

Daryl/ma,

2/15/74

You remember Paul Sec II's dishonest piece on Oswald's "Mexico links," which you exposed but which he never corrected or retracted. Unremarked at the time but given more point by recent Watergate revelations ~~was~~ ^{here} the last two paragraphs of his scurrilous piece:

"President Nixon has the authority to make public the documents that the Warren Commission decided to keep secret after its investigation of the Kennedy assassination."

"That's the private report that Attorney General John Mitchell was sent to the White House after studying the Johnson Administration's handling of the storing of the commission's files in the National Archives."

Note that Mitchell's report was private. Why private?

And why study Johnson's handling of the storage of the

Commission's files? Was not all this (and more) in connection with the storage and donation of Nixon's vice presidential papers and his claim of tax deductions therefor?

Looking back one can see the irony of Scott's villainous effort to play the cards of anti-communism and his

unwitting testimony to the chicanery of Nixon. Again, it

teaches an old lesson: Every statement by or in behalf of the Government frame up of Oswald must be challenged or, at least, suspected.

It is understandable that no attention was paid to Scott's last two paragraphs as attention was concentrated on his outrageous distortions.

But with a little detachment we might have posed questions. To be sure at that time we would not have had the answers. Not until Watergate in this case.