

Sincere thanks and appreciation are given to the following patrons and foundations who have made the Symposium possible by their support:

John E. Bandy II, Astral Foundation/METAscience Foundation  
Walter Beebe  
J. Edwin Carter  
Benjamin Coates  
Esther Coopersmith  
Copernicus Society  
est Foundation  
John E. Fezzer Foundation  
Freed Foundation  
Major General James W. Gerard II  
Mr. and Mrs. Thomas N.E. Greville  
Institute of Noetic Sciences  
Margaret Lloyd  
David A. Morse  
Bobo Legendre Patterson  
Claiborne Pell  
Stephen B. Roman  
John S. Spong  
Stans Foundation  
Carole A. Taylor, World Community Foundation  
Paul Von Ward, Delphi Research Associates  
Barbara L. Yarnall, Astral Foundation/METAscience Foundation



*Does consciousness survive physical death?*

# CONSCIOUSNESS and Survival

A Symposium sponsored by  
The Institute of Noetic Sciences  
Planned in cooperation with  
The Office of Smithsonian Symposia and Seminars

Gaston Hall, Georgetown University  
Washington, DC

October 26 & 27, 1985

*Does consciousness survive physical death?*

# CONSCIOUSNESS and SURVIVAL

A Symposium sponsored by  
The Institute of Noetic Sciences  
Planned in cooperation with  
The Office of Smithsonian Symposia and Seminars

Gaston Hall, Georgetown University  
Washington, DC

## October 26 & 27, 1985

Questions from the audience during the Discussion Panel periods will be taken from the microphone set up in the center of Gaston Hall.

A collection of formal papers from this symposium, edited by Bishop John S. Spong, will be available at a later date.

Audio cassette tapes will be available following the Symposium.

Concurrent with the Symposium is the acclaimed exhibit **Continuum — The Immortality Principle**. This exhibit, with material drawn from science, philosophy, art, religion, psychiatry, parapsychology, and technology will be set in Copley Formal Lounge and is open to the public. Exhibit hours: Saturday and Sunday, 9:00 am to 8:00 pm.

Box lunches and drinks are available for \$6.00. They will be located in the Hall of Nations, Walsh Building, 36th and Prospect.

A reception in the Galleria of the Intercultural Center for all Symposium attendees and speakers will be held immediately following the Saturday program. A dinner for patrons and speakers will follow at 7:00 Saturday evening.

Parking for the Symposium and the Continuum Exhibit is available in Georgetown University Lot #3. Entrances are on Canal Road and Prospect Street.

For information about post-Symposium availability of the collected Symposium papers, and audio cassettes of the speakers, write the Institute of Noetic Sciences, 475 Gate Five Road, Suite 300, Sausalito, CA 94965, (415) 331-5650.

## The Program

Saturday, October 26, 8:45 am to 6:15 pm

### Welcome

Carole A. Taylor, Convener

Life After Death - A Modern Inquiry

Bishop John S. Spong, Diocese of Newark, Convener

Is the Question Important?

Senator Claiborne Pell, Convener

Cartesian Presuppositions of the Survival Hypothesis

Antony Flew, D.Litt., Social Philosophy Center, Bowling Green, Ohio

Altered States of Consciousness and the Possibility of Survival of Death

Charles T. Tart, Ph.D., University of California, Davis

The Mind-Body Problem and Quantum Reality

Paul C.W. Davies, Ph.D., University of Newcastle upon Tyne, England

Lunch: 11:45 - 1:15

Death and Dying: The Buddhist Perspective

Sogyal Rinpoche, Incarnate Lama; Tibetan scholar

Mind, Matter and Consciousness: Search for a New Paradigm in Science

Stanislav Grof, M.D., Esalen Institute, Big Sur, California

Discussion Panel: 3:15 - 4:45

Reception: 5:15 - 6:15 Galleria of the Intercultural Center

Sunday, October 27, 10:00 am to 5:00 pm

Can Our Memories Survive the Death of Our Brains?

Rupert Sheldrake, Ph.D., Lecturer and researcher

A Possible Conception of Life after Death

John Hick, D.Litt., Claremont Graduate School, Claremont, California

Lunch: 11:35 - 1:05

DNA, Neuropeptides and Bodymind

Candace P. Pert, Ph.D., Clinical Neuroscience Branch,

National Institute of Mental Health, Washington, D.C.

Near-Death Experience: Intimations of Immortality?

Kenneth Ring, Ph.D., University of Connecticut

Discussion Panel: 3:05 - 5:00

### Panel Members

Willis Harman, Ph.D., Panel Chairperson, President,

Institute of Noetic Sciences, Sausalito, California

Jacqueline A. Damgaard, Ph.D., Psychologist and

researcher into multiple personalities

Program coordinator: C.B. Scott Jones, Ph.D.

Press consultant: Milton Friedman

# Matters of Life After Death

Symposium on the Soul Ponders  
'Consciousness & Survival'

By Michael Kernan  
Washington Post Staff Writer

*I believe that primal attitudes toward life and death set the ethical environment and range of peace and violence in which we all live.*

— Sen. Claiborne Pell

Four years ago, at a dinner party given by the senior senator from Rhode Island, the guests got into a discussion on whether consciousness survives our death. The subject proved so intriguing, with so many different facets, that all the guests agreed it would make a most exciting symposium.

And unlike most great dinner-table ideas, this one was bullied and sweated into reality. This past weekend "Consciousness and Survival," sponsored by the Institute of Noetic Sciences and the ubiquitous Smithsonian, drew well over 500 people to the Georgetown University campus for a series of talks by international experts—including a Tibetan lama—in an amazing variety of fields.

Someone called the symposium "the most historic event of the century for survival-after-death research," and while that might be something of an overstatement, sponsors and listeners alike seemed



BY JOEL RICHARDSON—THE WASHINGTON POST

Sen. Claiborne Pell, left, Bishop John Spong and Carol Taylor at symposium on the hereafter.

to feel it was a landmark in the growing general recognition that life after death is worth serious attention and scientific study.

Pell outlined four broad points of view on the subject: "the possibility of reincarnation, where the soul remains, with or without memory"; the idea of the Great One "that the soul and its accompanying memory joins"; simple oblivion; and "the idea that the individual soul with its accompanying memory lives on eternally."

He also listed a fifth approach: that "what will happen is what you believe will happen."

The audience had come from all over the Northeast to hear about such increasingly popular subjects as

See LIFE, B4, Col. 3

WP B1 10/28/85

# Life After Death

LIFE From B1

out-of-body experiences, near-death experiences, memories of "past lives," parapsychology and assorted ways of communicating with the absent and the dead. What they got was a deadly serious, scholarly examination of how mainstream science is responding to the mounting pile of evidence and speculation on these things.

In the Saturday sessions, various positions on the question were outlined. Antony Flew, an Oxford educator now with the Social Philosophy Center in Bowling Green, Ohio, led off with a frontal attack on the notion that mind and brain are separate entities. He questioned the very idea that a mind or soul could be considered an "entity" or substance while remaining incorporeal.

Some scientists and philosophers, he said, tend to be confused among "the words 'minds' or 'souls' or 'selves' construed as referring to a peculiar kind of substance, and the same words interpreted as referring to aspects or capacities or dispositions of quite ordinary people.

"We are by this got into Wonderland, where grins are said to survive the disappearance of the faces of which they are a sort of configuration," said Flew.

Charles Tart of the University of California at Davis discussed altered states of consciousness from emotional peaks and troughs to dreams and beyond, to telepathy, clairvoyance and precognition. Stanislav Grof, a Czech scholar now at Esalen Institute in Big Sur, Calif., delved further into various, transpersonal experiences "that transcend normal perceptions."

From his 30 years of research into unusual states of consciousness, he said, he perceived four categories: ability to identify profoundly with other people, with the natural environment and with other aspects of the universe, the trans-



Symposium speaker Charles Tart.

BY JOEL RICHARDSON—THE WASHINGTON POST

dence of linear time; an experience of archetypal ideas; and finally a perception of archetypal ideas, as C.G. Jung has suggested.

Paul Davies of the University of Newcastle in England showed how the uncertainty principle of quantum physics could lead scientists to the concept of two or more worlds superimposed on each other, and Candace Pert of the National Institute of Mental Health related the ambiguities in DNA research to the new ways of seeing the "bodymind." Kenneth Ring of the University of Connecticut discussed the significance of the innumerable near-death accounts he has researched, and John Hick of Claremont Graduate School in California cited the work of spiritualists and mediums from the 19th century on.

"At bodily death," he said, "the empirical self, with its culture-bound personality and individual memories, begins gradually to fade away, our consciousness becoming centered in the

...the...  
...again to engage  
...bliss or eternal torment."

He mentioned a... of the millions who die in... the vagaries, the fact that... "seem fitted for eternal bliss or eternal torment."

It remained for the Cambridge-educated Tibetan scholar, Sogyal Rinpoche, to caution those preoccupied with life after death that "the main point of life and death is how we live now. What you will be is what you do now. The next minute is a reincarnation of this minute."

Laughing heartily at the notion of reducing the teachings of the East to a 40-minute talk, he reminded the audience of Buddha's teaching that the point of life is the understanding of ourselves and that we should try to view life and death as a complete whole.

Surely the most original contribution was from Rupert Sheldrake, the British biologist whose hypothesis of formative causation—the notion that the sheer repetition of phenomena makes them easier to learn, that all experiences are somehow transmitted throughout the world—created a sensation in the scientific establishment a few years ago.

Sheldrake questioned the assumption that memories are stored in the brain. They could, he said, be contained in a sort of force field around the body, with the brain acting like a television receiver that tunes in on them.

The main thing, he added, is that these ideas can be tested. Actual physical experiments can tell us, he said, whether, for instance, the common "feeling of being watched" is a real phenomenon. He urged more testing of the apparent resonances that signal pigeons to return to their nests. He suggested that we examine prayer, that ancient and respected belief that one can influence others far distant and even communicate with the dead.

"These things can be tested, it's perfectly feasible," he concluded. "These questions are completely open."