

7/14/71

Dear Sylvia,

This is just a very quick note.

Sorry I was delayed in sending the book to you.

The enclosed letter to you is merely a formality in case I am ever called upon to offer proof that I in fact made my book available to the public in accordance with copyright laws.

I hope you enjoy the enclosed exchange with Belin. I'm not taking it very seriously since I expect nothing from that man. I thought I'd have a little fun with him after I read his sickening letter, so similar to what he wrote in "response" to your article. If he answers my second letter at all, it will be only to add some new lies to his record.

If the Texas Observer prints his "reply" with that nonsense about how he worked with no assumptions, quoting from his Ball-Belin Report #1 that Oswald was presumed neither innocent nor guilty, there is something I'd like to print in response--in the Observer, or perhaps wider. It is a 1/30/64 memo by Belin about investigation into who in the car Oswald was shooting at and how it would affect Oswald's motive for committing the assassination.

So, as soon as you know the Observer is printing the Givens material, please tell me and I ~~can~~ can compose something short about Belin's hypocrisy.

Have a good vacation.

Best,


Howard