

NYT

11/26/66

# Hoover Says Facts Show Oswald Alone Was Kennedy Killer

Text of Hoover's statement is printed on Page 25.

By FRED P. GRAHAM  
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Nov. 25—J.

Edgar Hoover said today that no evidence had been found to indicate that Lee Harvey Oswald had had an accomplice in the assassination of President Kennedy.

"All available evidence and facts point to one conclusion—that Oswald acted alone in his crime," the director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation said in a statement.

"Not one shred of evidence has been developed to link any other person in a conspiracy with Oswald to assassinate President Kennedy," Mr. Hoover said.

## Explains Discrepancies

Commenting for the first time on the controversy over the adequacy of the Warren Commission's report of the assassination, Mr. Hoover said the critics had ignored or misinterpreted the facts.

He also gave the first official explanation of the discrepancies between the two F.B.I. reports on the assassination and the Warren Report. The F.B.I. reports said the bullet that struck Mr. Kennedy below the back of the neck had not passed through the President's body. The Warren Report concluded that the bullet had passed through President Kennedy and had wounded the Texas Governor, John B. Connally Jr.

Mr. Hoover said that statements in the first F.B.I. report, dated Dec. 9, 1963, had been based upon comments made

# HOOVER SUPPORTS WARREN REPORT

Continued From Page 1, Col. 6

by the pathologists during the autopsy at Bethesda Naval Medical Center on Nov. 22, the day of the assassination. At that time the doctors believed that the bullet had not gone through the body, but information obtained the next morning disclosed that it had, Mr. Hoover said.

By the time the F.B.I. submitted its supplemental report on Jan. 13, 1964, it knew the commission had been given a copy of the official autopsy report that said the bullet had passed through the President's body, Mr. Hoover said.

Therefore, he explained, the F.B.I. did not mention this in the Jan. 13 report.

Critics of the Warren Report have pointed out that the only reference to Mr. Kennedy's wounds in the Jan. 13 F.B.I. report stated:

"Medical examination of the President's body had revealed that the bullet which entered his back had penetrated to a distance of less than a finger length."

Mr. Hoover explained that this had been followed by a statement that Mr. Kennedy's shirt had an entrance bullet hole in the back and an exit hole in the front. He said this had been intended to point up the fact that the doctors' findings contained in the first report had probably been in error.

Mr. Hoover concluded that "While there is a difference in the information reported by the F.B.I. and the information contained in the autopsy report concerning the wounds, there is no conflict."

Mr. Hoover's statement was directed to The Washington Evening Star, which had asked him to comment on the rash of books and articles that have criticized the Warren Report. The statement was later released to other news media.

## Criticism for Critics

In an accompanying letter to The Star, Mr. Hoover said that, while the critics had every right to state their views, they "should show more regard for the facts on record."

"They have ignored certain facts, misinterpreted others, and expressed pure speculation as truth," he said.

Mr. Hoover branded as "totally false" allegations that the F.B.I. had altered the film of the assassination taken by an amateur photographer, Abraham Zapruder.

"The F.B.I. never had the original Zapruder film in its possession — it was purchased by a national magazine," he said, referring to Life magazine.

"The F.B.I. obtained a copy of the original uncut film and reproduced this for the commission, which since has turned it over to national archives," he added.

The discrepancy between the Warren Report's description of Mr. Kennedy's body wound and the two F.B.I. reports has fanned the controversy over the adequacy of the Warren Commission's investigation.

In his book "Inquest," Edward Jay Epstein pointed out that the F.B.I. had repeated in its Jan. 13 report that the bullet had not passed through the President's body without stating that this view might be in error and without mentioning the contrary view expressed in the official autopsy.

Mr. Epstein said a person might find it "inconceivable that the F.B.I. would make a repeated error of this magnitude and import in its final report to the President."

After analyzing the evidence, he concluded that "all the evidence" indicated that the F.B.I. version was true and that the Warren Commission's statement was an expression of "political truth."

Critics say that the commission's conclusion that the same bullet struck President Kennedy and Governor Connally was crucial to its explanation of the crime. The commission has denied that it is necessary.

The Zapruder film showed that the two men were struck almost simultaneously. Since Oswald's bolt-action rifle could not fire two rounds in less than 2.3 seconds, the commission concluded that the same round had hit both men, and that Governor Connally had had a delayed reaction to his wound.

Mr. Epstein and other critics have insisted that, if the first bullet had lodged in Mr. Kennedy's back and had later fallen out, as the doctors first assumed, than Mr. Kennedy and Governor Connally must have been hit by two riflemen firing almost simultaneously.

## French TV Weighs Report

Special to The New York Times

PARIS, Nov. 25—The Government-owned French television broadcast tonight a graphic, 40-minute examination of the controversy over a Warren Report.

The framework of the show was provided by interviews of a French-speaking United States lawyer upholding the

Warren Commission's findings and a French journalist presenting the case of the critics.

Over-all, the show induced a compelling impression of doubt that Oswald had acted alone.

The show faded out at the end on a studio mock-up of the scene of the assassination in Dallas as an announcer speculated about whether the truth would ever be known.

The scale model appeared repeatedly during the program as investigators set the scene and returned to it as points of controversy were injected verbally and then demonstrated.

Spot footage was introduced to provide the scale for viewers. Library footage and still pictures were shown to fix the event, its actors and their implements. Sketches and diagrams were used for additional clarity.

The assassination sequence was used with slow-motion cartoons to give viewers the time element, against which were opposed views and explanations tending to demonstrate that Oswald could not have fired three shots so accurately in so short a time. Spot interviews brought in suggestions that four shots may have been fired, two in close succession.

The program was the lead subject of the television network's weekly news "magazine," entitled "Panorama."

Through innuendo and suggestion, the program cast doubt on Jack Ruby's role in the event,

and it listed those connected near or far with the event who have met deaths under "suspicious" conditions.

#### New Inquiry Opposed

NEW ORLEANS, Nov. 25 (AP)—A Tulane University law professor, Leon D. Hubert Jr., who served as a Warren Commission investigator, said today that he believed a new inquiry into the assassination of President Kennedy would be a waste of time.

Mr. Hubert, a former District Attorney of Orleans Parish (County), worked with the commission on conspiracy aspects of the assassination.

#### Hundreds Visit Oswald Grave

FORT WORTH, Tex., Nov. 25 (AP) — A note attached to a large bouquet of yellow chrysanthemums on the grave of Lee Harvey Oswald said "... he has left all the world confused."

Hundreds of visitors yesterday filed by the grave in Fort Worth's Rosehill Cemetery on the third anniversary of the day that Oswald, named by the Warren Commission as the assassin of President Kennedy, was shot to death by Jack Ruby, a Dallas nightclub operator.

The neatly typewritten note on the flowers read "Lee Harvey Oswald. Did he assassinate the President? Was he truthfully accused? Guilty, yes? Or was he innocent? He has left all the world confused."