## Controversial Lee Harvey Oswald photo in spc

By Roz Davis DB Associate City Editor

been brought to public attention. of accused presidential assassin Lee Harvey Oswald has again A much-discussed photograph

picture used as the cover for pose to that of Oswald in the played a blown-up photograph sion critic, and UCLA law prof. magazine. portraying a man in a similar Wesley Liebeler, Liebeler dis-Mark Lane, Warren Commis-At last week's debate between 21, 1964 issue of Life

versial conflicting shadows. picture and produce the controsion, the only reason he showed counsels to the Warren Commiswas one of the 14 assistant that it was possible to take a the picture was to deomonstrate According to Liebeler, who

of a hoax." "tantamount to the perpetration sentation of the photograph was mind" said that Liebeler's prevate citizen with an independent who describes himself as "a priauthor of "The Bastard Bullet," However, Raymond Marcus

## Accurate representation?

ture, while Marcus claims it was representation of the Oswald picthat the picture was an accurate tempted to convince the audience Marcus said that Liebeler at

said that the shadows in quesgraph was a phoney. Marcus said flatly that the Oswald photophotographers critics. According to Marcus, 75 objection for many Commission The Oswald photograph in question has been a point of cent of the he questioned professional

> shadow which was at an angle, was congruent with the body tion were the one under the nose and the body shadow. The dispute arose over whether the straight shadow under the nose

in photographs he has taken tempted to get similar shadows Marcus said that he has at-

and added that he has never been successful. The purpose of Liebeler's photographic display was "obviously to prove that legitimate," according to Marthe Oswald photograph was

graphs were not exactly the Liebeler said that the photo-

same, but that they were very hair and smaller ears, causing not the same, that the man in the photographs were taken was similar. He noted that the date Liebeler's photograph had more

one ear not to show at all and the other to be barely visible. Liebeler also stressed the fact

picture on the right was presented by UCLA law prof on the cover of Life magazine on Feb. 21, 1964. The presidential assassin Lee Harvey Oswald appeared ler and Warren Commission critic, Mark Lane. Accord: SIDE BY SIDE — The picture on the left of accused Wesley Liebeler at last week's debate between Liebe

> a "phoney. critic, however, has stated that Liebeler's picture is photograph are possible to produce. One Commission show that the controversial shadows in the Oswald ing to Liebeler, the shadow produced in his picture

> > composite, as claimed by many that the Warren Commission ha other additional evidence show ing that the picture wasn't

merely to illustrate a point. his photograph was show it hadn't occurred to him as ler's) picture. Liebeler stated th made by Marcus was that Liel ler didn't show the Oswald p ture by the side of his (Liet One of the main objectio

## Effects of photo

damning piece against Oswald." it was fixed, then it was the mo was the murderer, and that cans that Lee Harvey Oswa "proved to millions of Ame photograph, Marcus said that hought In his discussion of the Oswa that the photograp of eviden

dress his complaints to Life ma has some psychological effect, but I suggest Mr. Marcus ac Liebeler replied "I suppose

mission in the investigation. of the film used by the Con have Life magazine admit pullicly that it destroyed four frame has said that he would like Along the same lines, Liebeld

they had the copies of the or ginal frames and used these fo examination, Commission's investigation a The destruction of the frames Liebeler said, did not affect th

said, "that we didn't notice when we put the volumes to given us the complete set, and I' gether, that Life had in fact no publicly that they in fact di like to have Life now adm destroy those frames." "It's simply the fact," Liebeld