

Assassination Archives and Research Center:

A note from Bud Fensterwald describing this new organization is on page 8 below. (The Committee to Investigate Assassinations, Fensterwald's previous outfit, has gone out of business.)

Many of you have already received a 3-page letter from the AARC, dated March 25. If you haven't, please ask me for #1985.55; there is no charge. This letter lists several ways you can help the AARC, such as donating assassination-related material and providing addresses of potential members. Gifts are tax deductible; arrangements can probably be made for the AARC to pay shipping costs. If you are interested in leaving material through your will, ask for #56, a sample codicil.

If you are willing to share your expertise, and the information in your active files, but do not want to turn over any material now, please let us know. I expect the AARC to be useful as a "networking" center as well as a repository library. If you have ideas about what the AARC could do, please pass them along.

Since AARC files and facilities will be available without charge to all serious researchers, you may wonder what benefits membership would provide. Consideration is being given to this issue, and a second general mailing may go out soon. (If you want to receive such AARC mailings without joining, please send in your name and address.) In the future, membership may entitle you to borrow material and get some research questions answered.

Robert Ranftel will join the AARC's staff in late July as Executive Director. (Actually, I think he will become the AARC's staff.) Robert is generally familiar with the case and the FBI files - not just with documents about the Bronson film and the LHO-LSD connection. (See 5 EOC 1, p. 4.) We hope that the AARC will provide a useful presence in Washington if the case ever heats up again, and a useful resource if the controversy is left to scholars.

The AARC's interests are not limited to the JFK case. However, Southeastern Massachusetts University, where Phil Melanson is a history professor, has established its RFK Assassination Archives as part of the Library Communications Center. The files of Lillian Castellano and Floyd Nelson have already been acquired. Item #57 is an article from the SMU student newspaper, describing the RFK archive and the work of the old Kennedy Assassination Truth Committee. (2 pp., 27 Sep 84)

Forthcoming books:

Henry Hurt's book, "Reasonable Doubt," has been delayed again, and can be expected around the end of the year. As far as I know, there are no special problems, just the routine complications associated with a large book on a controversial topic.

Coming this fall (probably September) from Macmillan: "Goddess: The Secret Lives of Marilyn Monroe," by Anthony Summers. (This is the last of Summers' "famous dead people" trilogy, following "The File on the Tsar" and "Conspiracy.") I expect that "Goddess" will read more like a political book than just an intimate biography, and that there will be many new details from new sources. It seems safe to assume that JFK and RFK will be mentioned.

The Justice Department Report?

On March 12, Assistant AG Stephen Trott told author John Davis that the JD "is currently preparing" its report, the completion date of which "is not yet certain. It is envisioned that the report will be made available to the public upon its submission to the Congress. The report will describe in detail the Department's response to the specific recommendations of the [HSCA]."

On April 4, the JD told Irish buff Chris Scally that the conclusions of the Ramsey Panel on the acoustics, "as well as other data submitted to the [JD] since the [HSCA] issued its final report, will form the basis of recommendations which

should be completed and submitted to the House of Representatives by the Department later this year. Neither the exact date on which that Justice report will be completed nor the entirety of its conclusions are known at this time."

The JD did not respond to Scally's reference to a recommendation said to have been made by Prof. Ramsey - that good copies of the Dallas Police recordings be sold to the public. (The two JD letters are respectively #58 and #59; Scally's letter, dated 7 Jan 85, is #60.)

Speaking of the acoustical evidence...

Chris Scally sent me a letter he wrote to Prof. Ramsey (2 pp., 17 Apr 84, #61), and Ramsey's belated but rather detailed reply (2 pp., 11 Mar 85, #62). I have forwarded this material to Trott, with a letter (2 pp., 3 Jun 85, #63) summarizing the evidence on one issue in which Ramsey expressed a bit of interest.

The starting time marked on the crucial DPD Dictabelt is 12:05, but the DPD-FBI transcript (CE's 705 and 1974) suggests (not unambiguously) a starting time of 11:51. Ramsey said that "it would be nice to find an explanation" for this, and apologized for not now having access to the original material "and consequently for giving a less complete answer than I would normally like." He expressed confidence that a reasonable explanation can be found (and I certainly concede that he might be correct).

I am interested anyhow, because there appears to be no available accurate transcript of the first part of the crucial Dictabelt. The "critics' tape" starts around 12:15 and establishes that the DPD-FBI transcript is incomplete between 12:15 and 12:19.

All but hard-core acoustics buffs will be wondering by now what this could have to do with anything. Untranscribed messages to Tippit, for example, could be significant. (We might well learn something new about the Tippit case from Hurt's book.) The DPD-FBI transcript omitted at least one message from Tippit: "Be out of the car a minute, 4100 block of Bonnie View," according to Arch Kimbrough's transcript of the critics' tape. My interest is tentative and speculative, but the absence of a copy and a verbatim transcript of all the DPD recordings strikes me as an unfortunate omission, so I decided it could not hurt to write to the Justice Department.

HSCA files:

Kevin Walsh of ACCESS has provided a copy of H. Res. 173, introduced on May 15, 1985, by Rep. McKinney and 19 co-sponsors, including former HSCA members Fauntroy, Ford, and Edgar. (#64, 2 pp.) HR 173 is basically the same as HR 160, introduced in 1983. (#1983.48; see 5 EOC 2, p. 1, and 4 EOC 5.) There are some changes, apparently designed to placate reluctant House members.

If HR 173 passes, the Archivist is to give priority to the release of the HSCA files, applying the guidelines used for Warren Commission records "to the greatest extent practicable." All records which the HSCA voted to keep secret are exempted, along with records whose release would violate HSCA guarantees of confidentiality or be "inconsistent with the privileges of the House." The 1985 version allows the Clerk of the House to veto the release of any record.

The John Davis book:

65. 14 Mar 85 (Liz Smith, NY News) "'The Kennedys' - did Mob kill them?" Very favorable comments on the paperback edition. Smith quotes "four momentous questions" from the (new) epilogue, including "To what extent were the Kennedys themselves indirectly responsible for the disasters that befell them?"

66. Same column, in the Detroit Free Press, with a photo of Davis.

67. 12 Apr 85 (Catholic Herald [UK]) A positive review. "[T]he glorification of Kennedy following his death prevented an adequate investigation into his assassination, though what was being covered up ... was not so much the

immediate circumstances but the possibility that assassination plots against Castro or Kennedy's dubious affairs might have been related to his murder."

68. 13 Apr 85 (Financial Times [London]) Another favourable review.

69. 14 Apr 85 (Irish Independent) A short summary of Davis' conclusions on the assassinations.

70. 16 Apr 85 (National Enquirer) "Electrifying New Book Claims: Mob Killed the Kennedys" Based on an interview of Davis, who repeated the major new assassination-related item in his epilogue. "In October 1984, after the hardcover edition of my book was published,... I was contacted by a distinguished newspaper publisher who had known mobster John Roselli intimately for 20 years and had spoken to him about the JFK assassination shortly before Roselli was murdered." Mobsters "with connections to Castro's Cuba... recruited [LHO] to be the scapegoat, luring him into the plot by telling him about secret CIA-Mafia murder plots against Castro." This story is generally consistent with what Jack Anderson reported (in 1976) that Roselli had told "associates." (10 HSCA 159) In Davis' account, the motivation was RFK's crackdown on the Mafia; in Anderson's, Castro was behind it all.

The Blakey-Billings book also commented on remarks by Roselli (p. 385). As noted in my review (3 EOC 3, p. 3), the fact that Roselli "knew" a shot came from the knoll may not mean anything (despite later support from the HSCA's acoustical analysis). Perhaps he, like many others, had been convinced by Mark Lane's talk. The same caution applies to other aspects of Roselli's scenario. Unlike many of us, Roselli did not rely on the ability to make precise distinctions between rumors and proof for career advancement.

The paperback edition of "The Kennedys" has been selling quite well. Item #71A is 4 best-seller lists (4 pp., from 6 Apr to 26 May), including two from the NY Times. #71B is a selection of favorable quotes from reviews (including remarks by Blakey). (Incidentally, the Collier-Horowitz book is also out in paperback.)

"The Double Man"

Sen. Gary Hart, Richard Schweiker's partner in the Church Committee investigation of the JFK case, has written a novel with Sen. William Cohen. (Morrow, \$16.95) I haven't read it, and would welcome comments from anyone who finds anything which might tell us what Hart really knows or thinks about the JFK case. While glancing at a copy, I saw a discussion of Oswald in which someone suggested that he might have been one of the false defectors we were sending to Russia. Has there ever been any official discussion of such a program, or has Hart just picked up a hypothesis from the buffs?

72. 5 Apr 85 (AP) "Senators draw on careers to write a political thriller" The fictitious Sen. Thomas Chandler "travels to Miami and Amsterdam on secret missions designed to unravel connections between the assassination of John Kennedy, organized crime, and a renegade terrorist squad inside the walls of the Kremlin." In real life, "returning through Amsterdam from a trip to Moscow, Hart secretly arranged with then-CIA Director William Colby to meet with a hired assassin living in Europe to develop leads on the Kennedy killing.... [The] meeting fell apart when the assassin got cold feet and failed to show up." (Hart also tried to meet with Castro, but failed because the State Department "declined to cooperate.")

Hart went to Miami to investigate the deaths of Giancana and Roselli; the novel's hero discovers there that "the KGB is competing with the Mafia by selling narcotics ... to raise money for terrorist acts."

73. 5 Apr 85 (SFC) "It Was a Dark and Filibustery Night" [2 pp.] In this version of the AP article, the assassin is named as QJWIN, and Hart says that "Colby sent over a high-level operative who made contact with him, and we got him from the country [where] he was living in Europe."

74. 5 Apr 85 (WP) "The 'Double' Life of Hart & Cohen" [4 pp.] A profile

of the authors, with a summary of the plot of "The Double Man."

75. 19 Apr 85 (SFC) "Senators' Spy Novel Loaded With Paranoia"

76. 4 Jun 84 (SFC) "Senators on the Literary Trail" [2 pp.] About the authors and the development of the book, not the plot.

Queries:

Q73. Does anyone know the present whereabouts of Alex Bottus (once an associate of Sherman Skolnick), whose claims about Thane Cesar are in David Scheim's book, "Contract on America"?

Q74. Howard Donohue believes that JFK was hit by a shot fired accidentally by the Secret Service agent in the followup car who picked up his rifle in reaction to the shooting. (His theory was presented in #1983.55.) Can anyone provide a photo whose time can be established which shows the followup car within a few seconds of the head shot?

Q75. Has anyone (official or buff) traced the rifle clip allegedly found in the sniper's nest? Are such clips traceable? (See Meagher, "Accessories After the Fact," pp. 116-120.)

Q76. Jim Lesar's FOIA effort on my behalf (Hoch v. CIA) has brought to my attention a CIA "incident report" on a phone call to the Agency on December 1, 1963. (CIA 1260-1033; my #77) Someone claimed that he "knew and worked with" Oswald in the Marines in 1959, that he himself had worked for the FBI from 1953 to 1956, and that he had not yet discussed Oswald with the FBI or Secret Service. Can anyone identify the source, or provide related documents? My first guess for the source (whose last name has seven letters) is Lt. John Donovan. This is intriguing if true, but I am concerned that if it is Donovan, his account of his background might be false and might cast doubt on his interesting Warren Commission testimony about the reaction of Oswald's unit to his defection.

The Hofstra Conference on JFK:

Keri Lannigan's account suggests that audience members asked a lot of assassination-related questions, sometimes inappropriately. (See "The Kennedy Loyalist," May 1985.) As I expected (7 EOC 1), such questions were not welcome, and were often ignored.

78. 29 Mar 85 (Miami Herald) "Conference focusing on JFK - He's more than an airport, N.Y. students are told" Actually, they knew more than that. One 20-year-old knew that he "was President back in the '60s, that he was a great man and that he was assassinated.... The rest is a little vague."

79. 30 Mar 85 (LAT in SFC) "Kennedy urges basic changes in Demo party" in his Hofstra speech. (Which assassination buff allegedly fell asleep during this speech? I'll never tell.)

80. 31 Mar 85 (Ch. Trib. in SFX) "Kennedy reminds he still has options" (The effect of a Kennedy presidential candidacy on the case could be almost as divisive an issue for buffs as the Garrison "investigation." So I'll deal with that question when it arises, and even pass up the obvious metaphor in this sentence.)

Jack Anderson columns on the JFK case:

81. 1 Apr 85 (WP) "LBJ Sought to Quell Conspiracy Talk" In October 1966, in "one of history's strangest attempts at literary collaboration," LBJ tried to get Hoover to write a book on the JFK assassination. Johnson asked Justice Fortas (!) to approach Hoover, which he did through Deke DeLoach. Hoover rejected the idea, but agreed to LBJ's "fallback position," that he issue a statement on the controversy about the FBI-WC differences on the results of the autopsy. (#82 and #83 are the same Anderson column, as published in the Frederick Post and SFC.)

* 84. 10 Oct 66 [2 pp.] This internal FBI memo (DeLoach to Tolson), obtained by Harold Weisberg, is the basis of the Anderson column. Fortas agreed when

DeLoach presented the "many reasons" (not specified here) why Hoover could not write the requested "lengthy article, series of articles, or book." Hoover made the obvious suggestion, that Warren do it; Fortas said he probably would not, but added that Rankin had agreed to write a book. (That's news to me; Rankin has in fact been one of the least talkative WC staffers.)

85. 8 Nov 66 [13 pp.] (FBI 62-109060-4250; PLH/AIB #895-902) FBI information, provided at LBJ's request, on Ed Epstein, Joachim Joesten, Penn Jones, Mark Lane, Richard Popkin, Leo Sauvage, and Harold Weisberg.

86. 26 Nov 66 (NYT) The text of the Hoover statement which resulted from LBJ's October request. (On October 22, 1966, David Lifton realized that the Sibert-O'Neill report included the statement that there was pre-autopsy head surgery; his assessment of Hoover's statement is on pp. 296-8 of "Best Evidence.")

87. 1 Mar 85 (Jack Anderson) "The Case That Will Not Die" (#88-89: as published, SFC and LA News) "[F]acts about the assassination keep floating to the surface like flotsam from some long-sunken ship. Recently, some fascinating fragments have turned up in an unnoticed federal appeals court filing by Harold Weisberg. The indefatigable, 71-year-old former newsman has been trying for years to force a reluctant Justice Department to come clean on the JFK murder."

It is nice to see Weisberg's efforts recognized, but the four "tantalizing tidbits" drawn by Anderson from these "long-secret FBI documents" are a somewhat incomplete and inadequate account of the evidence involved.

90. Undated (This is apparently a page from an internal FBI review of the performance of the Dallas field office.) Anderson's summary: Oswald "once told an FBI agent he had been 'contacted' by the Soviet secret police - presumably during the period he lived in Russia. But the FBI, incredibly, never asked Oswald what the contact consisted of. Weisberg believes Oswald was lying: The truth may never be known." Indeed, someone did wonder why SA's Fain and Carter did not ask Oswald "why he was contacted by MVD." The Warren Commission did publish an FBI report containing Oswald's statement that he was interviewed by the MVD upon his arrival and again before his departure, and his claim that the MVD had not tried to recruit him (17 WCH 736).

91. 31 Dec 75 [3 pp.] FBI to AG, responding in part to a letter from the Church Committee (Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities, or SSC). The FBI quotes an affidavit given by SA Joe A. Pearce during the investigation of the destruction of Oswald's note to SA Hosty. Pearce said that "Oswald was an informant or source of SA Hosty and it was not uncommon for sources to occasionally come to the office for the purpose of delivering some note to the contacting Agent." Anderson says that an FBI agent said Oswald "knowingly provided information to the FBI in Dallas. What he told the G-men is not known." I don't know if Pearce claimed any direct knowledge of a Hosty-Oswald relationship; do we have any more of his account?

92. Undated [4 pp.] This document, originally classified Secret, is from the FBI files, but I am confident that it originated elsewhere, probably with the HSCA. It is in outline form, with only passing mention of the points Anderson took from it: e.g., that "whenever critics got vocal about the FBI's finding that Oswald acted alone ... the FBI began 'preparation of sex dossiers on [the] critics.' The newly released documents make clear that important aspects of the assassination were going uninvestigated while the G-men were snooping in their detractor's bedrooms." There is no doubt some truth to this, but the document provides no details. It says simply "Preparation of dossiers on [WC] staff and members," "Preparation of dossiers on WC staff after the report was out," and "Subsequent preparation of sex dossiers on critics of probe." (Offhand, I recall only one critic on whom the FBI accumulated a "sex dossier," and I don't think the famous photos in it were taken in his own bedroom.)

Other allegations in this outline interest me more; perhaps someone would like to compare it in detail with the published record. For example, do we know

about "Incident of Sullivan's people copying GID [General Investigative Division] files"? What about "Secret plan to distribute Oswald-Marxist posters in Bureau plan to discredit Communist party; prejudicial aspects"?

Use this memo only with caution. For example, one point is the "apparent [sic] withholding [from the WC] of 'oswald [sic] imposter' memos of 1960-1961." This withholding was alleged in a NYT article of 23 Feb 75. The FBI memo in question, "discovered" in the WC files at the Archives by Jones Harris, certainly had been shown to the Warren Commission, as the FBI immediately said; in fact, the WC's files show that the staff knew it had a copy. This convinces me that this outline came from outside the FBI, and may contain other errors.

Three more documents were filed with the appeals court by Weisberg:

93. 24 Oct 75 [3 pp.] Hosty to Director Webster, arguing against Hoover's disciplinary actions. (Published in the 1975 Edwards Subcommittee Hearings.)

94. 17 Aug 76 [7 pp.] Bassett to Held, submitting recommendations for discipline in connection with the destruction of the Hosty note.

95. 24 Dec 75 [7 pp.] Part of a report by SA Robert Barrett on his interview by an SSC staff member and Sen. Schweiker. The discussion covered many points, including Jack Ruby as a PCI for the FBI.

Weisberg's associated legal papers, totaling 54 pp., are available as #96.

The above FBI documents are listed here because Anderson and Weisberg used them. Also, they provide a reminder that many FBI documents have been released in recent years. Mark Allen tells me that he has found nothing sensational in the material he has obtained. Still, these files (which will eventually be available through the AARC) are a major research resource which should not be forgotten about. For example, since SSC testimony is generally unavailable, related FBI records may include valuable background on some of the important topics dealt with only briefly in the Schweiker-Hart report.

* "Dallas Mosaic: The Cops, the Cubans, and the Company"

This is the title of an article by Phil Melanson in "The Third Decade." (March 1985, 10 pp., #97 if you must get it from me - but if you're interested I hope you are already a subscriber.)

Topics covered include Alpha-66 in Dallas, 3126 Harlendale, possible Oswald lookalikes, ATF interest in a gunshop which happened to handle the "Oswald" ammunition, CIA contacts with the police in cities other than Dallas, the pre-assassination work of the DPD's Criminal Intelligence Section, and more. Good stuff! Many of the facts were familiar to me, but seeing them put together reminded me that these issues may well turn out to be of central importance, and certainly deserve further attention.

Other JFK Newsletters:

To encourage you to subscribe, I can send you the contents page from each issue of "The Third Decade" so far. (#98, 4 pp.) I also have a few extra copies of issue #2, for \$2 postpaid; it includes articles by Sylvia Meagher, Edgar Tatro, George Michael Evica, and Jerry Rose.

An article by me is scheduled to appear in Rose's July issue. It is titled "The Final Investigation? The HSCA and Army Intelligence." If you have been paying close attention to this issue, there will be little or nothing of substance new to you. The article is basically a chronological account of information I have brought to the attention of the HSCA and (later) the Justice Department. There are some potentially significant leads, if I do say so myself.

As a general rule, EOC will not list articles published in other newsletters on the JFK case. There have been a number of articles which are certainly more noteworthy than many of the items I do list. In some instances, I differ with the author's interpretation, or feel that certain additional facts should be taken into account. I don't have the time to write a critique of such articles, and

hesitate to just list them without comment. I'll make some exceptions, but basically I will assume that EOC readers who want to know about these articles already have them.

Gary Mack's "Coverups!" is especially valuable for the latest gossip and news from Texas. There is generally less focus on new factual material on the JFK assassination in "The November 22nd Society Newsletter," "The Kennedy Loyalist," and "The Grassy Knoll Gazette," but each issue has contained something of interest to me.

From "The Lobster":

* 99. Feb 85 "A conversation with Prof. Peter Dale Scott" [7 pp.]

Parapolitics experts Robin Ramsay and Scott chat about the ways of the world, and about a few of Scott's favorite issues on the JFK case. Informal and thought-provoking. In a future EOC, I hope to get Peter to expand on his comments about Paulino Sierra - who is certainly a more interesting and less sinister figure than you would think from reading the HSCA material and the Blakey-Billings book.

100. Feb 85 "Kennedy assassination miscellany" [4 pp.] Odds and ends, mostly from books, relating (separately) to Hugh Trevor-Roper, Mary Meyer, Richard Gibson of the FPCC, Priscilla McMillan, and the murder of Diem.

The most intriguing of these items relates to Collins Radio. Epstein's "Legend" says that DeMohrenschildt tried to get the mysterious Adm. H. C. Bruton, then a vice-president of Collins, to help place Oswald in a job, but was rebuffed. (Well, Oswald had worked at and written about the Minsk radio factory; 16 WCH 285.) We're not talking about Radio Shack here - Collins was (among many other things) the prime contractor for the CIA's Pine Gap base in Australia, and Bruton had been Director of Naval Communications.

Oddly, one of the few Castro supporters to come to the attention of the Dallas FBI was a tool maker at Collins. He said in 1964 that he had never met Oswald but would have helped him form a Dallas FPCC chapter! (CD 1085j.2-3, #101)

A bit more on the Hunt-Lane case:

102. 22 Apr 85 (Tom Valentine, Spotlight) "Two-Car Caravan Confirmed" "Spotlight" is not quitting while it is ahead. "The confirmation of Miss Lorenz's testimony [see 7 EOC 1] comes from a male CIA operative [unnamed] who has testified on the matter" to HSCA investigators. There is no indication that the "operative" is talking now; Valentine was recently told by his former partner, Tom Lutz, what the source said in 1977. Lutz did offer an appropriate caution: "I'm not sure who you can believe about the JFK assassination." But sometimes you can be sure who you can't believe. If you need convincing, read the following:

103. July 1977 (Lutz, Newsreal) "Operative A's Story: Castro Paid For Kennedy's Death And The CIA Could Have Stopped It" [18 pp.] Lots of interesting people and incidents appear in this account, but among other things, "A" met Oswald at a CIA safe house run by Gen. Edwin Walker, taught Oswald about snakes, overheard a discussion of a plan to replace Oswald with his double, and got a "desperate phone call" from Oswald on the morning of the assassination.

Item #102 appeared under the heading "JFK: The Mystery Solved," and was labeled "ninth of a series." Can anyone provide copies or accounts of the previous reports in this series?

104. 22 Apr 85 (Spotlight) Ad for a taped interview of "nationally known attorney Mark Lane," telling "who shot [JFK] and why." For \$10, you can "hear this interview in the privacy of your own home." (I haven't bought it.) EOC's Washington source has spotted the old 544 Camp St. trick - the advertiser, "Information Associates," uses the other address of the corner building housing "Spotlight."

Credits: Thanks to J. Davis (#58, 65, 67-8, 71), M. Ewing (72, 74, 81), L. Harris (70, 81), G. Hollingsworth (89, 102, 104), J. Mierzejewski (60, 70), P. Melanson (70), C. Scally (59-62, 69), G. Stone (57), K. Walsh (64), H. Weisberg (82, 84, 87, 90-96), and G. Winslow (78).

ASSASSINATION ARCHIVES AND RESEARCH CENTER

918 F. ST. N.W.
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004
 (202) 393-1917

Your Editor, Paul Hoch, has been kind enough to volunteer to send out this flyer with his EOC. It is to announce the establishment of the Assassination Archives and Research Center, Inc. in Washington. The purpose is to collect, organize, preserve, and make available to serious researchers all variety of materials relating to assassinations, both national and international. There has been a need for some time for a permanent, physical center for the study of assassinations; hopefully, the AARC will fill this need.

We have taken a five-room suite at 918 F Street, N.W., in the heart of downtown D.C. We are two blocks from the National Archives, and just behind the FBI Building (and its Reading Room). Some day we hope to find the funding necessary to buy a townhouse for a permanent residence, but what we have will do nicely for now. And we hope that you will come and visit us in the near future. Our collection contains books, government documents, research files, unpublished manuscripts, photos and films, magazine articles, bibliographies, tapes, etc.

The AARC is a non-profit corporation, which has been granted a "501(c)(3)" tax exemption by the IRS as an educational institution. Hence, gifts to the AARC are tax deductible; our tax exempt number is 52-1354369.

Our Board of Directors for 1985 are Mark Allen, Bud Fensterwald, Mary Ferrell, Jack Gordon, Paul Hoch, Kathy Kinsella, Jim Lesar, Phil Melanson, and Gary Shaw.

We hope that you will become a member. Just fill in the form (below) and send it to us. [Or use a separate sheet of paper.]

 I wish to become a:

Regular Member	\$ 25.00 annual dues
Patron	\$1,000.00 annual dues
Life Member	\$5,000.00 one time contribution

My 1985 dues are enclosed.

 Name

 Address

 Telephone