Dear John, NiCHOLS

I have read your galley proofs as carefully as I could in the time available and I am puzzled by some parts of your article.

You say on Galley 136 page two that the "export panel reported several important new findings, only three of thich will be considered". However, there seems to be no discussion of the "gray-brown unidentified rectangular object..." and the other two items are treated inferentially, if at all.

I think that the article would benefit from a clear summing-up at the end, stating your conclusions gorating and the basis for each conclusion.

You have some very important findings in the article and my only concern is that your evidence and reasoning should be aligned with corresponding conclusions as distinctly and clearly as possible.

John, I am afraid this is not a very holpful letter, but I think your article is of high importance and that it should delineate evidence with corresponding conclusion in a more explicit manner.

All the best, and again I thank you very such for the clippings on the Carrison trial.

Sincerely,

Thotos Khups