Telephone call from Arnoni, Monday 8/7/67 at 9:45 p.m.

He spoke to Vince at 5 pm for half an hour...There is no question but that he is very unprincipled...He had evaluated the situation in New Orleans (1) as a lawyer, (2) as a man.

As a lawyer, it was not at all deficouraging: It was dismaying. He is not encouraged by the prospects in re the trial of Clay Shaw (but he "feels" that Shaw is Clay Bertrand, by "intuition," why not? he has good intuition--good judgment of character--i.e., Rita).

Arnoni asked, has Garrison solved the case, yes or not??

Vince: "You solved the case, with your first editorials."

Arnoni: I beg to differ (exposition of the differences between the philospher and political scientist, vs. the researcher and specialist-

critic).

Vince: defended Garrison's motives as pure, character as honest and irreproachable, and repeated that he should not be attacked. (See Introduction to The Oswald Affair, last para, "the audacity of these who loudly proclaimed Oswald's guilt but asked those who felt doubts to keep silent") (See also Warren biography, "good judge of character")

8/1/67 9:45 pm Spoke & Vaire 5: To 5:30 Very unprincipleal, no fu. Sorted Fing hussimmen, as a langer, as a man-Lot at all encouragen

-differ t.

Trial flow not enous bet any "Jeels" this is clay Both id, Saturita (Why mit)