

4/3/75

Dear Sylvia,

An interesting bit of fallout from the peccadillo research, from the ghost of Lifton. Look at his slightest errata sheet.

There he advised the unlucky subscribers he had made two errors.

One was the omission from the table of contents of a listing for the transcript of Feb 24, 1964 which he included in the body

of the volume after the session of March 16. The other error

he avowed was the duplication of page 65 in the transcript

of the session ^{of Dec 5, 1963} appearing as "labeled page 20 (in the book, as

printed." But Lifton did not duplicate page 65. That

page was one "withheld from research" as is noted

on the ^{otherwise} blank page following page 42 - "43-68"!

Therefore, when Lifton "duplicated" page 65 he was

duplicating classified material.

The notation on the otherwise blank page following page 42 describes the material on the withheld pages, 43-68, as relating to the "employment of personnel by the Commission." But the page "duplicated" by Lifton consists mostly of a discussion by Dulles of the CIA in relation to the "Soviet" and the "Russians" in relation to Oswald.

I propose that the Assassination Investigation Bureau and Lane's new Citizens Commission of Inquiry investigate Lifton. He can be accused of inaccuracy ^{and} conspiracy with himself to confuse both the government and its critics.

If you have a recent address for him I'll write to him. And do you think I should send Weisberg a copy of the letter?

to Gene Smith? As a barbed courtesy? Should I
trouble his omniscience with the Lipton fallout?

Specter would be junk soup in a debate with an
adversary armed with knowledge of the evidence and
insight into its genesis and evolution.

Thomas