

6/30/67

Sylvia: Of course, the points in your letter to the P<sup>o</sup>st were ~~100%~~ 100% right. But ~~xxx~~ how can we fight this kind of manure? Actually I was so fed up the first night of CBS, I called Harold Fedlman just to let off steam. I would have called you, but as usual have lost your number, and if I remember, you are not listed in book. At any rate, I calmed down after the first show (it seemed to me the first show was the most blatant). How can they say they studied this thing for 6 months and still came up with the same old apologies? No defense for Lee anywhere. Whether Lee is guilty or innocent, the fact remains there are NUMEROUS places in the Hearings where a strong defense for him on a number of items can be made. Benevides testimony, Brehm, they really had the finks bought and paid for.

(By the way, a friend who has seen proofs of your book says it is the best of them all. I am so anxious to get it. Everything I read helps my own thinking so much. Have you seen Penn's article on Bogard? Very good. I think this is tragic, because I was trying to contact Bogard in '66, not knowing he was already dead.) *about his death*

I grow more and more convinced of Lee's innocence in this thing. I suppose this is ~~immaturity~~ immaturity on my part, but the more ~~the~~ the WR evidence is piled on, the more sham it looks. CBS makes much of the geletime blocks testing, then leaves out any structure to simulate same in Commnally's body. We sat and watched in nearly frozen horror. At one point (the girls were watching on a set in their room and I was in the living room) there was a cry of rage from the back of the house and Vickie gave out with: "The no good dirty sons of bitches." Teresa was not encouraged by Rather and Cronkite admitting that Lee was tied in with a US agency. I was, however. Because in three years we may have old Walter creeping back onto our tv screens to admit another nearly overlooked fact: Oswald's innocence.

I remember three years ago when CBS did its first apology for the Warren Report, the possiibility that Lee was an American agent was dismissed out of hand. How times have changed! For all this we can take credit--and in particular those who got the work published and out in the open. *I am still actively doing so.*

Sylvia, I am back at the books harder than ever after a small vacation to attend to the divorce. I am also back at letter-writing, which though seemingly useless may play a small part. God knows, I sent out enough letters that first miserable summer before the Report came out! Those were the wild days when I imagined that with enough energy and logic we could force the establishment to see the basic unfairness in the leaked government approach. Well, it was wasted effort. But at least I am no longer so naive!

Please write. I know you are busy but I am anxious to hear from you. Epstein looked silly; needed a haircut. Mama ~~o~~ did very well for her. Mark Lane looked grand.

Love,  
S.

(over)

I am a little gut-out with Penn. He was after me always to "get a jet & come down here" to interview people; when I did not, he accused me of letting my "emotional life" affect my work (?) on the case.

I suppose so. Still, one does not get a divorce every day, & my first loyalty is to my children & the well being of their household.