

4640 NOBLE AVENUE  
SHERMAN OAKS, CALIFORNIA  
91403  
September 13, 1969

*COPY*  
*PENNY HAS*  
*GOT THE*  
*BURNED UP...*  
*SO EXCUSE THE*  
*TONE OF THIS*  
*FRED*

Dear Penn Jones,

Received your paper of September 11, 1969 and your editorial comments re: Roger Craig and Richard Carr.

I have no knowledge regarding the incident involving Mr. Carr in Atlanta, however, I do know Eugene Bradley and was therefore greatly concerned about your editorial and it's implications.

You state that Craig "is being hounded almost daily by E. E. Bradley of California." Where do you get this from? I do know that Craig has called Mr. Bradley collect, twice, and the second one of these was for the purpose of telling Mr. Bradley that he could not be reached in case Bradley should wish to call. Mr. Craig's first call was collect, in the amount of \$4.30 on August 4, 1969.

Is this what you mean when you say Craig has been subjected to "cowardly harassment?"

You state further that E. E. Bradley is an "alleged deputy sheriff". Just a little checking on your part would confirm that E. E. Bradley is a Burbank Auxiliary Policeman, Sergeant rank.

Now, as to your statement that "Bradley wants Craig to say Craig was mistaken when he said that E. E. Bradley was on the steps of the School Book Depository Building when President John F. Kennedy was shot." I would suggest that here again you had better check your facts. This can be construed as very damaging to Mr. Bradley's reputation. To my mind, this is actionable (defamatory) and it would be in your interest to contact Mr. Bradley and ~~xxxxxxx~~ straighten this matter out.

Other "facts" you print about Bradley I have found to be false and with a little checking you could have determined this for yourself. When you add up the difference between court costs and a phone call to check your facts, I'm sure you will agree the difference is considerable.

Mr. Bradley is not the type of person to "harass" someone and he is most certainly not a "coward". You have applied both terms to him and I would like to know your source for these remarks.

If you are out to defame Mr. Bradley and do not care about the accuracy of what you print, then I will not expect an answer to this letter. However, if you have some concern for the truth, and I believe you do, then I trust that you will reply to this.

Very truly yours,

FRED T. NEWCOMB

CC: Mrs. Mary Ferrell  
Mr. Gene Bradley  
Mr. George Rennar  
Mr. Gary Schoener  
*MR DAVID LIFTON*

Mr. Jim Garrison  
Mr. Larry Haapanen  
Mr. Dick Bernabei  
Mr. Hal Verb

*SILVIA WEGHART*