

TO HAROLD

2/12

SM

Re your 2/3 to Sylvia, about our personal files: basically, I'll ~~xxxxx~~ trust your judgment on the dissemination of anything you find on me. I don't want to submit any more requests for stuff about me until I see what I get from the general request I have already submitted. I might get specific ~~xxx~~ refs to FO files, for example, which might be worth pursuing, or I might get ~~xxx~~ the impression that they have given me everything of interest. In any case, I'll wait.

You mentioned Ted Condolfo's interest in documents on the critics. I've heard nothing good about him, and if I were you I would tell ~~xxxx~~ him I was too ~~busyxxx~~ busy to go through the files looking for that kind of material - which I think is a reasonably position to take, anyhow. For all I know, he's ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ interested in finding out ~~which~~ which of the critics are FBI-CIA agents.

More enclosures: the documents listed in your 2/4 (AIB #95-128 and 168-242, not inclusive). (44 + 22 = 66 pp.)

Returning to the Enquirer people for a minute - ~~xxx~~ they may be having their own contacts with the AIB, and I don't want to be undercutting the AIB by passing on the lists of what they selected if the AIB is ~~xxxx~~ planning on getting more in return than just copying costs. If some sort of consultant or research fee is appropriate (i.e., if the Enquirer uses ~~xx~~ some of the AIB-selected documents), I'm sure they could use the money. So, I guess it should at least be made clear to them that my lists and notes reflect the AIB's work in selecting documents. As I said, I would be glad to talk to ~~xxx~~ the Enquirer directly about all this.

You wondered about my rating of only \*\*\* for document # AIB-240, re the availability of the autopsy photos from the SS. That is a very interesting ~~xxxxxxxx~~ topic, and there should be other documents - if you find them, I would like to see them. This ~~xx~~ particular document didn't say that much.

You sent me an interesting UPI story about what appears to be CE 833; if indeed that has ~~xxx~~ been released with deletions, it would perhaps be useful to see what they wanted to delete. (This should be in the 62-109099 file under 4/6/64.)

Re your 2/8 about the HSC, Lane, etc. Surprisingly, I have heard nothing about the Lane attack on the HSC except for the enclosed Zodiac story, as far as I know ~~unused~~. I haven't seen ~~xx~~ his Free Press stuff yet. On the HSC, I've heard very little, obviously much less than you or Lardner. I want to take a very close look at the report that is apparently just out, and I'll pass on my comments.

A final enclosure: the Gale memo of 12/10/63, which was quoted a lot in the Schweiker Report. I understand it is not in the files the FBI released. I would like to see ~~them~~ enclosures, and the later Gale memos (esp. 9/30/64). I think Lardner is interested.

~~xxxxxxx~~ Regards,

Paul