

You are aware that I was in complete disagreement with your attack on Project and with the suspicion you voiced in private conversation as to the sinister affiliations and motives of Ed Epstein and Jones Harris. While I argued against your critique, I believed that it had at least the merit of strong convictions on your part that did not permit you to maintain silence even in the face of the damage that might result from public squabbling between

the critics.

I must tell you bluntly how astonished and concerned I feel after learning last night that you are fraternizing with and even funneling important documents to the same Ed and Jones about whom you had such defamatory accusations.

I am also amazed to learn about the SS 10 767 and the Short-O'Neil reports from Ed, and not from you, especially when we had made an agreement that from

the terms of my visit &  
filed on 6/18/66 henceforth  
you would automatically  
order for me a copy of  
any document you obtained  
from the Archives for  
yourself.

These ambiguities,  
following on those mentioned  
in my letter of — — 1966  
(to which you replied  
thus far only that Ed  
was even worse than  
I knew, on the basis of  
things he had told you  
of which I was not  
aware) cause me

Serious misgivings and  
incomprehension. Perhaps  
our conceptions of  
friendships, and of  
Cooperation, are different  
— Certainly our  
conceptions of action and  
attitude toward those  
we consider morally  
tainted, or socio-politically  
menacing, must  
differ. To present  
one face, be it a balm  
to the eye of the beholder  
or be it scarred by the  
slings & arrows of

Antreya's fortune,  
seems to be the maximum  
offering permissible,

To present two faces —  
however beautiful,  
noble, and pure —

is, so far as I am  
concerned, ~~credentials~~  
for service on the

WC.