

Rec'd  
10/14/66

The following bothers me:

H L Hunt the Texas supermillionaire is taking a hand in South Vietnamese politics. Last month Hunt met privately with the South Vietnamese ambassador to the US. And when Saigon's new constituent assembly meets next year to write a constitution, delegates will have in hand freshly translated copies of a model constitution for "people of emerging nations", taken from Hunt's novel "Alpaca." It contains oilman's Hunt's superconservative ideas on how to run a country. (Sample proviso: extra votes for richer, better-educated citizens.) Hunt claims he helped save the Dominican Republic from Juan Bosch, Guyana from Cheddi Jagan and Indonesia from Sukarno. NEWSWEEK, Oct. 17, 1966

My first question is: Would HL Hunt have been able during the Administration of John F. Kennedy to take "a hand in South Vietnamese politics"?

2. Have you read "Alpaca"? It is not new, has been out a long time.

3. Do you have the background on HL Hunt's activities for LBJ during 1960 convention?

4. Do you have background on his activities during 1964 convention?

I am strangely drawn to his inference that he influenced the course of history for Guyana, the Dom. Rep., and Indonesia. I am inclined to feel he should take the credit for Algeria too. I believe as far as his fortune is concerned, there is a daily accrument of \$1,000,000. Money is no object. I have been interested in the fact that he owns McCall's magazine which did such an interesting job on Mother in History. Mr. Hunt writes regularly to the Dallas Morning News and on occasion to the Tulsa World, both highly conservative newspapers. In these letters Mr. Hunt gloats enormously over the sensational effects his organized foreign letter-writing program is having. It was these "letters" he claims that swung Guyana and the Dom. Rep. away from Jagan and Bosch. What does this mean, I wonder?

In person, Mr. Hunt is obviously insane. I mean that literally. The man is clinically insane. He has an office on Elm Street (not far from the TSBDB); he takes a small paper bag <sup>from home</sup> with a sandwich in it for lunch. He does not believe in paying restaurant prices. His sons are all bastards, figuratively. As you recall, Jack Ruby has connotations with the Hunts. Knowing what we know of Ruby, it is hard not to believe that he did not teady up to the Hunts in Dallas. Jack always liked to be where the money-action was.

Hunt dresses deplorably. He wears shabby old brown clothes. People hardly recognize him, he looks so unlike what he is, almost enfeebled. It is my opinion that IF there is anything to the military-industrial coup that Penn ~~for~~ thinks accomplished the assassination, then HL Hunt is the monied head of it. So many strange things have been happening around the

world--I think we all know ~~how~~ what happened and is happening in Indonesia. Who is next? Castro? Cuba? Since the maneuvers are undoubtedly CIA, I would easily believe that the CIA is receiving much money and influence from Hunt. Due to the homosexual blackmail Hunt probably has on LBJ, Hunt may be actually pulling the White House strings. I agree with Penn that the Jenkins case was a "fix" in order to warn LBJ that unless he (LBJ) toed someone's line he would be next. There may even be films, pictures in existence.

How far can the imagination go? Are there subtle threats made that no one understands, or is interested in, save the parties involved? Was the death of Skakel (Ethel Kennedy's brother) another warning to RFK? What is the real story behind the strange death of Jim Moyers? Do you have any clues as to what happened to Ralph Bunche's daughter?

None of these people, Skakel, Bunche's daughter, Moyer's brother, mean anything in particular (beyond a brief line in the papers) to the American public; there is not nearly the suspicion aroused or the public outcry made for an investigation of something rotten-in-Denmark for them as there would be if RFK or Bunche were killed, or even Bill Moyers. Yet, RFK, Bunche, Lbj have all had their warnings by these things. If they are aware of vague threats, or unfortunate remarks, then these deaths may mean something to them. Everything is conjecture. I try hard not to be too imaginative. Therefore I am probably just as wrong as I can be. And I hope so. The Skakel death however aroused my curiosity because the pilot Warrent Officer (permanent Air Force) Don W Adams, 35, was from my husband's home town. My mother-in-law wrote that she was preparing the funeral breakfast for the wife, a young British girl with no relatives in this country.

Why was ~~Skakel~~ Skakel flying with one of the country's top CIA men and piloted by an air force officer? I suppose there is a perfectly logical explanation. I wish I had it. The boy's aunts (Adams') say the plane was too heavily loaded, which I suppose is reasonable. Was the CIA man there by innocent friendship, etc., coincidence, or was he there to make sure Skakel GOT somewhere and then was made premeditatively expendable? Sometimes I think I am getting too silly for my own good and I entrust you with these ramblings only because I know you won't expose me to ridicule. Must run. Love, S.

Hunt, by the way, was a '64 Congressional target of JFK's ~~tax~~ on income tax evasion. There was a flurry in the '64-'65 session in this regard, but I can't remember whether there was any definitive action. Is he (Hunt) still getting away with paying something like \$2,000 a year TOTAL income tax on a \$365,000,000 a year accrument? Maybe (as Buchanan remarked) this was one of the assassin's real targets?

Have I sent you what Lonnie Hudkins said to me about Hunt and Castro and Jagan? If not, please say and I will send. The remark by Hudkins was witnessed. I think Buchanan put this in his American edition. Do you have it?