Dear Tom :

Thank you for your "memo on a dramatis persona minor." I am returning it to you because I can appreciate a writer's need for carbon copies of his work.

It is uncanny how our two minds travelled in parallel directions after we each independently learned of the Burkley death certificate. It is also fortunate that we were able to share our views over the telephone. This adds weight to my conviction (already buttressed by the available testimony and documentation) that we have at last arrived at a suitable framework within which to undertake a new and more meaningfully structured study of the medical evidence in its entirety.

Needless to say, I concur in the validity of the points you raise, and I congratulate you on the thought-provoking manner in which they are set forth.

In 1971 I had occasion to visit the Georgetown University medical school. Part of my itinerary was the cadaver room. As strong as I thought I was, I became weak-kneed and queasy at what I saw. I often think back to that visit, and the memory of it gives rise to a grudging admiration for the evil genius which lay behind the JFK medical cover-up. Surely, whoever ordered it (Galloway or higher-up) knew how distasteful the subject of an autopsy is to laymen, and how easily led people are by portentious expert testimony, now matter how unfounded it is.

This is a sad subject, and a source of frustration for all of us who have bothered to read and ask questions. Hopefully, through the collective efforts of people like us, we will not have to endure this burden too much longer.

Again, thank you for sending me your material.

incerely,

Roger Feinman

Tom Stamm 2705 Bainbridge Avenue Bronx, New York 10458

enc.