N.Y. TIMES 10/18/65 (37:5)

2 LAWYERS TERM RUBY TRIAL UNFAIR

392-Page Study Is Critical of the Presiding Judge-Cites His Book Contract

By WILL LISSNER

Did Jack L. Ruby, Dallas nightclub owner who killed Lee Harvey Oswald, President Kennedy's assassin, receive a fair trial? Was the penalty imposed -death in the electric chairthe right one?

Answering both questions in the negative, a 392-page study of the case, "The Trial of Jack Ruby," published last week by the Macmillan Company, adds new fuel to the fires of controversy that have enveloped

Ruby's prosecution.

Ruby is scheduled to have a sanity hearing in Dallas today before District Judge Louis T. Holland. Last Sept. 10 Judge Holland denied a plea for a new trial for Ruby based on an argument that the judge who presided at Ruby's trial, Joe B. Brown Sr., should have disqualified himself.

The latest book on the events in Dallas was written by two law school professors, John Kaplan of Stanford University and Jon R. Waltz of North-western. Both are experienced trial lawyers.

Weaknesses Found

They conclude that the Ruby case reflected little credit on the legal profession or the judicial process, and that it exposed the weaknesses of trial by judge and jury.

The heaviest of their strictures are aimed at Judge Brown, the presiding judge at the trial. He contracted for a fee to write a book about the case, which might still be be-fore him "at the time his book was published," the authors charge, calling the situation "grotesque."

Judge Brown wrote a letter to the publishers, Holt, Rine-hart and Winston of New York, proposing that he deny having begun to write the book. The authors "guess" that the disclosure of the letter led Judge Brown to disqualify himself from conducting the sanity hearing.

From his chambers in Dallas, Judge Brown said over the telephone Friday night that he had found what he had read of the law professors' book so far "hostile" and "biased."

"It's replete with inaccuracies," he said.

As an example of an inaccuracy, Judge Brown cited the statement that "to no one's great surprise" Judge Brown "exercised the prerogative of assigning it [the Ruby case] to himself."

"He implies that I sought the case, which is the opposite of the truth," Judge Brown said. "The fact is that the case came to me by lot. I was chosen by lot to impanel the grand jury which indicted Ruby.

"It is customary for the judge who impanels the jury to take the case himself unless he can get some other judge to take it. I tried several other

Continued on Page 42, Column 5

Continued From Page 37

The book also says, Judge both sides be represented. It well but that they have equal Brown pointed out, that the luck. judge has had 34 cases appealed Judge has had 34 cases appealed and in 10 he had been reversed what they think Ruby's penalty on the ground of errors prej-should have been. But they reudicial to the accused.

these statistics," Judge Brown degree of Ruby's guilt was one said. "They could have got the facts from the clerk of the and that the trial did not setcourt. I have had at least a court. I h court. I have had at least a Another factor that kept hundred decisions appealed. I Ruby from getting less than don't know how many have the measure of justice to which

don't know how many have the measure of justice to which been reversed on the ground of he was entitled, the authors judicial error, but 10 would not write, is that the Ruby trial was "a state case," one involving the highest interests of the judge's batting average on appeal is a faulty measure of his competence" and, after an ex-loaded discussion was a state.

Judge Brown said he had agreed to write the book only after the case was concluded, indicating that he considered it Indicating that he considered it concluded with the jury verdict. Will present an all-Beethoven He has testified that one reason he allowed friends to persuade him to write it was that suade him to write it was that the public records he had been "cast as the hanging judge in a city of hate."

Bruce Hungerford, pianist, will present an all-Beethoven program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a in the public records he had been "cast as the hanging judge in a city of hate."

Other events today: "Madama Butterfly." 8 P.M., Metropolitan Carnegie Hungerford, pianist, will present an all-Beethoven program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will present an all-Beethoven program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will present an all-Beethoven program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will present an all-Beethoven program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz, pianist, will give a line to the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz in the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz in the program in Carnegie Hall at Schwarz in the program in Carnegie Hall a

of the trial—and that he had Hall; music by five Columbia not begun to write then. The University composers, 8:30 P.M "190 pages completed" to which the letter refers were by a manufacture, r.30 F.M., Friinarmonic of the trial—and that he had Hall; music by five Columbia David Randoiph nas been ap-

1965. N.Y. TIMES (42:5)

professors have much praise and only slight criticism. For the defense they have high praise and sharp criticism. They conclude that Melvin Belli, "very possibly the best-known private practitioner in the Unit-led States" who was chief couned States," who was chief counsel for Ruby at the trial, made 'tactical errors."

judges and they begged off. So If Mr. Belli's errors produced I had to take the case. It was "the wrong result," they say, not the type of case a judge this is because the adversary relishes."

dicial to the accused.

"I don't know where they got "too severe." They say that the

tended discussion, note that ticipants — the lawyers, the Judge Brown "was generally considered a defense judge."

Judge Brown said he had

MUSIC NOTES

Bruce Hungerford, pianist,

been "cast as the hanging of her events today: "Madama Butterfly," 8 P.M., Metropolitan Opera; New York Phiharlisher was dated March 12, 1965 a year after the conclusion ducting, 7:30 P.M., Philharmonic

David Randolph has been apthe letter refers were by a repointed conductor of the St.
searcher and did not refer to
rehearsal at 8 tonight in Morauthor's pages, he said. His own
Cecilia Chourus. New members
manuscript is still incomplete,
will be welcome at the group's
real letter refers were by a repointed conductor of the St.
conductor of the St.
will be welcome at the group's
real letter refers were by a repointed conductor of the St.
will be welcome at the group's
real letter refers were by a repointed conductor of the St.
will be welcome at the group's
real letter refers were by a repointed conductor of the St.
will be welcome at the group's
real letter refers were by a repointed conductor of the St.
will be welcome at the group's
real letter refers were by a repointed conductor of the St. gan Hall, 132 East 45th Street. For the prosecution the law McMillin Theatre, Columbia.