

23 June 68

Dear Mrs Meagher,

Your 19 June left me with my jaw hanging! I tripped all over myself getting to the filing cabinet to find out what it was I said in my 15 June.

No, I would have no objection whatever to your passing the letter to Mr Arnoni or to anyone else. Shucks, the very idea tickles me!

However, there is something I would like to point out, as I may have misled you: I am just simply not cut out for doing things like writing for TMO, and the reason for it is that I would lack the background in any area in which TMO would be interested. My 15 June is apt to be deceptive because of its skipping around between ##### this & that, and then having some cute little remark shoved in here & there. But the plain fact about the letter was that it really didn't say anything. It wasn't even a semi-muse on the state of things, as I don't claim to know what the state of things are: It was instead simply a speculation on what the state of things might be.

An example of what I mean can be shown in my durable-durables tirade - in which I am not even sure that the situation exists, but only suspect that it might be so. I wouldn't know where to look for footnote #1 if I wanted to make a case of it. For all I know (and I certainly couldn't disprove it), they might this very minute be building in Cuba or somewhere a one-lung diesel family runabout factory, getting ready to produce something which might find its way into every home & be capable of 125-year life with minimal maintenance, etc. And with a tractor conversion kit available, yet.

As for the letter itself, ^{it is} a thing I'd have felt no need at all to write prior to a couple of years ago at most - and if it has any useful interest in it, then I'd want you to think that it was useful as an example of what is apt to happen to people once they stumble onto eyeball proof that their government is not what they thought it was, or has been routinely lying to them all the while. Me, I am coming out of it without even knowing who my leaders are - plus having the additional frustration of never being ^{able} to accept at face value any civilian (or "civilian"?) person or group speaking against government secrecy. After all, # if I were to be finding myself running one of the shows we are having I'd be the first to vote for supplying my own critics or at least duping other critics.

Again, about Arnoni: What seems so real to me about ##### him is that he comes out 11 times a year in a way I find it hard to imagine he might do if he were one of those phony upside-down type "critics" of the government.

Will be looking forward to your next TMO article, and to your 8 July WLCY appearance. Hope you'll keep up your guard against being sidetracked by callers who might have sidetracking in mind.

Regards,

Steffen Sorensen

Steffen Sorensen

cc: Helen (who is temporarily mad at me because I am a nit picker)

Mary Charles!