

February 20, 1966

Dear Sylvia:

Here is the stuff - I couldn't get Penn Jones - no answer at home or the mirror - I'll try later. I called Gum and he wasn't at home so I left word for him to call me and reverse the charges. If he calls back I'll add a postscript.

In regard to Secret Service agents and Jean Hill - in V19 p535 and in V19 - p535 - Swett and Wiseman both mention one of Mary Moorman's pictures which had "the old Supton Building" in it (Chepton Bldg is TSB D Bldg) which picture was turned over to "Secret Service Agent Patterson". Patterson must have ~~talked~~ talked to them because he gave Mary Moorman his card and said the picture would be returned. Maybe he's the one with the "3 wounds 3 shots" theory to Jean Hill. Do you know anything about him? He doesn't testify and he's not the same as <sup>any of</sup> the Pattersons "referred to" in the index in V15.

Maybe Maggie's cousin could get that VPI picture if she took along the enclosed picture or the Joesten book?

In V15 p 716, Oliver says there was a radio broadcast on Saturday 11/24/63 from Vienna by a correspondent for Hearst newspapers named Flieders. But farther down on the same page he mentions other references to school in memoirs of defectors - particularly - "I was an NKVD agent" by Kharovsky. It seems to me that the school for spies at Minsk was a particular gripe of the radical right - before the assassination - I still think Frity was a radical - righter - hence the questions to Oswald regarding did he go to school in Minsk?

Another thing - V6 - p 255 - Policeman J.C. White, standing on the West side of the overpass says says that a long noisy freight train was crossing the overpass at the exact moment of the shooting - I have seen reference to a circus train in the yards but that's the first I heard about one on the overpass at the time of the shooting - do you know of any other?

Gene just called me - He said that the English book was a bad translation from the French - That he didn't do the translation and is not proud of it - but he verified the fact that Walther told him he found a bullet - not just the place where a bullet hit - and turned it over to (first Gene said) the F.B.I. - Then when I questioned him as to who had told him that was a Secret Service agent - he said he thought that to the Dallas police ~~didn't~~ there wasn't much difference but he thinks Walther said the F.B.I. - If you can get a look at the French version, see if the words used in that could be translated either as Secret Service or F.B.I. He said Walther didn't know him or that he was a writer - that they were both there and were talking about it - He didn't have to question Walther - Walther volunteered the information. He said that he thought the most significant part of his book was what the Doctors at Parkland said. (You might check the French version on this, too) He said that the picture was taken just minutes after the assassination by Bob Jackson and that Jackson would probably identify the people in it. I told him I knew that the man standing there was Sheriff Bill Becker and he said that he didn't know who the policeman was and that probably the F.B.I. man that he turned the bullet over to was standing off to one side because he didn't want his picture taken. He, Gene, was not there when the picture was taken, but it was purchased later from Bob Jackson and it verified the statement that Walther had made to him about finding a bullet. He asked what was I doing - writing a book? I said, no, but that there was a group of us who are not satisfied with the report and are doing research on all the discrepancies. He said there were a lot of discrepancies but that there was such tremendous confusion in Dallas that day that he really didn't think the police were too much to blame because of the awful confusion. I said that when the report was put out there wasn't any confusion, then, and they weren't telling us the truth. He said there was no doubt in his mind that

there was some tremendous thing that people high in the Government didn't want us to know. He said I should get in touch with Mrs. Oswald that she had some fantastic theories. I told him I did not get in touch with Mrs. Oswald because I wasn't interested in theories - only in facts that didn't fit. He said that there was talk about Oswald wanting to make a name for himself but that he, Gun, didn't think that assassinating the President was the way to do it. I said if that had been his purpose then he would have admitted it and bragged about it - not denied it. He said he didn't think the Police did any investigation at all for accomplices, once they got their hands on Oswald. I said they had themselves a scapegoat and that closed the case. I said we'll never know if they asked him any questions from the records because there were no records kept. He said he thought Oswald was the right man, all right, but that he probably had accomplices or instructions from Cuba - that he didn't think the right-wing was involved, even though he admitted that they hated Kennedy. (We know what side he's on - just as Maggie said - a man doesn't get to be an honorary admiral of the Texas Fleet without being very right), I got him to reiterate, several times that it was a bullet - not the hole where a bullet had been - that Walthers told him he had found and turned over to the F.B.I. - Gun said to look at Walthers hand in the picture - he is picking up something from the ground - not digging in a hole. He mentioned Sylvan Fox book - I said I had read it. I thanked him again and that was it. - Oh, somewhere in the conversation I said that Richard Dubman had reported in the New Republic that a police inspector had told him that a bullet had been found in the grass. That was when he said that Walthers had volunteered the information to him.

I know the above account is a mess but I wanted to get it all down before I forgot it.

Lotsalove

Lillian