

Ms. Sylvia Meagher:

Ted Gandolfo
1214 First Avenue
New York, N.Y.
10021
Sept. 22, 1986

COPIES TO GARRISON, SPRAGUE, LANE, BRUSSELL, WEISBERG, WECHT

Dear Sylvia,

Since your writings indicate strongly that you are very meticulous in regards to facts surrounding the JFK case, I thought that after your rude response, verbally to me when I politely / called you tonight to impart some important info, and was told not to ever call you b again, I thought that in this, my final correspondence with you in ANY way, that some facts from me to you would adequately explain my position. What I will relate to you now is the truth. The story is this: I'll begin at square one.. I ask you to, for a moment, believe me when I say that I have an incredibly good retentative memory, especially regarding the JFK case. So here goes: The overblown animosity you have about me began during the taxi ride from the cable-TV studio when you guested on my show with Fineman. It was entirely predicated on the fact that we are of quite differing opinions re Garrison, if you'll recall we expressed our differing opinions about his investigation during that ride to your home. It really began there and then. Contrary to what you told me recently, I was not at all rude or abusive, nor did I use profanity while discussing Garrison, etc, to you. Therefore, the statement you made about me is entirely a false one, in this regard. But since I consider this case to be more important than any personal considerations, as I've proved recently re the threatening letter regarding my wife, I have, because I respect your prodigious efforts in this case, attempted to not let our differing opinions re Garrison prevent me from continuing to communicate important info to you, because it is my opinion that there has, for far too long, been a childish and foolish ~~of~~ bickering between critics, which I have succeeded to not involve myself in. As a result, I maintain a very good relationship with EVERY long or short term critic. I believe that the forces we are fighting against are far too strong for us to do exactly what they are thrilled to have us do, i.e. fight against one another.

I think you will agree that ever since I used the alias of Bob Foster with you in order that the concept mentioned above would best be served, I have been courteous, informative and anything but the type of person you so erroneously portrayed me as being. Based on your request tonight that I never communicate with you ever again, I realize that this kindness on my part towards you has been, and is, totally unappreciated by you. You may, indeed, rest assured that you will, as you requested, never hear from me in any way whatsoever from now on. You see, the way I feel about it, anyone who allows a perpetuation of a silly, foolish grudge to exist, even though absolutely NOTHING except a disagreement as to the merits of another critic exists, is not my cup of tea, and, as an intelligent person, it is completely unfathomable to me as to why you have so extremely over-reacted to it., especially, I reiterate, after the subsequent kindness I've, no doubt foolishly attempted to, and succeeded admirably in showing you.

Now let me get to the "meat of the matter".

I have been to Garrison's office on several occasions, including during the Shaw trial. I have seen his evidence of a definite CIA conspiracy to kill JFK. I also know of Shaws, Ferrie's, and others definite involvement in the crime, beyond question!!

Garrison has told me that you have NEVER been apprised of this evidence. Therefore, on what possible basis did you form the erroneous opinion and conclusion that, as you told me on several occasions, that "Garrison is a fraud". On what basis, really??

As one who has compiled more than 5,000 hours of recordings on EVERY aspect of this case...as one who has CIA documents stating that they will use their "propaganda assets in the media" to discredit Garrison, and EVERY OTHER CRITIC WHO IS ATTEMPTING TO IMPART THE TRUTH to the people, weren't you able to, or didn't you attempt, ever, to read between the lines of th prodigious power play employed against Garrison through the CIA PAID 'propaganda assets"? Did you ever ponder the question as to why the CIA paid the defense attorneys for Shaw? Why, do you suppose that the then US Attorney General, Ramsey Clark, stated in a press conference that

.., "Much as I would hate to have to do it, I just might prosecute Jim Garrison. He took a perfectly fine man, Clay Shaw, and ruined him just for personal aggrandisement". Since, at the time he uttered those words, the trial had not yet commenced and, therefore, Garrison's evidence had not, as yet, been presented and judged upon. On whose behalf did he utter those words, if not the CIA, who just happen to be, PROVEABLY, the actual perpetrators of the crime? Why, do you suppose, did the CIA media publish, again proveable, some of the most odious untruths against Garrison, before, during and after the trial? The classic example, of course, being the front page headline of the Chicago Tribune which blared out the despicable, odious untruth when it proclaimed, "Garrison received a mental discharge from the military". The s stert was as untrue as anything can be. At the time of that occurrence, Garrison was, and still is, in the active reserves of this country, having received TWO medals for bravery for flying over enemy lines!!

You obviously never thought about these things, did you, Sylvia? I know this case and the way the CIA controlled media have lied to the people, continually, as few critics know it. For an intelligent person, couldn't, or wouldn't you attempt to put 2 and 2 together to derive at 4? You also told me that another dear friend of mine was "wild" in his assertions re this case. That man being Dick E. Sprague.

I, and he, and Lane, and Garrison know more about the HSCA's suppressions of direct CIA involvement in the JFK case than you could ever HOPE to know, and I do not take too kindly your misinformed and mis-guided attempts to besmirch them.

You should get on your knees and pray thatn you will EVER have the COURAGE, HONESTY AND KNOWLEDGE...AND HUMANITY that people I am proud to call my friends and colleagues have.

By the way, you should come to the self-realization that you are NOT the ONLY "intelligent" critic around. I, personally am compelled to inform you that my I.Q. is close to genius rating. The difference, and it is a substantial one, between you and myself is the fact that while I am very proud of the fact that I am regarded by my fellow long-time critics/friend as a pretty regular and nice guy, you, in my considered opinion, are a supercilious, holier-than-thou, non-knowledgeable, "my shit deesn't stink" (you think) insulting bitch who really should do some REAL relevant research into the TRUTHFUL EVIDENCE Garrison has accumulated implicartting the CIA in the JFK assassination, before continuously, % and ERRONEOUSLY SMEARING HIM AND HIS TRUTHFUL INVESTIGATION, DISCLOSURES AND EVIDENCE !!

Mae Brussell, who you also spine is "crazy" also knows more about the case than you will ever know. She told me she offered to give you the very important "Torbit Document" and that you refused to accept it. She has also, as you have, indexed the W.R. including names you didn't include in yours. Therefore, in finality, my question to you is, ~~Who~~ the fuck do you think you are, insulting critics who know so very much more about the case than you could ever dream of knowing? Get off your high white horse and partake in the realities of the situation. There is always time for redemption and knowledgeable rehabilitation.

So long, you ignorant asshole!!

Contemptuously yours,

Ted Gandolfo, alias
Bob Foster.

P.S. Stop being an ostrich..take your head out of the sand and acquaint yourself with the, unknown to you, REALITIES of the situation and evidence.



Ms. Sylvia Meagher
302 West 12 Street
N.Y.C. 10014