Who Killed John F. Kennedy?

By JOHN LEONARD

AMERICAN GROTESQUE. An Account of the Clay-Shaw-Jim Gerrison Affair in the City of New Orleans. By James Kirkwood, 669 pages, Simon & Schuster, \$11.05.

A HERITAGE OF STONE, By Jim Garrison. -253 pages. Futnam. \$6.95,

Bad vibrations.

New Orleans District Attorney Jim Garrison arrested New Orleans businessman Clay Shaw, charging that Mr. Shaw conspired to assassinate President John F. Rennedy, Mr. Shaw was acquitted by a jury. Mr. Garrison then had Mr. Shaw rearrested on two charges of perjury. Mr. Shaw is suing Mr. Garrison, and a host of others. The judge at Mr. Shaw's trial has since been arrested in a motel room, where stog movies and loose women are olleged to have exhibited themselves. The principal witness against Mr. Shaw has isince been errested for burgiary. Mr. Garrison has since been necused of molesting a 13-year-old bey at the New Orleans Athletic Club, which is interesting because Mr. Shaw ollegodly had links with the New -Orleans homosexual underground.

No. this is not a fiction by Gore Vidal. It is a scrialized novel on the front pages of our daily newspapers. Maybe that expiains why novelist James Rirkwood-"Good Times/Bad Times"-got obsessed with the subject. Mr. Kirkwood met Mr. Shaw, and believed his story, and so wrote -a cympathetic stdiele before the trial (pub-· lished by Esquire) and an indignant littlele after the trial (rejected by Playboy) and this tome-stong of a book (troubling the reviewer); Did Clay Shaw know David Ferrie and Lee Hervey Oswald? Is Jim Garrison parapolac about the Federal government? One wishes the whole business were a fevered invention.

"Perjury' Alop 'Conspiracy'

It Isn't, Mr. Rickwood argues in "American Grotesque", that Jim Garrison used Clay Shaw to ity the Warren Commission report: that Garilson scraped the bottom of the barrel for variously sick and varinusly intimidated witnesses to smear Shaw; that Gardson's guerrillas sought a jury of sub-par intelligence to bemuse with bloody fantastes; that, having empaneled such a jury, they were no upset by the acquittal that they added the insult of "perjury" charges to the injury of "conspiracy" accusations. Unlochmately, Mr. Kirkwood is so conscientious in his reportage that one wonders why so many people claimed to have seen Mr. Shaw with Oswald and Forde, Were they all mistaken or lying? To be sure, conspiracy wasn't proved, and the state embarrassed liself with surreal incompetence. But "conspiracy" is no longer the charge against Shaw; perjury Is. 'Ve have cally Mr. Einkland's emotional word on impeence to go by. Such a word Isn't conclusive, not even in a book reviewer's court. Mr. Kirkwood's loyaity to a friend is admirable; his taped interviews with all the principals in the first Shaw trial are fascinating; his attention to trivia is in the best parajournalistic tradition the little boy who cried Tom Wolfe. But legitimate questions about John Kennedy's assassination aren't answered according to the buddy system.

Which brings us to Jim Garrison's "A Herltage of Stone." The District Attorney of Orleans Parish argues that Kennedy's " assassination can only be explained by a "model" that pins the murder on the Central Intelligence Agency, The C.I.A. could have engineered Dallas in behalf of the military - intelligence - Industrial complex that feared the President's disposition toward a detente with the Russians. Mr. Garrison nowhere in his book mentions Clay Shaw, or the botch his office made of Shaw's prosecution; he is, however, heavy on all the other characters who have become familiar to µs, via late-night talk " "shows on television. And he insists that the Warren Commission, the executive branch of the government, some members of the Dallas Police Department, the pathologists at Hethesda who performed the second Kennedy autopsy and many, many others must have known they were lying to the American public.

Mysteries Persist

Frankly, I prefer to believe that the Worren Commission did a poor job, rather than a dishonest one. I like to think that Mr. Garrison invents monsters to explain Incompetence. But until somebody explains why two autopsies came to two different conclusions about the President's wounds, why the limousine was washed out and rebuilt without investigation, why certain witnesses near the "grassy knoll" were never asked to testily before the Commission, why we were all so eager to buy Oswald's brillient marksmanship in split seconds, why no one inquired into Jack Ruby's relations with a staggering variety of strange people, why a "loner" like Oswald always had friends and could always get a passport-who can blame the Garrison guerrillas for fantasizing?

Something stinks about this whole affair. "A Harliage of Stone" rehashes the smelliness; the recipe is as unappetizing as our doubts about the official version of what happened, (Would then-Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy have endured his brother's murder in silence? Was John Kennedy quite no liberated from cold war cliches as Mr. Garrison maintains?) But the steach is there, and clings to each of us. Why were Kenndy's neck organs not esttal shot? Why was his body whisked away to Washington before the legally required Texas inquest? Why?