'Evidence Is False,' Murder Conviction Is Upset

Supreme Court Says It Won't 'Tolerate' Such Verdicts

WASHINGTON, (AP) — The Supreme Court served notice on prosecutors today that convictions obtained "by the knowing use of false evidence" could not stand.

With that declaration, the

Court unanimously threw out the murder conviction of a former taxi driver who once was only seven hours away from the electric chair in Illinois.

The prosecution at the trial of Lloyd Eldon Miller Jr. "deliberately misrepresented the truth" by contending that underwear shorts found about a mile from the murder scene were stained with blood and not with paint, said Justice Potter

The Constitution tolerate a state criminal conviction obtained by the knowing use of false evidence," Justice Stewart wrote. .

"There has been no deviation from that established principle.

Confession Obtained

Miller was convicted in 1956 in the slaying of an 8-year-old ing evidence. girl, Janice May, in Canton; Ill. was not constitutionally valid He can be retried if authorities centered on the shorts, which act promptly. Otherwise, he will were covered with dark reddish-

Miller, now 40 years old, signed a confession four days after the body of the girl had a railroad



Lloyd E. Miller Jr. at a

1963 hearing of his case.

concluded been sexually assaulted.

The core of Miller's appeal There can be no retreat from to the Supreme Court was that, in order to obtain the confession of the Supreme Court was that, in order to obtain the confession of the supreme Court was that, in order to obtain the confession of the court was that the court was t in order to obtain the confession, the authorities had falsely told him they had incriminat-

brown stains, possibil

Justice Stewart said the retried. shorts had been found by a policeman about a mile from tenced to death by the Circuit the scene of the crime and that Court of Hancock County. The

Illinoisan May Face Retrial in '56 Killing of Girl, 8

them at the time of the mur-

"Their gruesomely emotional impact upon the jury was incalculable," Justice Stewart

He recalled Miller's sworn de-nial that he had owned or worn the shorts, and pointed out that t_was_not_until_Miller_hegan habeas corpus proceedings in Federal court that he was allowed to have the garments examined by a chemical microanalyst.

"What the microanalyst found cast an extraordinary new light on people's exhibit 3," Justice Stewart said. "The reddish brown stains on the shorts were not blood, but paint

The state did not dispute this testimony, the Justice said, and it was further established "that counsel for the prosecution had known at the time of the trial that the shorts were stained with paint."

A District Court order that

Miller be retried or released was reversed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit in Chicago on

Feb. 20, 1965.
That court's ruling was reversed today. This has the effect of reinstating the District Court finding, leaving open the possibility that Miller can be

Miller was convicted and sentra-s. She died in a hospital the prosecution had theorized Illinois Supreme Court affirmed about an hour later, and the that Miller had been wearing the conviction.