

JAUNDICED COLORED GLASSES

by J.David Truby

Here are some tidbits of truth from the latest in the JFK murder investigation.

Some members of the House Select Committee on Assassinations now know the truth about the conspiracy and this is causing real problems for the Power Group behind that crime and its cover-up. They are fighting back hard.

The recent books by Epstein and McMillan about Lee Oswald and his wife, etc. are blatant cover-up propaganda and disinformation. Both "authors" are intelligence operatives and Epstein is a particularly dangerous man, i.e., people he interviews seem to end up dead very soon afterwards.

Sadly, I have now confirmed the reason Senator Richard Schweiker stopped his official investigation into the Kennedy conspiracy is because some "very important people" told him his family would be wiped out if the Senator continued his investigation. Dick Schweiker got too near the truth. Now, he is a reborn reactionary and his family is safe.

By some secret agreement, the House Select Committee on Assassinations gave the CIA and the FBI "security approval" of all staff, including investigators. This means if the CIA and FBI don't want someone to work for the Committee they can veto that person on "National Security" grounds. Sound familiar?

After the way the CIA and FBI misled, lied to and destroyed evidence with the Warren Commission, these two outfits should not be allowed anywhere near the Committee. As is, the hungry and wild wolves are again loose in our chicken coop.

Several excellent investigators have resigned from the Committee recently because the Committee's top counsel, Robert Blakey, reportedly restricted their research, telling them to steer away from the conspiracy aspects.

That smacks of Son of Cover-up. It is exactly how the Warren Commission mixed its whitewash - ignore anything conspiratorial, then work towards a magical case against "the lone nut." I have tried to get answers to this very serious charge from Mr Blakey. To date, I've had no fitting response.

Why did the New York Times, Washington Post, Newsweek, Time, et.al. attack and vilify the Committee's former top counsel, the honest and respected Richard A.Sprague, while not uttering one word - favorable or otherwise - about Blakey?

Sprague is an honest and tough prosecutor. He meant business and was getting to the truth, doing his job. The power people had to get rid of him and get their own man in there. Exit Sprague; enter Blakey.

Blakey is a handpicked puppet of the power people. These top people

also have the power and the contacts to direct what the Times, Post, Newsweek and Time and other major media will report on certain key issues. The JFK case is one of these key issues.

These major media are almost hysterical and totally universal in their support of the official findings. They regard any dissent, no matter how scholarly, legal and logical, as the blatherings of kooks. That, my friends, is part of the cover-up - our controlled "free" press.

There is one item I'm not sure I ought to publicize. But, I do want this on the record - now. I feel very strongly that a key witness, a man who was almost certainly a member of the assassination team, is about to be another "suicide" victim of the Power Group.

This man was recently incarcerated in the "mental wing" of a federal institution. Repeated attempts to get Committee investigators to rescue, or at least interview, him seem to fall on deaf ears. Yet, it is almost certain this man was part of the actual hit team.

This case is too deadly close to that of another dead key witness in the JFK conspiracy. George de Mohrenschildt, the man who "babysat" Oswald for the CIA, was put in a mental ward for 56 days of brainwashing last year, then released. Three months later he was "suicided" by Power Group hit men.

The same thing is going to happen to this other witness.

John Kennedy's murder remains one of the hottest topics among grassroots Americans but despite this many of the elected Congressmen consider the JFK business a closed case and vote against any further investigation into the truth.

THE HIDDEN PERSUASION OF PFC DINKIN

by Brian Burden

It needs, I take it, no Vance Packard to come from the grave (or wherever he usually resides) to inform the average reader of the colour supplements that the "Things (which) Can Happen After a Badedas Bath" have little to do with cleanliness, or, for that matter, Godliness. Conversely, few would admit to being seduced by such patent fantasies; manufacturers would smile at that. These fantasy scenarios which dominate newspaper and TV advertising used to be known in the trade as "psychological sets."

With all this in mind, we consider the classified CD 943 entitled "Allegations of PFC Eugene Dinkin re: assassination plot", suppressed on grounds of "National Security." In an article in Vipster Times for January-February 1977, Robert Mitchell presents verbatim what purports to be an FBI interview with Dinkin. No reference number is supplied for the report, and it should, therefore, be treated with caution; all the same, in the light of Walter Bowart's recent book, Operation Mind Control, it clearly deserves an airing.

Dinkin, a college graduate who had specialised in psychology, including the psychology of advertising, joined the U.S. Army in 1963 and was sent to Europe, where he was involved in cryptographic work. In September of that year, he formed an uneasy conviction that certain Hearst newspapers, and the Army newspaper Stars and Stripes, were using the subtler techniques of Madison Avenue to soften up their readers to swallow the following propositions:

- (1) JFK was an un-American traitor.
- (2) JFK was going to be assassinated and would be no great loss.
- (3) The assassin would be a Commie or Leftist.

Dinkin began to assemble a file, and by October 16, he was convinced that the assassination would take place "on or about November 28." November 28 is Thanksgiving Day, and Dinkin figured an outrage around this time would seem typical of communist contempt for American traditions and old-time religion.

On October 16, Dinkin wrote to the Attorney General summarising his conclusions. Normally, ALL threats to the President's life are investigated, but nothing seems to have been done in this case. On October 25, Dinkin went to the U.S. Embassy in Luxembourg where he spent several hours trying to obtain an interview with the Charge d'Affaires Cunningham, whom he had already apprised by phone of his fears. Cunningham resolutely refused to see Dinkin, but Dinkin talked at length to the Marine Corps guard at the Embassy - with instant results.

Dinkin was promptly notified by his superiors that he was to undergo "psychological evaluation" on November 5. In desperation, he went AWOL and fled to Switzerland. There followed an unsatisfactory interview with the editor of the Geneva Diplomat, an encounter with the resident reporter of Newsweek, Dewhurst, who shouted him down and refused to listen to him, and an unprofitable conversation with a member of the Zurich staff of Time-Life. Dinkin then gave himself up. He was held in custody, and a mysterious Defense Dept. official confiscated his files and notes; he was then flown to Washington and given a battery of psychological tests. Due to his college studies, Dinkin was familiar with these tests and knew that he had given "sane" responses; nonetheless, the Army medics pronounced him "psychotic." Now desperately eager to escape detention at all costs he accepted "treatment" and a discharge

The rest is history.

 * A Bibliography of Books, Newspaper and Magazine Articles, *
 * published in English outside the United States of America, *
 * related to the Assassination of John F. Kennedy. *
 * *
 * Compiled by T.H.Irwin and Hazel Hale. *
 * *
 * Originally published in March 1975, this bibliography now *
 * has an updated supplement which includes 130+ articles and *
 * books which appeared in 1975-1977. *
 * *
 * The complete listing, which includes approx 350 items for *
 * the period 1963-1977 is available from the Editor at *
 * £1.50 - U.K. or \$4.00 - U.S.A.(including Airmail postage!). *
 * *
 * The supplement is also available, separately, at £0.50 - *
 * U.K. or \$1.00 - U.S.A. *
 * *****

Letters to the Editor

The publication in the 9 March 1978 issue of *The Listener* of a follow-up article by Anthony Summers related to his recent JFK assassination programme has resulted in an interesting flow of readers' correspondence.

Here are some examples - more will follow in our next issue.....

16 March 1978

Kennedy cover-up

SIR: Not you, too, LISTENER! We are long accustomed to the paranoid ravings of BBC producers on the Kennedy assassination (never mind, it beats proving Bacon wrote Shakespeare), but I expect more of *THE LISTENER* than the treatment in the issue of 9 May. Rather than dissect the puerile farrago therein (it was one of the journalists mentioned in the first paragraph who raced to Dallas), let me point out that the best minds of the Warren Commission, the FBI, the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post*, *Time* magazine, the *Associated Press*, UPI, CBS, NBC, etc., etc., as well as, perhaps most important, the Kennedy family, have repeatedly probed the incident in the most diligent manner. And no person of probity has uncovered the slightest indication that the deed was not done by the demonstrated kook who found a job in a building along the Kennedy-route long before the trip to Dallas was planned, bought the rifle in his own name, fired it in practice, shot it at another important personage earlier, and left his fingerprints all over it.

May I pose a few rational questions? (BBC producers may tune out here.) Are we all fools? Are we all dupes? Are we all, including the Kennedy family, co-conspirators in a 'cover-up'? To believe the latter is to exhibit dementia on a scale that could only pass undetected in Broadcasting House. Finally, ponder please the fact that there have been four presidential assassinations, two near-misses (both Roosevelts), two high-level killings (Robert Kennedy and Martin Luther King), and one assassination of a British prime minister, and all of them have been committed by lone nuts. And Shakespeare wrote Shakespeare.

JIM BECKER

London SW1

23 March 1978

SIR: Mr Anthony Summers's article, 'The Kennedy cover-up' (*THE LISTENER*, 9 March), is intriguing. My nearly 15 years of investigation included 14 months in Dallas, and my conclusions were first published in *November 22: How They Killed Kennedy* (1976) and *The Oswald File* (1977). The hard evidence indicates that the assassin was a KGB agent and

a Soviet look-alike for Oswald, the real Oswald having gone to Russia but never having returned. This evidence is supported by the fact that in 1960-61, the heads of the FBI, the Passport Office and the Department of State (respectively, Hoover, Hickey and Rusk), in writing, warned the American embassy in Moscow against the possibility of imposture; that there was an impostor using Oswald's identification data in Russia; and that the embassy be sure that it was dealing with the real Oswald. These warnings were omitted from the Warren Report.

In his article, Mr Summers mentions the CIA 11 times, and seems to imply that somehow it was criminally involved in the assassination; he does not mention the Soviets, the MVD or the KGB, although there were at least eight MVD or KGB officers in contact with the assassin in Russia, the US and Mexico, one or more being a member of Department 13, the sabotage and assassination squad of the KGB responsible for 'wet affairs'. At least two other Soviet officials who may have been clandestine intelligence officers were also in contact with the assassin in the US.

It must not be forgotten that KGB defector Major Antoli Golitsin told the CIA, in 1962, that Khrushchev had approved an MVD plan to murder Nixon if elected president in 1960, and that, immediately after Kennedy's election, a Czech girl was dispatched to New York from London to assassinate his character before his inauguration; those responsible being the same Khrushchev-directed group that was to destroy John Profumo in London in 1963.

In that month, at its request, I was able to supply the chief of the New York FBI with the information that enabled it to connect these two character assassinations to London-based clandestine Soviet intelligence officer, Captain Yevgeni Ivanov.

In 1953, I published *The Man on Your Conscience*, about the Evans/Christie case, and in October 1955 it was reviewed in *THE LISTENER* by A. L. Goodhart. Professor Goodhart's views and mine were later substantiated by the posthumous pardon of Timothy Evans in 1966. It will be interesting to see whether my view of the Kennedy assassination is finally substantiated, resulting in the exculpation of the CIA and other American groups.

MICHAEL EDWARDS

London SW3

SIR: It is a pity that Anthony Summers's excellent film was curtailed by a lack of broadcasting time. We should have liked to have seen the interview with Sylvia Odio, and there is at least one sense in which the BBC could be said to owe Mr Summers a right to explain 'complicated' matters. This will be clear to everyone who remembers the premiere of Mark Lane's film on the Kennedy assassination, which was shown on BBC2 in a programme which ran for almost five hours. On 29 January 1967, the corporation screened Lane's film in short chunks interspersed with long commentaries by supporters of the Warren Commission. It would be most revealing if you were to screen this programme again, using the *Panorama* programme of 6 March 1978 as a postscript.

I do not remember many programmes which were more deliberately unfair than the one presented by Mr Kenneth Harris, who abruptly silenced Mark Lane whenever he offered an uncomfortable fact, which might not harmonise with the great expanse of whitewash projected under the corporation's auspices. The BBC were unwittingly turned into accomplices in the cover-up which is now exposed by Mr Summers's admirable team.

KEN COATES

The Bertrand Russell Peace Foundation Ltd, Nottingham

SIR: I am always bemused by those correspondents who feel they can win an argument with a torrent of abuse. I refer, of course, to the 'paranoid ravings' of Jim Becker (*Letters*, 16 March). His 'puerile farrago' (his own words again) is not merely abusive, but factually inaccurate.

Oswald obtained his job at the Book Depository in mid-October. By this time, the presidential visit was in an advanced state of planning. So much for the 'kook who found a job in a building along the Kennedy route long before the trip to Dallas was planned'.

Nobody disputes that the rifle found in the TSBD belonged to Oswald, nor that his fingerprints were on it (though they were certainly not 'all over it'). The question here is whether Oswald brought the gun to the building himself or whether it was planted there in order to frame him.

Sorry, Mr Becker, but even the Warren Commission could find no evidence that Oswald 'fired it in practice' (the rifle). The man resembling Oswald who appeared at a local rifle range a few days before the assassination, firing with remarkable accuracy and further drawing attention to himself by quarrelling with other patrons, was pronounced by the Warren Commission not to be Oswald. (They did not succeed in establishing his true identity.)

The only evidence that Oswald shot at 'another important personage' came from Marina, who obviously had an interest in absolving herself from her husband's supposed guilt. There is not the slightest physical evidence that it was Oswald who shot at the 'personage' (General Walker). Eyewitnesses alleged that two persons were involved.

Most of Becker's 'rational questions' about a supposed conspiracy of silence can be answered very simply: most media tend to believe governments when, as in this case, the government has no obvious reason to lie; governments tend to accept the good faith of commissions they themselves have appointed; and, in a case such as this, a commission is obliged to accept the good faith of the government agencies it relies on in conducting its inquiries. On the *Panorama* programme, we heard Judge Burt Griffin, a former member of the Warren Commission staff, allege that the CIA and the FBI were devious and obstructive in their dealings with the Commission. Again, this need not imply guilty involvement by these agencies; putting the most innocent face on the matter, it is obvious that both agencies stood to lose a great deal in terms of prestige and public confidence; the conclusion that the assassination was the random, unpredictable act of a 'kook' would most conveniently absolve them. (Presumably Becker does not include Griffin among the 'best minds of the Warren Commission'.)

BRIAN BURDEN

Braintree, Essex

SIR: Surely Mr Becker (*Letters*, 16 March) is being just a little bit too ingenuous? Does he really believe that, had an agency set up Oswald to kill Kennedy, they would have taken the Kennedy family into their confidence, then or later? When it comes to 'the best minds', Mr Becker worships at some strange altars. At the time of the murder, the head of the FBI was already showing signs of that dementia which was later to make him such an embarrassment; and Mr Becker must forgive us for not sharing his admiration for the best minds which later set up the Watergate grotesqueries. Not everyone shares his unqualified belief in the infallibility of the Time-Life organisation, the *Washington Post*, *Reader's Digest*, or what-have-you (I cannot remember what the editor of the *Daulish Gazette* had to say).

Does one really have to be as silly as Mr Becker's letter suggests to believe that Shakespeare wrote Shakespeare?

C. MACNAMARA

Dawlish, Devon