Hr Hareld Weisberg Byathstens, Md. 20734

Dear Hr Welsberg,

Thank you for writing to Hr Collier and authorizing no to see the manuscript. I went by rectorday at mean and had a very pleasant conversation with Hr Gollier, after which I spent the remainder of the day and part of the night reading your book from cover to cover.

I believe that you have written an outstanding and brilliant attack on the Warren Report, and I narvel that you completed the job as early as you did. The scholarship is extremely careful and in a number of instances you have seen much farther into the evidence than others—nyaelf included—who have analyzed the same aspect of the case. It is appailing that your manuscript has been circulating for so long without the deserved results. Tour book should have been published as a sejor and definitive work. To compare it with Sylvan Fox's book is almost independ, and that had a remarkable commercial success. Consequently, I am at a loss to understand sky so publisher has grabbed the opportunity, if not from a sense of history and obligation, than at least out of intelligent self-interest.

My measurement coincides with yours to a large extent. As I mentioned on the telephone, parallel 'discoveries' and interpretabless are inevitable size people of fairly similar perceptiveness attack the same body of information. Certainly I would have no difficulty statement in accepting your conclusions and your craination, speaking very generally. As to the particular, in a member of instances you have made out a stronger case than I have; in other instances. I have made a faller (though not necessarily stronger) presentation of the same material, having had the advantage of an additional year of time. By memberly includes some aspects of the case that we have not treated—for example, a long thapter on 'Ridell'—but that material supplements the charges against the Varren Report shich are amply sustained in your manuscript and are not essential in that they introduce new elements of negligance, deception, etc.

here so hesitation in saying that in some wave your book is tighter, better epitten, and more cohesive them mine, and that I would be bappy to mee yours in print, if it was "sither/or". Therefore, I am emclosing a copy of the latter I remained from Mr Wolch. I believe that you should write to bin, indicating that I have sent you a copy of his latter to me, and offer him pront manufactor. Provided, of course, that you are willing to consider piece-ment or serialized publication. If you prefer, I would be giad to write to Mr Welsh and organize to contact you and request your me. Let me know.

I am also englosing (1) a copy of my chapter "The Proof of the Plot" in which you will see that our reasoning was quite similar in evaluating the Odio testimony and its implications; (2) a copy of the "Unanswered Letters" which constitute an appendix to my menuscript.

Now for some specific comments on your manuscript, including some very minor errors.

- Page 7 You refer to an officer who saw nothing wrong in leaving his post, Yanghm I pressure? If so, I question that he either left his post or was in any way irresponsible or careless. I base that not only on Vanghm's testimony but also on the description given of Tasker (15H 662-83), also the testimonial given by Capt Talbert (15H 169-90).
- Page 14 The conversation with Jarman about the metagrade is mentioned in the Warren Report, pp 182-83, but of course deliberately placed there and not where it should have been, which is under the discussion of the prior knowledge of the metagrade route. Not only placed incidiously, but mover confronted by the authors as to the significance of the conversation.
- Page Al Your point on the man methese of the tape is extremely acute and very damaging to the Commission. Although I did a very elaborate chapter on the paper bag (including the blanket and the history of Osmald's luggage etc), I completely overlooked that very important point and so far as I know, so did every other researcher.
- Page 22 top, regarding the fingerprints on the paper bag—See 7H 143-44, Studebaker found a portial print, he says, and powered it with tape. But when it arrived at the 7BI lab, not a whisper of tape of partial print. Very similar to the so-called palaprint on the rifle barrel, the clear traces of which had disappeared so mysteriously between Day's office and the FBI lab.
- Page 27 On page 555 of the WR there is an assertion that when the rifle was found it contained a clip, followed by a footnote which refers to a page of Fritz's testimony and a page of Bey's. However, there is not one word on the pages cited that authenticates the statement on page 555; nor anywhere else in the 26 volumes, so far as I could determine. Consequently, I am particularly suspicious of that assemblition clip.
- Page 29 An index to Fritz's accuracy is his reference to the phone-call from PBI agent Brain, invoking the Warren Commission before it was even established.
- Page 29 Only Mrs De Mohrenschildt saw the rifle in the closet, George did not.
- Fage 29 You say that Osmald did not lead the stationwagon. Perhaps you intended to say "unload." You will recall that Both Paine testified that he was very industrious about leading the vehicle binnelf; she unloaded some things when they reached Irving but left the Bunvier articles for Michael Paine. Heither of them unloaded the blanket-wrapped "rifle" and neither saw it on the garage floor for some time after the return from New Orleans.
- <u>Page 32</u> You refer to Prits's penchant for being photographed with the rifle. I remember seeing photos of Day with the rifle but not Prits.
- Page 36 Bugene Boone (not Luke)
- Page 43 Malcolm Couch (not Virgil)

Name of us have worked on the symmithese testimony, including Empreder, but to my knowledge you are the only one who recognized the crucial importance of his statement that he may the President clutch his neck. I congratulate you most sincerely for that brilliant insight, which greatly strengthens the evidence that JFE was shot while observed by the tree (I had reached that conclusion on a different basis, after viewing the Empreder color sides at the Archives).

Face 51 Of course the car was unobstructed as it approached the corner of Heuston and Min and of course that was the logical position for a shot from that window. You will be asseed by J Edgar Heover's "explanation" of why there was not shot (5H 105).

Page 55 Tom are quite right in saying that the Commission does not indicate the mature of the investigation of the assignment of police care. However, you will find information in CRs 2045, 2249 (p 90), 2645 and 2763, some of which exhibits contain items of collateral interest.

Page 59 paralitimate paragraph - It was not the dispatcher who asked for a check on the laundry tag, it was 3gt Stringer (see 0E 1974, page 925 of the volume). I have a long chapter on the jacket. Westbrook did not find it, as the WR repeatedly asserts in the face of his testimony that he did not find it. Moreover, he was not present as he testified when it was found, because he set out to look for the jacket about 12 or 14 minutes after "No 269 (Unknown)" reported finding it. I finally tracked down the identity of No 269, which only made no more suspicious than ever, because he is one of a 12-mm squad on which factors also works. How could Hutson fail to recognize No 269, them?

Page &1 MeDonald wrote a by-line story in the Dallas Morning Nove (11/24/63 I believe) in which he described the arrest in some detail. In that version, but never mentioned again ampehore, he says that he had drawn his gun and had it in his hand as he approached the suspect(s). Are we supposed to believe that Cowald tried to draw his own revolver (I don't believe he even had it) when the cop coming atomard him had his own weapon out already?

Page 74 Of course the Commission ignored Cowald's report that he had directed a Secret Service can to the telephone booth; it would have consumed even more of the precious small time for getting him from the second floor to the bus. I have private information that the man doe saked for directions to the telephone was not on SS agent but a necessar hand Plares Allman, who ofcourse was nover interviewed by ANYONE, even though he is a known eyestimese because of his BBC broadcast, replayed amountly on non-commercial radio.

Page 76 I seeld not find any place in the WR where the Commission called Mre Davis "Charlie". Are you sure of that statement?

Fage &l Tom refer to the search of the Paine residence on 11/23/63 "in the presence of YBI agents." I question that; so far as I could tell, the search party consisted of Stevall, Rose, Moore, and Adamsik of the Dallas Police, and McGabe of the Prving police. I have a long chapter on the Imperial Reflex camera, overlooked in all searches and estensibly located at Robert Oswald's home, after his testimony, so that the chain-of-possession is unauthenticated by non-police vitnesses and unauthenticated by Robert himself. AMAZING what they overlooked—not only the camera, but the famous "undated note," even though on 11/23/63 they were expressingly leading through books!

Face \$2 I find your treatment of the photos and negatives of Osweld -with-rifle ambiguous. It is true that the evidence suggests a certain amount of manipulation but \$1d you intend to leave the impression that the photos-and-negatives were actually found on the first search? or that they were fabricated? Do you in fact consider them authentic?

I chare your dim view of the police alone in the garage for about 2 hours. If you have read "Invitation to an Inquest" by the Schneirs, you will find quite a parallel in the search of several days in the atting of the Albaquerque Hilton hotel by PBI agents working unobserved, who unquestionably fabricated a piece of documentary evidence which was crucial to the prosecution case against the Resembergs.

Pass 99 The systemy of Curry's unanswered phone was known to the Commission before he testified in April, to say nothing of his deposition in 126. But it was only on July 13, 1964 that they get around to asking him about that very simister sirementance (15H 125)—and then dropped the subject, on the strength of his unsupported word.

Page 29 "With all the experts having identified the 'found' rifle as a Manuer..." I know you meant to say "as a Careano." "Is there such an affidevit?" Yes, in GE 2003, page 228 of the volume.

Page 109 better Even Whaley (not HeWatters) who saw two different jackets...etc

Page 130 bottom "five months (not minutes) prior to his application ... "

Face 140 regarding the alloged \$1500 and the search of the banks, I did a small section on that, after discovering an exhibit indicating that Oscald had a savings account in at Fort Worth bank opened December 1958 (1.e.only 9 months before setting out for the USSR), in which he had only \$2001 (See CE 1150)

Fare 142 The Commission was even more unfair to Dial Ryder than you suggest, see CE 2003 pp 252-254, which gives a completely different picture of Greener's foreknowledge of the ticket.

Page 146 Bellrueys is high on my list, together with his schort Manning Clements; they interviewed Begard on 11/28/63 i.e. while Cowald was being interrogated but mover took Begard to see the lineup and never even teld Pritz that they had turned up evidence of comspiracy — what also could you call a report that "Cowald" had said he expected to receive a substantial sum of money "scon"?

Page 155 It is true that the rifle holds six bullets, if they are leaded into the clip only; if one is placed in the chamber as well, the rifleman can begin with a lead of seven.

Page 156 The fourth paragraph from the bottom is not always clear, especially the last sentence and the "only".

Page 183 and of line 6, "...which the Commission said was of guit (not entrance) ... "

Again, I can only repeat my congratulations on your achievement, and my genuine admiration of a beautiful job done with unbelievable swiftness. I shall certainly rejoice to see your book published and if I can be of any help I will gladly do so.

I very much appreciate your willingness to let me see the manuscript. Please let me hear from you again, and certainly do let me know if you are coming to New York. I shall return the ms to Mr Collier without delay.

Yours sincerely,

SYLVIA MEACHER