

6/13/66 NEWSWEEK (National Affairs section)

"Inquest: How Many Assassins?" review of EE

7/12/66 LOOK "A New Wave of Doubt" by
Fletcher Knebel, reviews Inquest and makes unwarranted criticisms
of Epstein re Hudkins, etc.

7/24/66 BOOK WEEK "The Question of the Warren Report" by
Richard N Goodwin, review of EE, a book that raises monumental doubts
about the work of the WC.

7/29/66 New Statesman "The Kennedy Mystery Reopened" by
Andrew Kopkind; says Commission "botched that job." Deals with Inquest and
Richard Goodwin's suggestion for a new investigation, mentions also Whitewash
and Popkin's article.

July-August 1966 TMO Mingle "On ~~Obama~~ Doors, Not ~~Obama~~ There"

August 1966 THE GREATER PHILADELPHIA MAGAZINE

1. Editorial, asks new investigation, signed by publisher, Herbert Lipson
2. Article by Gaeton Fonzi, "The W--C--, The Truth, and Arlen Specter"

8/7/66

The Observer (London)

Anthony Howard, reviewing Inquest, says "Today it is the majestic W--C-- himself that is in the dock rather than the lonely Oswald."

8/15/66

NEWSWEEK (National Affairs section) "Again, the Assassination,"

review of R to J by Kenneth Auchincloss, suggests there are major flaws in the WR and "better that the doubts should be resolved promptly--in a confrontation with Lane's one-sided but exhaustive case for the defense."

8/28/66

BOOK WEEK "The Great American Mystery"

by Norman Mailer, reviews R to J, thinks there should be a new commission-- a literary/intellectual commission. Would sooner trust Edmund Wilson than Earl Warren--wouldn't we?

September/October 1966

DISSENT

"The Oswald Case Should Be Reopened" by Stanley Plastrik, supports Goodwin suggestion for a new investigation.

September/October 1966

STUDIES ON THE LEFT

Review of Epstein, Lane, Sauvage, Weisberg books by Meagher

September 1966

TRIUMPH

Editorial calls for new investigation; and

article by Lawrence Brown raises many questions of evidence and poses possibility LHO's complete innocence

Sept. 1966

Two

Meagher "How Well Can We
Oswald Drive?"

9/6/66 NATIONAL REVIEW Cover story "Was There an Accomplice?" by Francis Russell, "Doubts about Dallas" says what we need now is a commission to investigate the Warren Commission and its findings.

9/11/66 NY TIMES MAGAZINE "No Conspiracy, But--2 Assassins, Perhaps?" by Henry Fairlie, concedes that clearly, at some point, there will have to be a new independent investigation, but thinks it should not be done just yet.

9/16/66 TIME ("TIME ESSAY") "Autopsy on the W--C--"
now concedes the WC was not perfect in its methods nor airtight in presentation of evidence.

9/24/66 The Economist (London) 1 page review of Epstein/Lane books
"The whole ~~thing~~ adds up to a convincing case for a completely independent judicial tribunal in such a matter..." (Unsigned review)

9/25/66 NY TIMES "The Right of Appeal for Lee Oswald" by Tom Wicker (on editorial page), supports Kupferman proposal for a joint legislative committee to review and if necessary to reopen the case; if only because further investigation and sober second thought ought at least to diminish confusion, if not correct error.

9/25/66

The Observer (London)

"The Flaw in the Warren Report" (Epstein/Lane review) by Lord Devlin
says EE has built up a formidable case for the suggestion that Arlen Specter
got the evidence to fit the theory rather than the other way around...unless EE
can be answered effectively, the possibility that Oswald had an accomplice
cannot be disposed of as neatly and conclusively as the report does.

9/25/66

London Sunday Times

full page review of Epstein/Lane books by

Byril Connally asking for a "further examination, second-by-second, of the
events of 11/22/63 by some completely unprejudiced and fearless body."

9/26/66

London Times

Editorial, states it is now clear that the WC did cut some corners; nothing
shameful in going back to work and producing a supplementary report on the questions
that have been raised.

9/29/66

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN WEEKLY "Man at Large" by

Alistair Cooke, states that the case should be reopened, using independent
investigators.

October 1966

COMMENTARY magazine

Review/essay by Alexander Bickel

Professor of Law, Yale University, asking new investigation

October 1966

The ATLANTIC

Review of Lane/Sauvage by Oscar Handlin, fears trail too blurred for new investigation

October 1966

MONTHLY REVIEW

"Lying in State" by Staughton Lynd, sees no way to avoid the conclusion that if the govt lied about JFK's death, the prevarication was deliberate, and on a high level.

10/3/66

NY Post p. 4

HARRIS FINDS GROWING DOUBT ON ASSASSINATION

says that the American people by a 3 to 2 margin reject main thrust of the WR and believe there was a plot.

10/7/66

NY TIMES

"More Doubts and a New Conspiracy Theory" by

Eliot Fremont-Smith, review of Popkin's The Second Oswald, supports need for new investigation.

10/7/66

LIFE

"The Warren Report is Not Enough" by

Loudon Wainwright, supports Kupferman proposal for a new investigation of 11/22/63

10/7/66

London

Peace News

6 pp, includes article by

John Arden "A First Class Texas Job" and an interview with Mark Lane by Roger Barnard. Arden believes there "certainly was a conspiracy" and that the WC "contrived to denigrate" those parts of the evidence that did not fit the preconceived lone-assassin-theory.

Oct. 1966

TMO

Magazine

"Oswald = State Dept"

Oct. 1966

ABA Journal

Review signed by Whitcomb by Arthur J. Keffer

10/9/66

London Sunday Times

Article about 10 pp illustrated, outlining the charges against the WR includes photograph of stand-in for LHO with rifle, supporting view that the original photo may be a composite; presents results of rifle marksmanship in tabular form.

10/10/66

U S NEWS & WORLD REPORT

Interview with Arlen Specter, 60 questions and answers, about 15 pp

10/22/66 SATURDAY REVIEW Judge Arnold Fein reviews "seven" books on WR

10/23/66 BOOK WEEK Fonzi review of The Oswald Affair

November 1966 RAMPARTS PennJones//David Welsh
~~Dec. 1966~~ ESQUIRE Epstein; Meagher

November 1966 THE PROGRESSIVE Harrison Salisbury reviews EE and ML
TIME

11/25/66 LIFE asks new investigation, Gov. Connally interview, separate hit

11/27/66 EPOCA interviews with and pictures of critics

NOVEMBER New Statesman, New Leader (11/8/66 and 11/21/66)

Frontier, article by Jacob Cohen

The Reporter, Kenneth Goodall and Arthur Goodhart

Paris Match (includes lift from Esquire)

December

TMO

Harold Feldman

12/12/66

Newsweek

Chas. Roberts

1/3/67

SEP

Whalen // Editorial

1/3/67

New Rep.

Bichel

January 1967 *Parade* - "Case for 3 Assassins" by
David Lyttelton & David Welch

1/10/67 Look, Manchester excerpt

January 1967 *Sr. Philadelphia Inq.*
"Love End" by Forzi

February 1967 *Playboy* - Interview = Jack Lane

January 1967 *Yale Law Review*
Review of R to J by Marcus Raskin

January 10 and 24, February 7 (dated two weeks later) LOOK, installments
of the Manchester book

Saturday Evening Post, Richard Whelen, article on WR *January 3, 1967*

Ramparts, January 1967, letters to editor and "Case for Three Assassins"
by David Lifton and David Welsh

Frontier, January 1967, Letters to Editor, by Meagher et al

Ramparts, February 1967, letters to editor by Meagher et al

New Republic, February 11, 1967, Ronnie Dugger, "The Last Madness of
Jack Ruby"

WJT "This Week" January 22, 1967, Richard Warren Lewis, "The Scavengers"

Manhattan East, January 26, 1967, Rick Friedman, "Forgive Their Inquest"

Dissent March/April 1967, articles by
Savage and Sabrosaire

TMO March 1967, Meagher,
Post-Communist Credentialing Classes

Roberts, Charles, The Truth About the Lesson
Josset-Darling, March 1967

Late tudes, Feb. 1967, Ronnie Dugger
"The Case is Not Closed"

NY Post WR's Critic. *Manhood*
Belin, March 6-11, 1967

Jan. 24

Manchester, William: ~~LOOK~~ ³ through April 4, 1967; installments of book and article on controversy with Kennedys

New York, the World Journal Tribune Magazine, 2/26/67, pp 14-15, "Aftermath," letters from critics of the WR

American Scholar, article by John Kaplan, ~~March (?)~~ ^{Spring} 1967, Controversy: The Assassins

May 1967 Atlas (pp 10-13) "Why Was JFK Shot?" by Bob Dixie, Special, Brussels

June 10, 1967 The New Yorker, article by Colvin Tilleri ("The Buffs")

June 17, 1967 The New Republic "What Has Garrison Got?"

June 1967 TMO - Mr. (review) of Manchester

" " Ramparts ① Slaughter, ↑
② Bill Turner on Garrison

June 17, 1967 New Republic "Is Garrison Falsing?" (Lowledge)

(over)

Commentary, July 1967, "Manchester Unexpurgated,"
by E. J. Epstein

Argosy, July 1967, "Prof. K. Antropov was
Botched," by Marshall Hunt (based on
interview = Milton Halpern)

Dissent, July-August, See letter to Editor

Esquire, August, John Berendt article on
Bogachov: "Friend: Shadowy Suspect in
the K. Assassination"