The Editor The New York Times Times Square New York 10036

Dear Sire

After seven years we are making definite progress in the matter of Oswald ("The Real Lee Marvey Oswald," by Priscilla McKillan, page 41, this date). Hrs. McKillan, after five years of study, tells us that Oswald liked and identified with President Kennedy and that "he did not much want Kennedy to be dead." If she would spend five months studying the hard evidence and the forensic data, or five minutes viewing the Emprader film in the Mational Archives, she might well reach the conviction that Oswald in fact did not kill JFK.

A viewing of the Espruder film should be sufficient to convince any impartial person that the fatal head shot, which threw JFK back and left with force and velocity that can be ascribed to nothing other than a bullet impact, came from the front and right of the car. (The New York Times was mistaken in asserting, in the recent obituary of Abraham Espruder, that the head moved forward upon impact of the fatal shot.)

Among the audiences who have viewed the Espruder film, only the Warren Commission and its investigative sides seemingly failed to notice and understand the backward, leftward thrust. That prime facing proof of crossfire and commission was never mentioned in the Warren Report or the 26 volumes of Rearings and Exhibits.

The motion of the body upon impact of the fatal head shot is only one of a large number of hard facts which are inimical to the hypothesis of a lone assessin. Many inimical, ambiguous and contradictory findings his in the central area of the autopsy, the wounds, and the ballistics. A medical panel that reviewed the autopsy photographs and X-rays in 1968 for the Government found that the supposed small bullet entrance wound in the back of the head was four inches higher than the site described by the 1963 autopsy surgeons. The 1968 panel also found bullet fragments in the neck where some had been visible in 1963, and still other serious anomalies.

Those and still other varesolved problems teconomerous to catalogue here present compelling reason to reopen the whole investigation of the Dellas assessmation. So long as we lack conclusive evidence of how the President was killed—how many bullets, from what weepons, from which directions—psychological assessment of Devald's personality is simply irrelevant. Even if Mrs. McMillan's attempt to achieve insight into Cawald is more objective than earlier sordid pronouncements by certain phychiatrists, it is still irrelevant because Cawald was not, as he was charged, a lone assessin. However persistently the news media attempt to bury the Cawald issue in the official inquiry and the prosecution evidence will testify that the tragedy of 11/22/6) has been compounded by disregard for truth and for justice.

Yours sincerely,

Sylvia Meagher 302 West 12 Street, NYC 10014