Dear Gary,

Thanks for your latter of the 25 th and the enelosures. I an returning herewith the two legislative indexes, on which I have placed a large green dot next to each entry which shoule be incorporated into the subject index or the consolidetea nawe index. Happily, there are not too many ereen dots on most of the pages. If you think there are any egregrous omissions, please feel free to make adjustments, but I think the green dets cover all the necessary items.

Bon't worry about the list of whesses. In the course of compiling the key to names, I have been able to formulate a list of witnesses-those heard in public hearings, those heare in executive session, those who gave depositions, and (in two cases) those heard in "top secret closed hearings". This encompasses evarything in the volumes sug the footnotes but there are probaly other witnesses not rentioned in the volures in any manker, shape or form, and those we will not be able to include.

I have now finiahed handraiting the key to names. It is quite massive. For "organized crime" alone there are 15 celums of names! I will now proceed to type the lists us (it will take about a week, give or take a few days) and then will send then to you for alphabetization. I do hope that will not put too great a burcien on you.

I spoke to sshelman at scarecrow this afternoon, to see if he wanted the set of indexes to the indiviaual volures now, since they are correcteri and ready to ge. He said no, he cannot use them until the whole manuscript is ready, so I have put them away carefully, until next January. But-and this is inpertant-Eshelman says he would like our asterial in double-space wherever possible. He does not expect us to re-type the finished material, but he wants what we in future to be double-spaced. That means your subject index and consolidated name index, giy key to names (which I hope that your miracle alphabetizer can type up?), and the list of exhinits, which I have yet to $\mathbf{~} 0$.

That's it, for the moment. Best thanks and regards,

