Federal Computers Amass Files on Suspect Citizens

Many Among Hundreds of Thousands Listed Have No Criminal Records— Critics See Invasion of Privacy

By BEN A. FRANKLIN

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 27 — Senator Sam J. Ervin, Jr., Dem-The police, security and mili-deray intelligence agencies of the said that computerized files al-Federal Government are quietly ready in existence here are compiling a mass of computer-leading the country toward a ized and microfilmed files here "police state." on hundreds of thousands of Discussions with officials, an law abiding yet suspect Ameri- examination of some known cans.

revolutionary age of assassina-the files often contain seemingtion, violent political dissent ly localized and mundane inforand civil disorder requires it, mation reflecting events that the Government is building an today are virtually commonarray of instantly retrievable place. information on "persons of interest."

for those citizens, many with the subject of a teletyped "spot no criminal records, whom the Government wants to keep by as many as half a dozen track of in an effort to avert Government intelligence gathsubversion, rioting and violence ering groups. or harm to the nation's leaders.

so far few in number, believe that the collection and dissemination of such information on noncriminals — for whatever purpose — is unauthorized by law and raises the most serious constitutional questions.

data files and information sup-With the justification that a plied by the Senator show that

The leader of a Negro protest against welfare regulations The phrase is an agent's term in St. Louis, for example, is

The name of a college pro-Critics of this surveillance, fessor who finds himself unwittingly, even innocently, arrested for disorderly conduct in a police roundup at a peace rally in San Francisco goes into the data file.

A student fight in an Ala-

The foremost among them, Continued on Page 42, Column 3

tive, a student of the Constitution, a former judge of the North Carolina Superior Court, and the chairman of the Senate Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights, says that the advent of computer technology in Government file keeping is pushing the country toward "a mass surveillance system unprecedented in American history. In a recent series of Senate

speeches, Mr. Ervin said that the danger was being masked by a failure of Americans to understand "the computer mystique" and by the undoubted sincerity and desire for "effi-ciency" of the data blank operators and planners.

The Government is gathering information on its citizens in the following reservoirs of facts:

¶A Secret Service computer. one of the newest and most sophisticated in Government. In its memory the names and dossiers of activists, "maicon-tents," persistent seekers of re-dress, and those who would "embarrass" the President or other Government leaders are filed with those of potential assassins and persons convicted of "threats against the President."

¶A data bank compiled by the Justice Department's civil disturbance group. It produces a weekly printout of national tension points on racial, class and political issues and the individuals and groups involved in them. Intelligence on peace rallies, welfare protests and the like provide the "data base" against which the computer measures the mood of the nation and the militancy of its citizens. Judgments are made; subjects are listed as "radical" or "moderate."

¶A huge file of microfilmed intelligence reports, clippings and other materials on civilian activity maintained by the Counterintelligence Army's Analysis Division in Alexandria, Va. Its purpose is to help prèpare deployment estimates for troop commands on alert to respond to civil disturbances in 25 American cities. Army intelligence was ordered earlier this year to destroy a larger data bank and to stop assigning agents to "penetrate" peace agents to groups and civil rights organizations. But complaints persist that both are being continued. Civilian officials of the Army say they "assume" they are not.

¶Computer files intended to catch criminal suspects — the oldest and most advanced type with the longest success rec-

ord-maintained by the Federal Bureau of Investigation's National Crime Information Center and recently installed by the Customs Bureau. The crime information center's computer provides 40,000 instant, automatic teletype printouts each day on wanted persons and stolen property to 49 states and Canada and it also "talks" to 24 other computers operated by state and local police departments for themselves and a total of 2,500 police jurisdictions. The center says its information is all "from the pub-lic record," based on local and rederal warrants and com-plaints, but the sum product is available only to the police.

¶A growing number of data banks on other kinds of human behavior, including, for example, a cumulative computer file on 300,000 children of migrant farm workers kept by the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. The object is to speed the distribution of their scholastic records, including such teacher judgments as "negative attitude," to school districts with large itinerant student enrollments. There is no statuatory control over dis-tribution of the data by its local recipients—to prospective employers, for example.

Warning by Ervin

Senator Ervin has warned: "Regardless of the purpose, regardless of the confidentiality, regardless of the harm to any one individual [that might occur if there were no computer files], the very existence of Government files on how people exercise First Amendment rights, how they think, speak, assemble and act in lawful pursuits, is a form of official psychological coercion to keep silent and to refrain from acting.'

But despite his sounding of such alarms, Senator Ervin has noted that there is "unusual public and Congressional com-placency." When he speaks on the Senate floor of "techniques for monitoring our opinions" and of "grave threats to our freedoms," the chamber is more often than not nearly empty. He has gained little Congressional support and scant attention outside the Congress.

Meanwhile, various official and high-level pressures on Government agencies to acquire computers and to advance their surveillance are producing results.

The pressures include a stern recommendation for the broadest possible surveillance of "malcontents" and potential as-

Continued From Page 1, Col. 3

bama high school is recordedif it is interracial.

Government officials insist that the information is needed and is handled discretely to protect the innocent, the minor offender and the repentant.

The critics - including the Washington chapter of the American Civil Liberties Union and Representative Cornelius E. Gallagher, Democrat of New Jersey—charge that the system is an invasion of privacy and a potential infringement of First Amendment rights to free speech and assembly.

Mass Surveillance Systems Senator Ervin, a conservasassing by the Warren Commission, which investigated the assassination of President Kennedy. The commission's mandate is widely cited in the Government as the authority for citizen surveillance.

The commission, headed by former Chief Justice Earl Warren, disapproved as too narrow, the criteria for persons to be brought under "protective" surveillance proposed in 1964 by the Secret Service. The guidelines were "unduly restrictive," the commission declared, because they required evidence of "some manifestation of animus" by disgruntled and activist citizens before those persons could be brought under Secret Service surveillance as potential "threats to the President."

'Every Available Resource'

"It will require every available resource of the Government to devise a practical system which has any reasonable possibility of revealing such malcontents," the commission said.

The guideline was broadened. A computer was installed by the Secret Service last January. The commission's edict became a surveillance bench mark.

For surveillance of persons who may be involved in civil disturbances, the riots of 1967 and 1968 served the same pur-

"The Warren Commission and the riots legitimatized procedures which, I grant you, would have been unthinkable and, frankly, unattainable from Congress in a different climate," one official said. "There are obvious questions and dangers in what we are doing but I think events have shown it is legitimate." the official declined to be quoted by name.

Senator Ervin contends that in the "total recall," the permanence, the speed and the interconnection of Government data files there "rests a potential for control and intimidation that is alien to our form of Government and foreign to a society of free men." The integration of data banks, mixing criminal with noncriminal files. Is already underway, according to his subcommittee.

Integration of Files

The subcommittee has been advised by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, for example, that its data systems planners have proposed to integrate on computer tape files concerning the following: the identities of 325,000 Federal Housing Adminis-



The New York Times

Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., Democrat of North Carolina

tration loan applicants; the agency's own "adverse information file;" the Justice Department's organized crime and rackets file, and F.B.I. computer data on "investigations of housing matters." The object, the Department said, is a unified data bank listing persons who may be ineligible to do business with H.U.D.

As another example of how computer data proliferates, the subcommittee cites a report it received from the Internal Revenue Service.

The I.R.S., with millions of tax returns to process, was one of the earliest agencies to computerize. It has also had a reputation as a bastion of discretion. The privacy of individual tax returns has been widely regarded as inviolate, to be overcome only by order of the President.

But the subcommittee has been told that the I.R.S. has "for many years" been selling to state tax departments—for \$75 a reel—copies of magnetic tapes containing encoded personal income tax information. It is used to catch non-filers and evaders of state taxes.

The District of Columbia and

30 states bought copies of the I.R.S. computer reels covering returns from their jurisdictions in 1969, the service has told the subcommittee. Eeach local jurisdiction was merely "requested" to alert its employes that the unauthorized disclosure of Federal tax data was punishable by a \$1,000 fine.

Firearms Data for Sale

The I.R.S. also sells at cost—apparently to anyone who asks—the copies of its data files of registrants under the various Federal firearms laws it enforces.

The Secret Service computer file is capable of instant, highly sophisticated sorting and retrieval of individuals by name, alias, locale, method of operation, affiliation, and even by physical appearance.

The agency's Honeywell 2200, with random access capability, makes it possible to detect, investigate and detain in advance "persons of interest" who might intend — or officials concede "they might not but we don't take chances"—to harass, harm or "embarrass" officials under its protection.

Unknown to most Americans, the names, movements, organizations and "characteristics" of tens of thousands of them—criminals and noncriminals—are being encoded in the Secret Service data center here.

The names of other thousands have been inserted in less specialized computers operated by the Justice Department and the F.B.I. Although the agencies insist that they do not, the computers can—and Senator Ervin stresses that no law says they may not—"talk" to each other, trading and comparing in seconds data that may then spread further across the nation.

The Secret Service can now query its computer and quickly be forewarned that, say, three of the 100 invited guests at a Presidential gathering in the White House Rose Garden are "persons of protective interest." Under current Secret Service

criteria, they may have been re-interest" to the Federal monithors of reportedly angry or screened out. Secret Service print out a list of all potential statements about the President name-indexed by the computer protective interest"—at the site of the secretary of the statements about the President name-indexed by the computer protective interest"—at the site of the secretary of the sec taken by the Secret Service may ducable elsewhere. range from special observation during "proximity to the President" to withdrawal of the invitation.

According to guidelines distinguished by the Secret Service dent" to withdrawal of the invitation.

According to guidelines distinguished by the Secret Service can be assembled for the travelvitation. range from special observation

What constitutes a computer—the computer—broadened at the gations, even detentions, can worthy "threat" thus becomes insistence of the Warren Computer—broadened at the gations, even detentions, can important. The Secret Service mission—included items about:

"You take a waiter in a hotel diving recent that it applies relatively the base is asserts that it applies relatively easy-going and "sophisticated" standards in deciding who is to President or other high Governbe encoded. But the critics point ment officials. out that the vast capacity of a computer for names and dos-sires—unlike that of a paper filing system, which has self-limit-pose of redress of imaginary ing ceiling based on the ability to retrieve-is an encouragement to growth.

The information or "data base" for a Secret Service computer name check flows into demonstrations in the United the protective intelligence divi-States or overseas." sion from many sources—abu-sive or threatening letters or Kelley assistant director of the telephone calls received at the Secret Service for protective in-White House, F.B.I. reports, felligence, said the computer white campus activists in Knox-plaining of grievances that military intelligence, the Cenname insertions already totaled ville, Tenn., for example. Only trained in the computer white campus activists in Knox-plaining of grievances that willed the computer villed in the computer white campus activists in Knox-plaining of grievances that some may consider imaginary. The secret is extremely careful, he can do that.

garded by someone as the autors of civil disturbance data is be ordered, for example, to or the Government. The action with a reference to data repro- of a forthcoming Presidential categories: (1) threatening

mation solicited for insertion in ing White House detail. Investi-

Those who would "physically harm or embarrass" the

personally contacting high Government officials for the purgrievances, etc."

¶Those who may qualify as

professional gate crashers."

Participants in "anti-American or anti-U. S. Government

Revenue Service, Federal build said, both in evaluating the ending guards, individual informants.

The American Civil Liberties emment officials."

The American Civil Liberties emment officials."

Based on the guidelines, the mants.

Much of it that may be "of ceive a printout are entitled to it. tion protects such acts as an qualified for the computer.

output of a computer better than the crime information central than the crime informat ter's; system, started by the tive Information," the guide-F.B.L. in 1966. F.B.L. in 1966.

With direct-access teletype with direct-access teletype terminals in 21 state capitals and large cities, the information center computer here can be queried directly by local police departments on the names, lices or any other high U.S. aliases, Social Security numbers and a broad array of stolen goods abroad. including boats) that come "B. Information pertaining to hourly before the police andividuals, groups, or organihourly before the police

check of a license number and mestic or foreign governments. be told by teletype and radio the use of bodily harm or as-in less than a minute that the automobile is stolen and that sassination as a political weapits occupants may be "armed on. This should include training and dangerous."

With one of the newest and most sophisticated random ac-who insist upon personally ice, the Secret Service data center can also perform some wizardry that no other equipment here can master. It can visit. The random access scan-

be arranged at the site.
"You take a waiter in a hotel dining room where the boss is going to speak," a Secret Service spokesman explained. "Let's caches of firearms, explosives, say the computer turns up his or other implements of war. Anyone who "insists upon name and we investigate and decide it would be better for ti-American or anti-U.S. Govhim to be assigned to some ernment demonstrations in the other duties. No one has a con- United States or overseas. stitutional right to wait on the President, you know. That's how it works."

electronic program, the same said in a speech a few months computer can also produce all ago: "Although I am not a 'prothe information it contains on fessional gate crasher, I am a the "characteristics" of subjects 'malcontent' on many issues.

encoded on its tapes—all the "I have written the President encoded on its tapes—all the "I have written the President short, fat, long-haired, young and other high officials com-

But there apparently is no effor merely to "embarrass" a formal guideline or list of cri-Government official, the perteria for dissemination, as there sistence of citizens in seeking is for insertion. And direct, redress even of "imaginery" automatic, teletype access to the grievences, and their participa-computer from distant Secret tion in "anti-U.S. Government Service bureaus — the system demonstrations." The Secret used by the airlines and the Service, however, has declined

National Crime Information to withdraw or amend its inCenter may be the next step,
Mr. Kelley said.

Nothing demonstrates how us," Mr. Kelley said. "If we remote access multiplies the weren't gettign the information output of a computation better weath we'd change them."

"A. Information pertaining to

hourly before the police

An officer in a patrol car tailing a suspicious car can nations of senior officials of doradio his dispatcher, ask for a meetic or foreign governments.

"C. Information concerning and techniques used to carry out the act.

"D. Information on persons contacting high Government officials for the purpose of redress of imaginary grievances,

etc.
"E. Information on any perstatements; (2) irrational statements, and (3) abusive state-

ments:
"F. Information on professional gate crashers.

"G. Information pertaining to terrorist' bombings.

"H. Information pertaining to

"I. Information regarding an-

"J. Information civil disturbances.'

Senator Ervin, who is noted Cued by another more elegant for a piquant sense of humor,