monitor. (Sent him a sheet of Zerox document to accompany A Resume of the evidence on the Kennedy assassination, The Jarren Deport, and the work of its critics. The brief summation is needed.

by Griscom Lorgan

I did this as a performed endeavor to convince some edition was convinced - the American editor of the christian science

The evidence for and against the Marren Report is so detailed and extensive that most articles and books have left a cumbersome and cloudy picture of the case as a whole, one not easily followed by the casual reader. To avoid this difficulty we here undertake to deal with only a few high spots in the case definitively in such a way that there should be no question with regard to crucial aspects of the case upon which the Marren Report must stand or fall.

In his widely reprinted attack on critics of the Warren deport, The Scavengers, Richard Warren Lewis dealt with one of the crucial bits of evidence upon which the Warren Report must stand or fall, which was the motion of President Kennedy's head immediately following the impact of the fatal bullet. Mr. Lewis writes, "Vincent Salandria, . . . by superimposing critical frames of the moving picture on each other . . suggests that the President's head

lurched backward and to the left. Such conclusions would clearly suggest the presence of a second assassin. But Salandria, like most skeptics, overlooks the forward rush of the motercade following the impact of the fatal bullet -- a movement which clearly destroys his supposition." This is a clear issue of fact that can be confirmed or disproved by consideration of the evidence.

We show here the diagram that was drawn from Vincent Salandria's study of the motion picture evidence. In the words of Gaetano Fonzi. ender who reported this the second study, "With the excellent cooperation of the National Archives staff, two slide projectors (were)set up and, from one, frame 313 projected on a screen. From the other. frames 314, 315 and 316 can be individually superimposed over the image of 313. (Each frame represents a time lapse of only about 1/18th of a second.) It then becomes clearly evident

that the hit produced no forward motion of the head or body at all. Kennedy's head flew back and to the left, his shoulders and torso spun to the left, the top-right part of his head was blown apart." As the appended diagram shows, Kennedy's head spun around, and within the very brief period of one sixth of a second. The motion of the forhead was approximately one foot. We suggest to the reader that he move his head in a similar motion even within a period of one second. The conclusion should be obvious that no forward motion of the presidential limousine could have caused this motion of the head. And obviously a shot from the rear could not have caused it. As further evidence of a shot from the right forward, the brain matter that was blasted from Kennedy's head was thrown in the left rearward direction, spattering the two motorcycle escorts riding abreast at the left rear of the presidential limousine. As yet further evidence of this motion not being the backward motion that could have been caused by forward motion of the limousine, Life magazine placed a caption under one of these pictures with the words, "causing a massive wound and snapping his head to one side."

The evidence we have just surveyed disproves the Warren Report and substantiates the testimony of a majority of the witnesses that they heard, saw or smelled gunfire or/and smoke from the area of the wooded knoll to the front right of the presidential limousine. The discounting of such evidence by the FBI and the Warren Commission was part of deliberate design, and was just as definite as is this case of Richard Warren Lewis giving a very misleading report of the facts in his book The Scavengers.

Next in our list of the crucial evidence is the story of the Altman photograph which shows what appears to be Lee Harvey Oswald in the doorway of the Depository Building at the time of the assassination of President Kennedy. The FBI and the Warren Commission staff used fraudulant means to discount this evidence, means that its authors must have known were fraudulant. If fraud was required to make the case against Oswald, Oswald cannot be presumed to be guilty. The evidence is as follows:

Various observers thought they recognized Lee Oswald in the Associated Press print of a photograph by photographer Altgens showing the presidential limousine moments after the first shot. If this was Oswald, it would have definitely eliminated him from suspicion as an assassin. In response to inquiries about this photograph, the FBI asserted that the individual observed there had been a fellow employee in the Building, named Billy Lovelady. The FBI produced a picture of Lovelady standing in a similar pose with his shirt unbottoned in a manner similar to that of the man in the doorway. Det boording to The FBI's picture of Lovelady wearing the sense shift he had worn on that day, this shows a broad red and white striped short sleaved shirt which Lovelady had testified he had worn buttoned up to his neck on that day. The man who looks like Oswald in the doorway is clearly wearing a strikingly different shirt, one that appears to be identical to that which is shown on Oswald on the day of the assassination. This was a long-sleeved light flecked dark shirt whose upper buttons were missing so that there is clear view of the undershirt.

The importance of this identification of the man shown in the doorway of the Depository Building is evidenced by the fact that it J. Edgar Hoover himself the answered an inquiry about comparison of shirts in relation to this photograph. When the General Counsel directing the Warren Commission's investigation raised the questionin freen diagon way evasive, misleading answer to Counsel Rankin's phony question was substantially that Lee Oswald was wearing his own shirt on the day of the assassination. (documentation in Photographic Whitewash by Harold Weisberg-in full photostatic detail.)

Hoever's

To suggest that the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation was involved in suppression of evidence with regard to the assination of a president is a sense char formation to be inconcerned by gang parala

so have no however, that there have been highly qualified journalists who had early suspected this to be the case. Among these, a leading Scripps-Noward editor is outstanding. Richard Starnes had been assistant managing editor of the Scripps-Noward Mashington paper and subsequently became managing editor of the New York Morld-Telegram. Thus he knew his way around Mashington. In a column he had. Written, "Can we expect the FBI to explain why Oswald was not under surveillance that day in Dallas when the Fresident's widely-heralded visit was scheduled?" He alignments, "The commission will be almost wholly dependent upon the facts made available to it by the Secret Service, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Dallas Bolice Departments.

"In a sense, of course, the special commission is investigating the role played by each of these agencies, and it is manifestly naive to expect these cops to bear witness against themselves, or, indeed, each other.

The entire darren Report, with most of its work done. by the FBI, is full of conclusions based on evidence that had been warped and twisted by the investigators. For example, not only does the altgens photograph appear to show that Oswald was near the front door of the Depository Building at the time of the assassination, but a fellow employee testified that Lovelady had been sitting down at his feet at the time, and the altgens photograph shows a man sitting there, his head barely showing. Furthermore, another employee testified that she had caught a glimpse of Oswald as she was leaving the building about this time. she did not retract this testimony on cross examination, but the Warren Commission staff got around it by using her statement that she did not see Lee Oswald after she left the building to infer contradiction in her testimony.

It was essential to the Jarren Report to conclude that only three snots had been fired, and that all had been fired from the far sixth floor window to the east of the Depository Building. Yet a number of witnesses independently stated that o they saw a bullet hit the pavement to the left and rear of the presidential limousine, one of them saying that sparks g flew up from this spot, One observer who saw only the television picture of this pressed the Commission about it, and the FBI then located a spot in apparantly the area the direct witnesses indicated, where the pavement had been gauged out as if from a bullet. This was described by the FBI report as "an approximately four inches long by one-half inch wide dug-out scar, which could possibly have been made by some blunt-end instrument or projectile. It is noted that this scar lies in such a direction that if it had been a bullet, it could not have

come from the direction of the window the President's Commission on the assassination of President Kennedy has public stated was used by Lee Harvey Oswald when firing his assassination bullets at the late president.

"This particular scar is in line with the western end of the . . Depository Building, that is, the opposite end of the building from where Oswald was shooting at the late President."

This testimony and evidence is of portional and significance because it points up a clear misstatement of fact in the warren Report and to another chain of misrepresentation of evidence.

* Among the many occasions in which the Warren Commission Claiffed by Misrepresented evidence to make its case, its dealing with the testimony of Arnold Rowland is most glaring. The detailed procedure by which the Commission's key investigator Arlen Spector set about discrediting Arnold Rowland's testimony must be read at length to be appreciated. For that reason it is appended with this brief survey.

Arnold Rowland vas a major obstacle to the warren Commission's conclusions because he steadfastly asserted that he had observed a rifleman at the western end of the sixth floor of the Depository Building. Moreover, he was the only witness who had noted the presence of a telescopic sight to the rifle. The seeming disprove the commission asserted in its Report that "the far east corner of the sixth floor of the Depository (had) the only open window on that floor." Yet photographs taken at the time of the assassination show the far west window to have been wide open just as arnold Rowland suid it was. All the arguments tending to show that Rowland was an inaccurate and undependable witness have been fully disproved (as snown in the appended discussion and repetition of testimony), so now we have no reason for disregarding the evidence of witnesses of a bullet hitting the pavement to the left. rear of the Presidential Lomo using and gauging a mark that leaus to the opposite window from that at which Oswald was presumed to have been aiming at the resident. It is ironic that Harland manchestor in his peath of a President credits Rowland with being a good witness, but ignores the fact that Howland undermined the whole thesis of the Jarren Report.

Not only do we have evidence-that the interimet shot in the assassination shooting came from another window than that in which Lee Oswald was presumed to be shooting, but we also can show that noone was shooting from that window at the time of the first shot. Like the evidence we have already mentioned, this evidence too was knowingly misrepresented by the FBI.

Visable in

only the rifle, and photographs show nobody in the window just before the first shot. There was no reason why the assassin should be in view, for he could see and shoot while concealed beside the window. Moreover, Brennan had twice failed to identify Occald in a lineup and had poor eyesight. But the Commission had no beals other than criminal collusion on the part of the ku Kluz Flet infiltrated police for the police identification of Oswall as the person fitting the description of the suspect, about which it had sent out a radio call on the basis of which Tight was suppored to have encountered Oswald. Hence the Commission's and FBI's wandling of photographic evidence showing nobody in the window.

On January 20, 1964 J. Sigar "Sover listed photographs "which are available of the assistination", and among them he listed "an 8 mm color movie" of the "Fresidential motorcade proceeding east on hain Street, north on Houston street and left on all street directly in front of Texas School Book Depository Building is shown in full in the photographs." In the twenty six volumes of the Commission's Hearings only a small portion of one of the Frames of this movie is dealt with or shown, with the caption "enlarged print from 8nm film of window from which shots were fired. Picture was taken moments before assassination." The only reference to this evidence in the Marren Report is the following:

Bpeculation -- an anateur 3 millingtor photograph taken at 12:20 p.m., 10 minutos before the zscassination of President Kennedy, should the silkoustee at the sixth floor window of the Depository.

Commission "inding — a film taken b. an amateur photographer, Robert J.B. Hughes, just before the assassination, shows a shadow in the southeast corner window of the sixth floor. This has been determined after examination by the PBI and the U.S. rhotographic interpretation center to be the shacow from the cartons near the window."

This picture could not have been taken more than about four seconds before the first shot in the assassination, and the assassin could not have moved in the window to a significant extent while in the course of model aiming and firing. The Commission and the FBI thus had evidence that Brennan', testimony of seeing Oswald shocking at the window was false, and hence that the Dallas police had pre-determined that Oswald should be the suspect to be aperthended for the massination.

* Fenn Jones had been appropriate by a leading Dallas policeman, George Butler, in 1961, with the variant that he should print a region-wide Ku Klum Klan newspaper, as which time the policeman had told Jones that half of the police forme in Pollos were members of the KKK. Butley was a guard at the theo of Oswald's murder. We are now able to reconstruct the Kennedy as assination with a fairly complete chain of evidence, giving the names of at least some of the assassins, proving their motives (as wag never done with Lee Oswald) and showing why the FBI and Warren Commission engaged in the cover-up of the identity of the real assassins. For the sake of brevity we will limit ourselves to basic and essential documentation.

nee uswala man not been a particularly good rifle shot even wh n he was in practice in the marines, and there is no evidence that he had kept up his practice. Furthermore. there are a number of clear instances in which Uswald was reported to think well of President Kennedy and his civil rights program -- in striking contrast to many people in Dallas. But there was a man who looked very much like Lee Oswald who not only was an extremely good shot, and had been in the Dallas area about the tile of the assassination, but he had clearly reported motive for the assassination. At the Sports Drome wifle wange near Dallas this man with two spanish-looking companions had shot with spectacular accuracy, and his similarity to Lee Oswald was so great that among other witnesses a doctor and his son when they saw a TV picture of Lee Oswald after the assassination each independently was convinced that he was the man they had seen at the rifle range. Similarly in Dallas responsible Cuban exile, Silvia Odio, and her friends who had been visited by an essentially similar assortment of men. were convinced that Lee Oswald was the same person as they had been introduced to as the "Leon Oswald" who had visited them in company with two latin-American looking But since Lee Oswald at this time was known to be meno on his way to hexico City, the warren Commission concluded that this "Leon Oswala" was not connected with the assassination.

Silvia Ouio had been told by a companion of "Leon Oswald" that "he was an excellent shot, and that (he)s-id the Cubans don't have any guts . . because President Kennedy should have been assassinated after the Bay of Figs. . because he was the one that was holding the freedom of Cuba."

We have already shown evidence that Lee Oswald was on the first floor of the sepository Building at the time of the assassination. He left very shortly afterward; it is presumed by some that he left to report in his capacity of informer to some governmental agency. Some time later, about the time that restrictions were drop ed limiting entrance and exit from the Depository building, deputy sheriff Roger D. Craig saw a man(he subscouently identified, from seeing him in police custody as Lee Oswald) run from the area of the Depository Building to an automobile with a latin-merican appearing driver that had driven slowly in front of the building to pick him up. Since the Deputy Sheriff was sure this was the same as Oswald, yet Oswald had been proved to be elsewhere, he was discredited in his testimony. Now we assert that this testimony places william Seymour, alias Leon Oswald near the Depository building shortly after the assissination. As the Warren Megort puts it (pl 301) "Seymour is similar in appearance to Lee Harvey Oswald".

Oswald's relationship with officer Tippit cannot be avoided in a discussion of the Kennedy assas ination. There is much actailed evidence that would tend to clear Oswald of the Tippit killing, too lengthly to go into here, but one element of evidence entirely misrepresented in the warren deport casts Oswald's relationship to the Tippit killing in a very different light. Harold deisberg has shown that whereas Lee Oswald's landlady had testified that a police car had stopped and beeped its horn several times in front of his rooming house when he had stopped there after the assassination, the Jarren Report had stated that "investigation has not produced any evidence that there was a police vehicle in that area." Yet the Warren Commission had clear evidence that officer Tippit's police car was in that area at the time --- the only car in the D_{allas} force that was. Moreover, Tippit's car was the only one whose license nearly coincided with the number that irs. Roberts, the landlady, with poor eyesight, had thought it 106 or 107 as contrasted with Tippit's 10. An additional figure could easily have been assumed from what followed on the license. This fits in with extensive evidence that Lee Oswald understood his role to be that of an informer. such as assistant district attorney Bill Alexander thought him to be fore the assassing tion.

The most ominous speculation about the Kennedy assessination is that it might involve not only anti-Castro fighters who had once been on CIA pay, but also people higher up in the CIA organization. Evidence of this and of the motive for such involvement is contered in reports that a prominant military affairs specialist who had occasionally been employed by the Cla (as Jin Garrison tells it in his clayboy interview) "Several days after the President's assassination appeared at the home of friends in New Jersey badly shaken, and charged that Kennedy was killed by a small group within the CIA" -- the Far Lastern Division .-- Garrison went on to say "He tola his friends he believed his life was in danger. .ie can't learn more from Underhill, i'm afraid, because shortly afterward he was found shot to death in his Washington apartment."

The rationale on the part of a group in the CIA would be clear: Kennedy had become disillusioned with the Vietnam conflict and had appointed ambussador Galbraith to study the The CIA was clearly faced with the likelihood Vietnam problem. of Kennedy's bowing out on its Vietnam management as he had done with its planned invasion of Cuba, and the whole freewheeling CIA organization was in perila Just after the appointment of ex-ClA chief Allen Dulles to the derren Commission, Scripps-Foward columnist ichard Starnes had pronounced "Dulles is shadow op inquiry", and went on to quote a prominant American official in the Far Last as saying "I seriously question if President Kennedy himself has any effective control over this monstrous bure ucracy." rerhaps the assassination was the necessary confirmation of this doubt.



"Our present order produces war. We must make a new civilization. Maybe you will say that such a task as remaking an entire civilization is too long, that we haven't time, that we must first stop the threatening war and that only after that has been accomplished should we undertake the larger problem. Saying that, you are denying that war is an integral element of our sivilization. We cannot abolish an essential feature of a system unless we alter the nature of the system. Concentrating all our efforts on postponing war would not leave energy for deeper changes which are required if the causes of war are to be eliminated.... The makers of peace will have to deal with far more concrete and detailed matters than an abstraction called "peace.""

We cannot effectively advocate what we do not live. The real advocacy is made by our use of the everyday affairs and opportunities of our lives. John Ruskin wrote, "Things are either possible or impossible: you can easily determine which in any given state of human science. If impossible you need not trouble yourself about it, if possible try it. The utepianism is not our business, the work is.

To de away with war in the abstract is not our business. Our responsibility is to accomplish what is within our power in creating a just and progressive social order free from the seeds of destruction and violence. The old order is in process of destroying itself. We must build a viable alternative to supercede it. The antislavery movement began when such men as John Woolman cleared their lives from responsibility for slavery and then assumed the wider areas of responsibility within society that lay at hand. This was a social undertaking, but it began with the individual and his community. Tolstoy said that when he undertook reforms and improvements on a large scale in Russia the endeavors dwindled to futility, but when he turned to labor at what lay immediately at hand the work then grew and spread. The opportunities at hand are almost untouched for us to labor in displacing the sources of disaster threatening mankind by building an alternative social order through living it with our own lives. Heretofore most people have been fighting the old unjust social order while enmeshed in it and supporting it by being part of it. If we will begin with ourselves and then join in association with others who are of like mind in building a better social order, the widening ring of effect and growth will be progressive. This means that after ourselves and our families, the fellowship, the small community and the fellowship of such communities are our opportunities to create a new and progressive social order that will bring world peace and social justice,

In this handbook we examine some of the essential departures that must be made in the proceedure of establishing a new civilization.