

1 June 1967

Dear Tom,

I am snatching a few moments from reading galley proofs of my book to comment on your letter to "Dear Harold" (Feldman?), which I read only hastily. You say that you find it incredible that people who had the acumen to see through the Commission's case can subscribe to Garrison's. Allow me to express my complete agreement. I too find that incredible. But what is infinitely worse—some of my colleagues, confronted with proof of his fabrications and absurdities, and conceding them, nevertheless attempt to justify and condone his outrageous travesties of "fact-finding." This philosophy of (Garrison's) ends justifying means—if his ends are even disclosed and compatible with those of the critics of the WR—merely "justifies" a fraudulent Report and any other transgression committed in the name of some higher good.

I want no part of such philosophy or ethics.

I cannot address myself to the other parts of your letter, which I merely scanned and which need re-reading and thought, but I did wish you to know that I share your view of Garrison.

Best personal regards,

Hastily,