal policy

set by Kennedy shortly before his death is an

accomplished by South himself as the obvious and

only immediate triggering cause of the murders,

which explains its urgency, a "symbolically"

significant. Symbols of what? Scott carefully

did not say, just as he carefully avoided

discussing the equally obvious connection of the

murder of Diem in the man who was not taking

secretly with the North but space with the murders

To be sure, none of them is either symbolically or  
 actually important, if one regards the attitudes  
 of the head of the American imperialist state as  
 the general of its power as a "mode" of power  
 to be worked by ballistic, medical, explosives,  
 terrorism, steamships, investigations, and  
 evidence considered from a standpoint  
 of the "principles" which make for everything  
 "great", and also from the alienated bourgeois  
 approach to society as a sum of separate  
~~and separate~~ "Machines" with an immense,  
 all-encompassing, alienated individual's making  
 arbitrary distinction of means and fact, wholly  
 irresponsible with respect to obligations to  
 history.

When we left your house and walked  
 towards the subway, I asked Bergson if he would

6  
The art examination of President Kennedy. He said no.  
Then I asked why he used "see" with respect to  
the examination of President Kennedy. He said he  
did not know. And the subject was stopped. But I  
had taken a parking of his thought.

Both examinations are historic events. Forensic  
and other physical evidence had non-identical  
importance in explaining them. At some periods the  
evidence became less important. Scientific gaps or  
theoretical had analysis based on historical evidence.

The problems of evidence in the case of a case of  
murder, needs in the forensic, murder and other  
murders there. There are who would have had a

So mystery has no case. Except to move  
with the event which includes not only its  
concerns but general but its ongoing consequences

It is moved but in the wrong direction. He did  
originally, was a post-hoc of the product he did not

P. H. A. ...

prosecution - for the price: the deliberately unsent  
motivation for Kennedy's murder. He presented  
it in context of a hot Vietnam issue. No one,

no one, no one paid attention. "Carter" absorbed

by evidence which enticed them to a fair

purchase, reputation, and money, and <sup>for</sup> who in

emergence, so he had the sympathy of the

democrats, assassination would be a sinners,

blamefully ignored Scott's history. entailed him, quot

as they ignored, and for the same reason, for

years, the decisive importance of the September

film, and the Select. O'Neill receipt ~~from~~

missile removed from Kennedy's body.

[By the way, if you intend to purchase the <sup>but</sup> my pen

please bear in mind that the Carter-Prescott-

See him in my report of 1/20/83 for more detail  
received in Omaha. ... 10 150 1 100

means Bursley had knowledge of the existence  
 of the S-D receipt and perhaps the information  
 from his report, and what provides reason for not  
 calling Bursley, S-D as witnesses. As a result,  
 it is impossible to develop the actual performance  
 of the receipt without referring Bursley and his  
 means of apprehending the mechanics of the frame up.  
 That's, that part of it which began in Carrol and  
 Hospital. Included matter the so-called receipt;  
 just since it has a place in it makes true (unless)  
 or (more likely) false in which case it has an even  
 greater significance.

But back to Sect. 5. It is he regarded the implications  
 of his theories toward regarding his evidence  
 cases and spoke him to other people, or it was made  
 plain to him. What's the preachers' symmetrical  
 difference? He did what Epstein and many others  
 did. Some in Kansas. That's the same. There's what



a probable caricature of a potential man; in  
 comparison with whom <sup>Kathman</sup> is a paragon of  
 honesty and integrity. Al Robertson knows what  
 Kathman stands for, because for the time up to  
 a word which makes his left-hand seat share her.  
 So seat took a hit in his hands. If necessary, he  
 will take a hit.

Even chairs the "entire." Not normally, physical  
 fear which is relevant. But moral fear, fear  
 to develop implications which make the important.  
 Can you imagine Scott, for example, was a fear  
 on the part of them to accuse Ford when he  
 was president of being an accessory after the fact  
 to the murders in Dallas in 1963? Or of heading a

Government of assassinations in which top priorities were  
 developed by Allen (Rosen), Casper, (Wheeler), Bremer, (L)

10

Atwood and Ford (Dallas)?

Thomson