

Notice that the alleged Roberston deposition (by the FBI) was taken in January 1964. Yet this deposition is very ambiguous. The FBI is so notorious in writing up thier interviews inaccurately that even the Commission tried scrupulously to get each and every witness to read over his or her depositions (taken by the FBI) and make corrections. Therefore the Roberston deposition could have been either a deliberate or innocent error. Notice that the FBI has Robertson describing Aynesworth as an WFAA photographer. Robertson corrects this saying properly that this was Reiland and that Reiland and Aynesworth are two separate people. It may be that Reiland and Robertson went out to Oak Cliff together after having been in conversation with A. at the TSBDB. Whatever the case, A's name was mentioned in some context apparently to the FBI. Or is this whole thing hindsight? When did the FBI present this deposition to the Commission? At any rate, rather than cinch the story in my opinion, Robertson's alleged January 1964 depstotion only muddies the water.

I am certainly inclined to feel that the story A. told me was the accurate one: that he rode out to 10th Street with a policeman after asking a ride of this man and that he wnet directly to 10th Street, not stopping anywhere as Robertson's alleges his car did at the Funeral Home. Why would A. make up this story for my benefit in July '64? It doesn't make sense; if he REALLY did go to Oak Cliff with Roberston why didn't he say so? WHY TELL ME HE RODE OUT WITH A POLICEMAN?

Also, have been sure for long time that Tippit was on accident investigation that day as was Walker. Tippit was investigating accident at Patton and 10th when he stopped man to ask questions pertaining to accident. Mrs. Clemons pinpointed this for me.

Your call has made me turn back to the police testimony in regard to the fingerprint-paraffin, etc. I am sure that Lee was fingerprinted just before the wax was applied. Whether there are nitrates in the ink or not, I don't know. Surely some lab in NY could tell. It may be, however, that there are upteen brands of fingerprint ink. The fact that this was denied by the man in charge relates entirely in my opinion to the WAY the question was put to him: Have you stopped beating your wife, etc.

Saw Novel's baloney remark that he had not appeared on the NBC Show because he (Novel) was an employee of NBC at this time and ethics prevented him from appearing. Balls. Did this prevent NBC from even mentioning his name? You know Sylvia when I was in grade school I got my fill of this type of reasoing. Is it ever going to be the case that the world and the people in it will grow up? I am so tired of baby-reasoing, passed off as great eternal verities.

You have no idea how happy your call made me. It changed my whole day. I had been a little discouraged lately, but am beginning to look foreward to a move to San Francisco (spring, '68). I want so much to be in a city where I can participate in things, even if what I do is not terribly significant. I want to go to Chinatown and eat. I want to go to Sausilito and eat seafood. I want to go to plays and college festivals and generally get "involved"--and not with men. I am happy the way I am, for the first time in 23 years I am going to be able to say out loud without Mark getting mad at me: "No, I don't approve of the free enterprise system. I think it is a pile of shit." I am so S-I-C-K of false values! I am so sick of Oklahomans, those "kind hearts and gentle people" who are more deadly than the Cobra.

Much love, S.