Mark G.Echhoff<br>Ateing Directer<br>Civil Arehives Division<br>National Arehives and Records Sesviee<br>Washingten DC 20408

## Dear Mr Eckhoff

Once mere thanks are due you for the material you sent mend for your letter of June 6. Unfoxtranately, the need of the truth secker to authenticate the histomical reeord 18 endless and ones agein it is neeessary to tax your pationee and courtesy with now vequestes.

The batek of page 23, the latt page, of the transeript of Warren cemtrasten execubive sescion of December 6,1963 bears a stamped netations "Gepyyfrem the National Archives, Hecord Group No. 272"" aid, under 14 , the handindtten notation: "pecember 6,2963 Trangcript." The bets ex plese 33 of pages $23-33$ of the transextpt of the aescien of Decesber 16,1963 beara the samite gtamped notation and an appropriate handinitten notation of the date and page nos. But nedther set of pages, unlike the transeript of oxecutive sesston of January 22,1964 , for example, bitars any notation, stampeat or handurittel, stating it hay been deciassified. What this an oyeretght? or is it posidible chese pages were never clagaified at A1 trid were Hithheld frow researen for other reasons?

Two other matters are nore 3 mporthant. Authorbletty of the transexipt of the executive seaplen ef January 22,1964 recently rev. Lessed for research after having been ciasminied for more than Sen yeare, is questionable begave (a) the officind reporter's pnotes, ${ }^{*}$ taken on Januavy 22,1964 were not transeribed unt1 "reetntly:" (b) the transeript was "prepared" by spmecne other then the ordghal reportert (e) the reporter is net identiried; (d) the identities of 1 A ; "M, " and "Sides," in the transeript are unkeva, "A" and won'having been present and parbielpabing in the sessiunf and 10 , cover page of the transertpt, identifying time and place of the sesbien and liating the comiseioners in attendance has not been wad all that is avallable is a "mip of papur flied with the repertep" s notes (whieh) apparenthy indieates" the presence of five comismienerst identiby of the individual who mede and Piled that "glip of paper" not being established. Is it possible to obtain a Xerox copy of that piece of phper? can you auggest means of identifying the original reportar? And the transeriber? And the dete of transexiption?

Not to know the "total number of excentive sessions of the commisaion means the historical recerd is incomplete, and is, noreover, incuna on whieh suspielon will feed in ironie punishaent of the Compission, reated ostensibly to dispel ruarer and establish trath. Is there nothing in the Arehives to indieate the responsible comisaion staffer who can establish the number of executive sessions the Warren Comidsion held?

In anticlpation of youx helpfal reply, I am

