

These words are never used in the same way as they are used in the  
and the words are never used in the same way as they are used in the  
and the words are never used in the same way as they are used in the

Dear George,

Dear George,

About that broken "t" and "T" I can only offer the advice of a hard-core agnostic, e.g., use the "f" in place of the "t" or get a new typewriter. If you take the first option, you will make some interesting neologisms, such as "effiens," "inferessing," and the like. Just avoid the word "tuck."

I, too, have been having a feast of CDs. When I learned in late July that the price was down to 10¢ per page, I went on a shopping spree and I got at least two 10¢ pages which are worth my weight in moon dust (and I weigh a lot). One corroborated all my inferences and assumptions about Charles Givens' probity and veracity in his testimony; and the other corroborated my thesis about the so-called arraignment of Oswald for the murder of JFK—it never took place. The reading of these two excerpts from the CDs I had ordered gave me the happiest moments of what has been a rather indifferent and joyless summer.

I had acquired CD 49 page 22 sometime in July and had underlined the paragraph which stated that the CIA on 11/22/63 had nothing on Oswald except materials originated by the State Department or other agencies. Most implausible, considering the trouble that had been taken to photograph unnamed persons in Mexico City. Etcetera. Though I am not sure that I would deem page 22 "proof positive."

Regrettably, I have never met Hal Verb or Paul Hech, although I have at times corresponded with Paul and have found him to be a quite conscientious, thorough, and reasonable researcher of Warren Commission material. That being so, I was rather disappointed with Paul's pre-Garrison attitude, even after the Shaw trial. I am encouraged to hear that he now seems to share your views on Garrison—conversion to reality is so rare that the decantation of a Garrisonian is cause for rejoicing.

The Experimental College really has guts! I'm delighted to learn that you will be teaching a Warren Commission course and will be most interested to know how it goes and what kinds of attitudes you find among the students, on the WR and the critics and Garrison. But I am sorry that the text will be R to J, although I do understand the practical reasons. Actually, Whitewash by Weisberg (Dell paperback edition) is also inexpensive and in many ways a better text. Incidentally, Thompson's book SSD has been reissued and the same copies that sold for \$8.95 are now being offered by Marboro books for \$1.00. As for my own book, I hope that some of your students at least will try to read public library copies, since it is expensive and I don't have a copy to spare. And, above all, they should read at least part of the 26 volumes of testimony and exhibits, to gain some familiarity with the primary source material.

About the ammo clip, I have been told about the photo you mention and it may well be that the clip was in the rifle. But the points I made still remain valid—that is, that the Warren Commission failed to authenticate the presence of the clip and its bona fides. The WC did not cite the photo with the clip visible in the rifle, it cited non-existent testimony. If the critics are, now or in the future, fully satisfied as to the authenticity of the clip it will be in spite of the Commission, not because of it.

Tink Thompson will remain in Denmark for another year yet, returning for the fall semester 1970. I've had only one letter from him and he seems to be immersed again in his work on Kirkegaard. If you want his address in Denmark, I will dig it up.

In closing, I think I should tell you that Joachim Joesten is firmly convinced that the Chappaquiddick Tragedy was an attempt to murder Ted K. on the part of the same gang of assassins who did in JFK, MLK, and RPK. However, his British publisher and good friend Peter Dawnay disagrees. He believes that Ted was never on the bridge or in the water—the

These cuckoo-birds will believe anything that keeps their assassination gestalt tidy and symmetrical, never mind the factual evidence. With friends like these jokers and the Garrison clique, we don't need enemies.

Onward and upward,

Dear George,

Best,  
About that broken "t" and "f" I can only offer the advice of a hard-core ergonomicist. If you take the first "t" or "f" in place of the "t" or "f" you get a new typewriter.

P.S. I guess you know that the San Diego committee has resuscitated itself, and the like. This avoids the word "fuck" and the like. I will make some interesting neurological observations.

I, too, have been having a feast of CDs. When I learned in late July that the price was down to 10¢ per page, I went on a shopping spree and I got at least two 10¢ pages which are worth my weight in moon dust (and I weigh a lot). One corroborated all my inferences and assumptions about Charles Givens' privity and veracity in his testimony; and other corroborated my thesis about the so-called arrangement of Oswald for the murder of JFK—it never took place. The reading of these two excerpts from the CDs I had ordered gave me the happiest moments of what has been a rather indifferent and joyless summer.

I had acquired CD 49 page 22 sometime in July and had underlined the paragraph which stated that the CIA on 11/22/63 had nothing on Oswald except materials originated by the State Department or other agencies. Most implausible, considering the trouble that had been taken to photograph unnamed persons in Mexico City. Witnesses. I am not sure that I would deem page 22 "proof positive."

Regrettably, I have never met Hal Varp or Paul Hoch, although I have at times corresponded with Paul and have found him to be a quite conscientious, thorough, and reasonable researcher of Warren Commission materials. That being so, I was rather disappointed with Paul's pre-Garrison attitude, even after the Shaw trial. I am encouraged to hear that he now seems to share your views on Garrison—conversion to reality is so rare that the deconstruction of a Garrisonian is cause for rejoicing.

The Experimental College really has guts! I'm delighted to learn that you will be teaching a Warren Commission course and will be most interested to know how it goes and what kinds of attitudes you find among the students, on the WR and the critics and Garrison. But I am sorry that the text will be R to U, although I do understand the practical reasons. Actually, Whitman by Webster (Dell paperback edition) is also inexpensive and in many ways a better text. Incidentally, Thompson's book 22D has been reissued and the same copies that sold for \$8.95 are now being offered by Harboro books for \$1.00. As for my own book, I hope that some of your students at least will try to read public library copies, since it is expensive and I don't have a copy to spare. And, above all, they should read at least part of the 26 volumes of testimony and exhibits, to gain some familiarity with the primary source material.

About the same clip, I have been told about the photo you mention and it may well be that the clip was in the rifle. But the point I made still remains valid—that is, that the Warren Commission failed to authenticate the presence of the clip and its bearing. The WC did not cite the photo with the clip visible in the rifle, it cited nonexistent testimony. If the critics are, now or in the future, fully satisfied as to the authenticity of the clip it will be in spite of the Commission, not because of it.

Think Thompson will remain in Denmark for another year yet, returning for the fall semester 1970. I've had only one letter from him and he seems to be immersed again in his work on Kirksgaard. If you want his address in Denmark, I will dig it up.

In closing, I think I should tell you that Josephine Beester is firmly convinced that the Gnapthididick tragedy was an attempt to murder Ted K. on the part of the same gang of assassins who did in JFK, MLK, and RFK. However, his British publisher and good friend Peter Bannay disagrees. He believes that Ted was never on the bridge or in the water—the