

Mon 6/3/68

Dear Sylvia,

I'm sorry I had Lawrence's book so long — I was so pressed for time those weeks that I just put off getting into it. Now I have — and of course it's all preposterous.

But crazy or not, it's an idea that I can't throw off so easily since only such a Big Idea as this makes some overall sense to the whole bungling mess. The story of Allied and Tino DeAngelis is fascinating, to say the least, but I'm not sure I have the stamina to add that to the ~~the~~ research!

L.L.'s documentation was sadly lacking, not even naming the book by Miller on DeAngelis that he recommended for The Rumor. I deplore the 'writing' itself — meant to pack a

wallop in the good yellow journal-
ess sense, and so jumping over
a heap of details that don't "fit,"
especially on the assassination.
(He knew most about the Allied story)
and ^{but} was actually indifferent and
ignorant of what the bullets and
wounds meant, else he wouldn't
say that ^{that} part of the research is
"pointless."

Overall, he gave me much to
think about on the Behavior Control
procedures + experiments. Having
done a lot of reading on Edgar Cayce's
work, it is not too wild to say that
the "powers of darkness," as LL put it,
are for real and that such a Rumor
might have some truth in it. And
if I tend to accept it for Allied et al.,
then JFK's death certainly seems to
fit. Tell me how crazy I am the
next time we talk -

Regards, Ruth

Received 6/4/68 (from Ruth Forstel)

Sylvia