Weishorg

Dear Harold.

document on myself. I feel a little chagrined—it is something like the disappointment of being left off Vixon's enemies list. But perhaps something defendatory and scurrilous will still turn up; if so, I hope that you and/or the AIB people will send it to se.

Thanks also for coining that delightful new appellation "Ensteinker". I enjoy it and have adopted it. I have now read his book "Legend" and regard it as an insidious and disnomest work, parts of which are despinable and out-regeous.

The first question that must be asked in how this book came to be written—was it commissioned? If so, by whom and for what purpose? Spateinker had an enormous budget and a huge staff. Who made Mosenko available to him and why? Did be pay for interviews and if so are the results of the interviews tainted? I think there is probably a big story in how Desteinker came to do this book but that it will be a carefully guarded secret. Someone was anxious to the Occald (and the assassination) in with the Russians (and/or the Cubans); and thus to divert suspicion from where it belongs, which is within the home Satablishment. Did Spateinker succeed in making a case for Oswald as a KGB agent? Certainly he did not. His book is a gradione vessel for very slender cargo of evidence. It is a mixture of speculation and innuendo and he does not even have the guts to state a clear conclusion on his own part.

what is so ridiculous is that he argues, in effect, that Oswald was both a KuB agent and a lone assessim. For that purpose, he presents an appendix on "The Status of the Svidence" which out-does the Sarren Commission itself in perverting fact and evidence to sell the lone-assessin thesis—even going so far as to claim that the oak tree was bare and no obstacle to an earlier first shot. One need only look at GS 900 to judge the outrageous falsehood of that allegation. It is so outrageous and preposterous that I do not regard it as merely a falsehood but as a deliberate cynical fabrication, which Epsteinker knows will be obvious only to the community of critics but which the public and the ignorant book-reviewers will swallow whole. The entire Appendix is of the same cloth as the oak tree allegation—a monstrous deceit and distortion for which there is no possible erguse.

There are a few things that are potentially desaging, if they are true—but it will take a lot more than "evidence" presented by Epsteinker to lend them any legitimacy. One item is that De Mohrenschildt had in his possession a photograph of Cawald holding the rifle which was inscribed by both LHC and Marina (and Epsteinker claims that a handwriting expert verified that it was Marina's handwriting). But he does not publish the photograph or name the handwriting expert, and he even avoids a flat statement that he hisself saw this photo. Unless and until this is truly authenticated, I will classify it with the oak tree allegation.

The second item is Epsteinker's elaim in the body of the book that John Bowen (at Jaggars-Chiles-Stovall) and Gary Taylor both saw the rifle in Oswald's possession (in the footnote, this is changed to Gary Taylor and Alexandra De N. Taylor, with Bowen dropped). But Gary Taylor said no such thing in his warren Commission testimony—why should one believe him now? As for Bowen, he is an ex-convict, using an alias, and I would like to know if he was paid for giving Epsteinker an interview.

On the other hand, the book contains material that is very densing to the Cli, the FBI, and the Warren Commission. You will recognize it easily when you read it.

the book got a largely favorable review yesterday in the RY Times book heview section, written by Kevin Buckley. However, I am told that the MY Times is seething and furious about the book and it is interesting that it has not done any news story on it.

The book is based targely on material from Angleton—indeed, it could be said that it is Angleton speaking through Listelle and for his own purposes. Just to show again what an incompetent idiot and/or cyclosi liar Epsteinker is, he even repeats from the lying warren Report the allegation, exposed long ago as sheer falsehood, that Cawald arrived in London on Cotober 9th and departed the same day for Helsinki! I need not emphasize how much that offends as personally.

Twill be most interested to hear what you think of this 1984 book when you have read it?

Buolius ask enomine e elevier bereen elikusetan een lehe elikuset tatuut jaron oli ja oli jaroh jaron oli jaro Nijaran kail soonaa, analen een elikulta jaron kan kan kan kan kan kan elikulta jaron kan elikulta jaron eliku Analen elikultiika oli jaron en kan kan analen en elevit en elikulta jaron elevit jaron elikulta jaron elevit

Sour Best Colliss of Carlos (1965) In the Carlos Ca

ි බැහැ වී දෙවා වා ඉතිබෙන ඉඩ වැඩු සැවුමුවේ බැවි දැනි මැඩි වැඩි වැඩි වේ.මීම මිසි විට දිදිදේ මිසි වූර්ය සිය විය ස වතුන සිම වැඩුවුමේ සිට වෙය වී සිටීම එම සිතිවුමේ සිට සිට වෙයි. මුණු සිතිවුම් සිට විය සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට වතුන් එයට මැණුම් සිතුන්වට සිට සිට සිට සිටුම්ව වූ කම්වෙනවා සිට සිටුම්ම කට වෙයි. සිටුම්ම සිටුම්ම වේ. එම සිටුම් සිටුම් වූ යිට සිටුම් සිටුම් සිටුම් සිටුම් සිටුම් කම්වෙනවා සිටුම් දීමේම මුවම්ම සිටුම්ම සිටුම් සිටුම් සිටුම්

- North Company (All Company of the Art Company o

- 最高的に乗り付い。 1997年 - Albert 1997年 -- Albert 1997年 - Albert 1997年

and the second of the second o