Confidential informant Dallas T-1 furnished the following or December 2, 1963:

Dallas Tool advised that on April 20, 1963 , LE H . OSUALD had, on April 12, 1963, initiated a dim for unemployment insurance at the Commerce Street Office of the Tax as Employment Commission in Dallas, Texas, and the $18=1$ Form filed on April 20; 1963, was a renewal of the clarified in Texas.

Dallas $T-1$ state o that inasmuch as this case was an interstate case, an Interstate Supplementary Form; rB-10, was sent to Texas for the purpose of classifying OSWALD as to his classification assignment. He was classified in Group $C$; which means that it was questionable as to whether on not he would be employed soon because his work history indicated the likelihood of a continuing question as to his availability, his attachment to a particular area in the labor market, that he moved around a lot; had irregular employment.

Dallas Twi stated that the monetary eligibility determination date was set as April 16, 1963, at which time, according to the Texas Employment Commission, he was ineligible for unemployment insurance which was later resolved by the submission of a Form 18-1, submitted to Texas dated April 29, 1963, and Form IE -14, which is a request for a re-determination of his eligibility. In Texas it was found that the question of eligibility arose because of an exmex in the transposition of numerals in OSMAED's Social security Number ane he was then found to be eligible to receive $\$ 33.00$ weekly as unemployment insurance compensation.

The Form EB-1 is a card on which is recorded the weekly submission of claim for unemployment insurance by the claimant which indicates the date of the claim, the type of form executed, and the claim taker's initials, which is as follows:

April 29; 1963

May 7; 1963

## IE-14, handled by Confidential. Informant: Dallas T-6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TB-2 ant iB -io, handled by } \\
& \text { Confidential Informant, Dallas T-6. }
\end{aligned}
$$

D2 $200-20465$
(3)
sjgnature against the Foma dew which contains the clamant's kromn sighatume. A date and the fom executed is entered onto tho $T P=1$ Fom as well as the claimant identification booklet and the information contanod on the Form LEw? then Guestions tive through ton inclustve is cxecuted by the chains Interviemor and forvardad to the libel state which, osmam's case, was Texas. The claimant thon obtains a blank IBm 2 Form which he executes befors appearting the following weok.

