

6302 COOLSHADE DRIVE
FAYETTEVILLE, N.C., 28303

April 15, 1983

Dear "S.M." ☺

I hope that you are fine.

I do want to keep in contact, and thank you for your postcard stating that nothing ever turned up on a picture on Tippit's clipboard. For your info, over two weeks ago I wrote to chief Billy D. Prince, DPD, asking about the clipboard, and have received nothing.

I want to keep this letter fairly brief, but in line with your kind call to me, would like to ask a question

2)

on a new subject: Remember in Vol. 23, DPD Channel 1 radio transcript [Courtesy of FBI], 12:18 PM report of an "epileptic seizure" at 100 block North Houston, and ambulance 606's pick-up and "clear" at 12:24 PM, followed immediately by 606's radioed observation, "This is a Code 16" [investigation of INJURED person]? Well, I've finally dug out that this "victim" [?] [who effectively diverted the attention of 5 policemen] was JERRY BELKNAP, but I have nothing more on him; ^{re} any history of prior seizures etc. Do you have any info on JERRY? THANKS.

Sincerely,

Paul B. McJunkin

6302 Coolshade Drive
Fayetteville, N.C., 28303

March 6, 1983

Dear Mrs. Meagher:

I hope that you are feeling well. I want to sincerely thank you for the time and effort you spent on February 22 in calling and discussing matters with me. This call, your kindness, and our mutually expressed interests mean a very great deal to me.

Also thank you for furnishing me Robert J. Groden's phone number, and Mrs. Mary M. Fessell's address and phone

2)

number.

This letter is somewhat in the nature of an update since we talked, and then at the end I'd like to ask you about an item of potential evidence in the Tippitt case — as you expressed your willingness to help people like me if you happened to already know the answer.

First, the Groden action: on February 23, early evening, I telephoned [201] 324-1513, and reached Mrs. Groden. Mr. Groden had not yet arrived home from work. She furnished me their address, and I will pass it on to you

3)

in case you should
later have occasion to
refer to it: Robert J. Groden
385 Florida Grove Rd.
HOPELAWN, N. J., 08861

Later on night of February
23, I wrote Mr. Groden a
succinct inquiry - merely
an attempt to elicit
his technical expertise
on whether some blow-ups
of Tapscott frames bear
marks resultant from
the enlargement process
or whether the marks
were on the original
film.

As yet I have not
heard from Mr. Groden,
but perhaps he is
very busy, and I
still hope to hear.
Second, as to

4)

Mrs. Mary M. Ferrell:
on night of February
22 I wrote to her,
introducing myself,
stating that I had
obtained her address
from you, and generally
setting forth to her
my interest in
the Judith Louise
McCully statements'
inherent contradiction,
the same as in
my lengthier second
letter to you.

on March 3, I
received back her
very positive and
interested response
dated February 28.
She affirmed that
she had been aware
of the many contra-
dictions in Judy

5)

McCully's statements for many years now. She continued that although she does not know Judy McCully's whereabouts, she knows that Judy's parents, Mr. and Mrs. Springer E. McCully, now reside at 7011 HAVERFORD, Dallas and their phone number is [214] 348-1508.

Mrs. Ferrell also furnished some commentary on the less contradictory statements of Mrs. Charles T. (Avery) Davis (whom, as you'll recall, appears to have stood beside Judy in Judy's

6)

actual location on
the front steps of
the TSB. Mrs.
Ferrell pointed out
that one of the
more interesting
things about Mrs.
Davis' testimony
was in the Texas
Attorney General's
Report, Volume 1,
page 451, wherein
she said she
wondered if she
didn't see Jack
Ruby at the TSB.

Mrs. Ferrell thinks
Mrs. Charles F. (Anney)
Davis may be deceased
now. However, she
continued, she thinks
the former's husband
is still living in
Oak Cliff at 1502

7)

Lansford, Dallas,
phone: [214] 948-8748.

ms. Ferrell con-
cluded by writing
that she is in
her '60's and unable
to keep up the
pace she once did.

"I rather hope you pur-
sue this lead. You
might call Mr. or
Mrs. McCully and
ask how to reach
his daughter --
her married name,
etc. You might
call Mr. Charles
Thomas Davis
and determine if
his wife is still
living. (They might
be divorced.) I
think he is a
City auditor, but

8)

I'm not certain
of this."

Last night, March
5, I made contact
with Mrs. Springer E.
(Dorothy) McCully. She
courteously, but
adamantly resisted
giving out her daughter's
married name or
Telephone number. Mrs.
Dorothy McCully seems
very intelligent, ^{but} with
a placid personality.
She sincerely indicated
that she had never
been aware that there
were any contradictions
between her daughter's
statements. She in general
tone indicated that
for her, the Kennedy
Tragedy is long

9)

past, and she is not too interested in the whole subject. Mrs. Dorothy McCully suggested that I leave my name and address with her (which I did), and that Judith could write to me if she desired. I furnished Mrs. McCully a few brief questions for Judith's consideration.

For what it may be worth, I elicited the following additional comments from Mrs. McCully: "Judy was not upset when she came home on Friday afternoon [Nov. 22]. I don't remember

That either Springer
or I sat in on
the interview. I know
that she was inter-
viewed, but I cannot
recall them coming
out on a Sunday.
After I had diplomatically
outlined the contra-
diction (fourth floor vs.
front steps), Mrs. McCully
commented, "What's
the problem?" and
I carefully and care-
tfully pointed out
that on November 21,
Judith must have known
where she was on
November 22. Mrs. Dorothy
McCully responded, "Well,
she worked upstairs!"
From this, I may be
mistaken about Mrs.
McCully's high degree

11)

of apparent intelligence,
but on the other hand
she could have been
trying to say to me
that in the initial
interview there was ^{possibly} a
confusion over ^{A QUESTION RE} where Judith
worked as opposed
to where she stood.
In any event, I am
reasonably satisfied
from my phone call
that the whole ques-
tion of testimony
contradictions is entirely
new to Mrs. McCully. Her
entire discussion also
permits me to scratch
the theory "parental advice"
at least on the maternal
side - as pertains to
Judith possibly being
counseled NOV. 22 - NOV. 24
"NOT to get involved."

12)

If I should hear from Judith Louise McCully, I will pass on her clarifying comments to you as a matter of interest.

As I wanted to pursue the possibility that some "fact" or "opinion" expressed to Judith ~~by~~ by Mrs. Charles Thomas (aunt) Davis may have influenced Judith to initially misrepresent her viewing position, I immediately set out to try to locate Mrs. C.T.D.

However, last night, when I called [214 - 948-8748, a young man at this number,

13)

which I had him verify, declared that he had never HEARD of Mr. Charles Thomas Davis. I then called Directory Assistance, and was told that the number was certainly the listing for Mr. C. T. D., 1502 Lansford. To make doubly sure, I again called the number, and got the same (now surprisingly surly!) young man, who refused to affirm or deny he resided at 1502 Lansford.

I've written Mrs. Ferrell the gist of what little headway I've made in learning new bits from Mrs. McCully, and asked Mrs. Ferrell to try to find Mr. Davis.

14)

work number so I
can call him during
office hours.

As to my question
about a facet of
the Tippit case and
I figure that sooner
or later I'm sure to
ask something when
I should have been
able to dig out the
answer myself (!), but
I hope this isn't it
and ask your forgive-
ness when it happens.
aware of your scrutiny of the below in
accessories after the fact, 1967, p. 268; nevertheless,

I refer to volume 7
of the Hearings, Belin's
April 7, 1964 outlining
of the testimony of
Sergeant W. E. BARNES,
Dallas Police De-
partment - the

15)

man in the "crime scene search section of the identification branch" who photographed Tippit's vehicle at the scene. I respectfully redirect your attention to "a picture of some ~~map~~".

Page 274 [Lacking at Barnes Deposition Exhibit A, a picture of Dallas Police squadcar No. 10]

"Mr. Belin: Inside the [right-front door] window there appears to be some kind of paper or document. Do ^{you} remember what that is at all, or NOT?"

Mr. Barnes: That is a board, a clipboard that is installed on the dash of all squad cars for

16)

The officers to
take notes on and
to keep their wanted
persons names on.

Mr. Belin: Were there any
notes on there that
you saw that had
been made on this
clipboard?

Mr. Barnes: yes; we never
read his clipboard.

Mr. Belin: That is the
way you saw the
clipboard there?

Mr. Barnes: That is
the way it was.

Mr. Belin: It appears
to be there is a
picture of some
man* on the clipboard.
Did you notice whether

* IT SOUNDS AS IF THIS MAN'S PICTURE
WAS ATOP THE CLIPBOARD, CERTAINLY PARTLY VISIBLE.

17)

or not there was
any handwriting or
any memorandum
paper on the board?

Mr. Barnes: I couldn't
tell you what was
on the clipboard.

Mr. Belin: Anything else about
this particular pic-
ture, Barnes Deposition
Exhibit A?

Mr. Barnes: what?

Mr. Belin: anything you can
tell us about it that
you think might be
relevant?

Mr. Barnes: Not that I know."

Covering The Period 1967-1983:

Can you tell me anything
about whether this man's
picture was ever identified, and
whether there were notes made
by Tippit on paper on the
clipboard? * If information

* LEARNED ABOUT PETER 1967?

18)

should ^{still} be negative as to the holdings on Tippit's clipboard, that negative information, ~~which~~ of course, speaks loudly of one more instance of suppression of evidence, as you practically said in 1967.

Well, thanks for your attention and patience, and I hope you'll write me just a short note as soon as convenient. Please bear in mind my sincere offer made previously, by letter and phone, to be of assistance to you in any way possible in any endeavor - and this could include PROMPTLY ^{scribing} ~~transcribing~~ finger print into readable script even larger than this and dedicatedly mailing it back to you. Take care. Sincerely, Paul B. McJunkin