THE NEW YORK TIMES, FRIDAY,

Intelligence Hearings: Inquiries Seem Mired in

By NICHOLAS M. HORROCK

Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, Oct. 9-After nine months of delving into the activities of United States intelligence agencies, Congressional investigators seem adrift in a sea of information, stunned

News

by the magnitude of the task and less sure of their Analysis objective than when they started, interviews in both the Senate and House disclosed.

The objectives last January appeared clear enough. Infor-mation uncovered in the Watergate investigation and a report in The New York Times sug-gested that the intelligence agencies had conducted a large, and probably illegal, domestic surveillance operation in the late nineteen-sixties and early nineteen-seventies.

These allegations provided the impetus for a Presidential commission and two Congresaonal investigations, one by a select committee in the Senate, another by a select committee in the House.

The Presidential commission, headed by Vice President Rockefeller, took a narrow scope. It investigated wrongdoing by the Central Intelligence Agency alone and issued a report in June, finding vast intrusions on privacy as well as specific violations of the law and of the C.I.A.'s jurisdiction under the National Security Act of 1947.

The Congressional investigafions were given broader man-lates covering foreign and comestic intelligence activities. to the sophisticated in Washagton intelligence circles, it remed an impossible task.

Foreign Operations

The foreign intelligence oper-ations of the United States alone cost nearly \$7-billion a year, according to reliable reports, and encompass the activities of the C.I.A., Defense Intelligence Agency, National Security Agency, State Department intelligence, Federal Bureau of Investigation and intelligence units of the armed forces.

Domestic intelligence has included all or some of the above as well as the Internal Revenue Service, the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Alcohol, Tobacoo, and Firearms Bureau and the Secret Service.

Already the files and records gathered in the Senate investigation are larger than any single investigation previously conducted by the Senate. The Senate has a staff of over 100, the House committee, another 40 or so.

The investigations have either directly, or by their pressure, produced a startling pattern of disclosures about the meth-

ods of the various agencies. These include the following: **The C.I.A.** was involved in several attempts to kill Fidel Castro, Premier of Cuba; had a peripheral involvement in the death of Rafael Leonidas Tujillo Molina, strongman of the Dominican Republic and once plotted to poison the Congolese leader, Patrice Lumumba.

Indeed, assassinations apparently became so accepted a policy theme that the C.I.A. set up a permanent section to plan them, called "the Exe-cutive Action Group."

The C.I.A., the F.B.I. and the National Security Agency have conducted extensive intrusions on either telephone, cable, or mail communications or on all three.

Intelligence agencies have lied to Congress almost routinely. In one case, the statements of a former C.I.A. director, Richard Helms, on the coup d'etat in Chile were reviewed by the department of justice to see if they constituted perjury. No prosecution was recommended.

¶Lawlessness under the guise of national security seemed to have become almost commonplace during and after World War II. The F.B.I. admitted 238 burglaries aimed at American citizens; the I.R.S. audited persons whose politics it did not like, and forgery of letters, anonymous threats and other forms of coercion became standard tools in the F.B.I.'s counterintelligence operations.

But the disclosures-and the list is long—are scattered shots and without theme.

'Not a Simple Conspiracy'

"This is very unlike the Watergate investigation," said one Senator, "because this is not a simple conspiracy. There **OCTOBER 10. 1975**

Data After 9 Months,

With GoalsUnclear

is no single band of lawbreakers or single group of people who made bad judgments. This has gone on for 30 years." The disclosures are not for the sake of disclosure alone, most Congressional sources agree. Senator Frank Church, chairman of the Senate select committee on intelligence, has said that he believed disclosure was necessary so the public could see for itself whether there had been a pattern of unacceptable behavior.

"It is for this public support that Congress draws the power to pass legislation to meet these problems," he said. But his critics argue that

Senator Church has made deci-sions that defeat his own strategy and retard the investigation. In one instance, last spring, President Ford threw the hot potato of C.I.A. political assassination plots to the Church committee.

Many persons on the committee privately believe that by focusing on the plots, the panel

has allowed the rest of the investigation to drown. They fault Mr. Church on two counts; one that he tied up the commission counsel, F.A.O. Schwarz, and the staff director, William Miller, on the subject far longer than its importance justified, and second that by keeping the discussion of the plots behind closed doors, he cut the public off from the very kind of information that might have helped it form a view of the intelligence commu-

'As you will remember." one member of the committee said privately, "we were going to have completed most of our public hearings and be preparing our recommendations by this point. We have not done. either."

Senator Church has publicly stuck to his decisions. He said he believed that televised public hearings on assassinations would have done irreparable harm to the American image abroad and not served truth. Whether it has been distracted by the assassination matter, obtain the material without the has begun to establish a body or by other problems, the time delays of court fights. of evidence indicating that the

Several staff members prisions.

investigation is another Water- trols national secrets. way? I think you can.

until the end of the month. N. Nedzi, a Michigan Democrat. decade. Mr. Pike's committee voted No one yet appears able to

confrontation

gate is a myth," one senior But after two weeks of But privately, many of them staff member said in an inter-sword-rattling by both sides, tell a more sanguine tale. The view. "The question is—can Mr. Pike and the White House bulk of the disclosures were you get good legislation any-settled the issue-access to already known to foreign intel-

Part of Senator Church's tions on the Tet offensive in details can mainly be used to technique has been to avoid 1968-without settling the fun-fill in gaps in knowledge, reconfrontation and to negotiate damental question. Mr. Pike's sponsible intelligence officers for each piece of evidence from critics thought that Congres admit. the intelligence community. He sional prerogatives were In fact, there is some feeling believes that Congress has an thrown for a loss when a final that the intelligence community absolute right to the informa- confrontation was avoided. tion, but that it is better to However, the Pike committee Ford and his able lawyers-has

Church committee is far behind His House counterpart, Rep- \$7-billion - a - year intelligence schedule. It has had three brief resentative Otis G. Pike, a apparatus may not, in fact, spurts of public hearings in tart-tongued Suffolk County be very efficient. In recent pubthe last several weeks. Hear-Democrat, believes differently. lic hearings he has called au-ings on the N.S.A. were post-Mr. Pike took over the House thoritative witnesses who conponed this week at the request committee last summer after a tend that the intelligence agenof President Ford, and the com-membership mutiny dislodged cies failed to predict any of mittee may not open others the previous chairman, Lucien the major crises of the last

vately contend that the com- to make public secret national evaluate how this nine-month mittee might just as well write security information without scrutiny has affected the intel-an authoritative report now the approval of the executive ligence agencies. Publicly, inteland forgo televised public ses- branch, thus precipitating a ligence officials have said that over whether the disclosures have harmed "The idea that every Senate Congress or the President con-the United States and made vestigation is another Water- trols national secrets.

some secret intelligence evalua-ligence services and the new

In fact, there is some feeling -with the help of President

"staved off the worst," as one to disobey with impunity source put it. In other words, Presidential order to destro the agencies are surviving what deadly poisons.

many had thought would never Most persons interviewed be-come, a full Congressional in-lieved that the committee will stigation. propose and receive support The upcoming confrontations for a Congressional oversight vestigation.

will not be on further disclo-sure, sources in Congress and committee and that the real

In general, the intelligence agencies regard self-regulation, officials and documents of the inspector general style of in-trospection backed up by exec and call for contempt citations utive orders, as optimum against those who do not com-White House and intelligence ply? Will it have a well-paid sources have talked about this and adequate permanent staff privately for some time.

fact that many of the instances of wrongdoing were dug up by C.I.A. itself in May, 1973, without Congressional over-ight What has worried many on Capitol Hill is whether the Con-gressional investigations have established a record of suffifact that many of the instances several sources said.

serious questions about the vote a tough, permanent over-C.I.A.'s ability at self-regula-sight panel for the intelligence tion. It has uncovered instances community. that question canwhere even middle-level C.I.A. not be answered until the invesofficials were apparently able tigations are complete.

the executive suggest, but on battleground will emerge over the issue of "oversight." the subleties of its powers. Will it be able to subpoena

ivately for some time. They rest their case on the These are the "gut" issues,

Mr. Church's committee, cient wrongdoing to lay the however, has already raised groundwork for Congress to