

Sept. 10,
1966

(mm)

Sylvia: Being discouraged is a natural result of months of hard work. It happens to everyone. Still, you know very well that you will snap back; no matter what Sauvage might say (for example) there are plenty of us who appreciate great effort and detail--and if I had any objection to Sauvage's book at all, it was because I felt he had not researched the Hearings sufficiently for himself. But I think, as I told you, I have a small prejudicial grudge against Sauvage which I try to fight: I wrote to him months ago and received not even a courteous note in reply. Whereas Buchanan (for example) and Joesten has always treated me like an equal human being with the right to courteous treatment; thus I fancy defending them more than I fancy defending Sauvage. Actually I felt Sauvage's Oswald and the Mathematician chapter unnecessary; we will get no where at all if we spend our time attacking one another. On the other hand, I appreciated Sauvage's attention to the Walker shooting, which most of the other writers have ignored. (Sauvage left a number of extremely important points out of his coverage of the Walker Affair; still, I figured this was for want of space.)

I am not going to re-type this; when I do take time to re-type it delays my getting the letter out. I am anxious to get word to you because I want you to know how much many of us appreciate your attention to detail and because you are one of the most talented and needed workers on this lousy case. Believe me, Sylvia, never feel low again; when you hear praise such as I hear about you from Salandria, Penn, Feldman, etc., you ~~will~~ ^{should} never lack confidence.

I haven't seen the Bogard article yet; but I am anxious to read it. Since

(over)

you are not about to make fun of me for silly questions (on detail), let me ask you one that I'm sure you can answer easily but which has been bugging the hell out of me. (I am often silent in front of the Great, Male Minds ~~of~~ on questions that seem inconsequential. Lane once cut me down to size, not really meaning to, on one of my pet theories and it hurt. This had to do with the prediction made by Lee a week before the event, in a letter to VT Lee, of the street fight with anti-Castro forces. I felt I had something on this, but when I pointed it out to Lane he brushed it aside. Naturally I was hurt, but if we are going to be this easily hurt, we'd better get the hell out of this game. JFK always said: "When the going gets tough, the tough get going.")

My question: Why did Lee Oswald write the name of Jack Bowen (fellow employee at ~~the Jagers-Chiles~~ Jagers-Chiles-Stovall) on his library card? Lee gave his address at Elsbeth and his own name, but the WR states: "The card reflected the name of Jack Bowen." We do not have to put another name on our library cards here in Tulsa. Jack Bowen is a young man who occasionally uses the alias Ceasar Grossi (by memory, may be incorrect); but as you know there is an older John Bowen in the case, alias Albert Osborne, allegedly on the bus with Lee ~~in~~ in Mexico; Osborne denied same although other witnesses testify Lee and Bowen, alias Osborne, spoke together. Osborne, alias Bowen, is older man from Alabama who claimed to have been in Spain Nov 13-28 (?) 1963. Postcard from him to friends sent from Sapin; However, FBI could NOT find trace of his departure from this country. Osborne has relationship with America Firsters who keep up correspondence with G K Smith, Billy Hargis (Tulsa), etc., at Oaxaca. (My opinion) Jagers Chiles Stovall had security clearance. Talked to De Mohrenschildt's landlady ^{who says} said his friend was named "Osborne."

large anti-Castro training base here

cop employee of the and Bowen who had alias (?)

Am racing to get to doctors. Will write again tonight. STOP being discouraged.

