

10/6/69

Dear Sylvia,

I agree Clay Shaw was persecuted by an unprincipled demagogue. Nevertheless Rogers' piece is objectionable. Chiefly because it is limited to a personal attack on Garrison who is represented as motivated by ambition for political prestige and office, and is, moreover, a disturbed and corrupt individual. Essentially this is the same approach the Warren Commission took to the assassination: an attempt to explain political life in terms of the psychology of individuals.

Whether by design or through limitation of his understanding, Rogers omits entirely

political analysis of Garrison's investigation.  
This is characteristic bourgeois establishment  
journalism which by omission, distortion,  
misrepresentation, and confusion, hides political  
truth in behalf of the basic interests it serves.

Did you expect  
better of LOOK ?? Yours

Is a copy of the 8 Supplementary Review of 1967 by  
the K autopsy available? I may have it  
somewhere at home -  
I'll look.

Also M. Forman's monograph? Ditto

CE 2259 is very interesting but has nothing about

O in Japan in it. Can you locate that item?  
See 8H 253 and/or

8H 253

Why was M. Forman's testimony not included in transcript  
of hearings? Because he retreated in  
utter confusion and ineptitude.