

2/15/74

Dear Sylvia,

A favor, please.

I just read your Texas Observer "The Case of the Urologist Apologist," in which you wrote: "The 1967 three-man panel made findings that contradicted the original autopsy report and testimony. The 1968 four-man panel made findings which contradicted the 1967 panel and the original autopsy findings. Now a urologist-apologist has come along with findings which are in conflict with those of the 1968 panel, the 1967 panel and the original autopsy."

Will you make a table for me listing the points of difference? It could look like this:

Autopsy (1963)    1967 Panel    1968 Panel    Lattimer (1972)

Back Wound

Head Wound

Neck Wound

Other point)

Other point)

If this is too onerous or otherwise not to your taste, I'll try to do it. But then I need the 1967 panel report. I have the 1968 report, and Lattimer's; and am waiting for Wecht.

Such a table should be very interesting, possibly revealing. It would have interesting uses; it could be made into a slide which we could use Lattimer to consider. And Wecht.

Yours