

5/3/74

Dear Sylvia,

A propos of our telephone conversation last night —

About why Nixon regurgitated the "transcripts" —

It's the "big con" without the "Sting" (have you seen the movie?)

It has many angles:


attempt to offset non-compliance with subpoena of S.C.
appeal to constituencies with plea of innocence while
presenting S.C. with mutilated evidence of guilt
presentation of his "case" to all members of S.C. while
evidence vs. Nixon still in hands only of Rodino, H-, Doan,
and Jenner

diversions to Mitchell, E, H, Dean, etc, but
material not admissible in court because not
"best evidence" (while original in existence and
possessible) and because mutilated

last point brings to mind judge's refusal in trial of
Clay Shaw to admit Warren C. Report as chiefly
hearsay. Who was inspired by Hunt - St. Clair, Bushardt?
Till the 17th.



Ms S. Meagher
302 W 12 St
NYC



Stamm 2705 Bamberge Ave
BT, NY 10458