

97 Beaumont St.
Newtonville, Mass.
August 7, 1967

Mr. Charles Wyckoff
E G & G
95 Brookline Street
Boston, Mass.

Dear Mr. Wyckoff:


To date I have received no reply to my letter of July 24, in which I repeated my earlier request for clarification on a number of questions concerning your analysis of the Zapruder film. I do not know whether your lack of response indicates that you do not intend to do so, or only that the press of regular responsibilities has thus far prevented it.

Obviously, the conclusions drawn from your work by CBS, conclusions with which Mr. Kelley has stated you concur, are very important to the maintenance of the three-shot single-assassin theory. As you know, my own study of these films as well as other evidence lead me to believe that some of those conclusions are not tenable.

Although -- as I told you during our first conversation -- I am neither a physicist nor a professional photo-analyst, I had hoped that the material presented to you at that time would demonstrate that my own work did represent a serious study, regardless of the validity of my conclusions. Therefore, I had further hoped that your own understanding of the importance of this shattering event (an understanding which led you to undertake your analysis for CBS) would also lead you to understand the necessity of adequately responding to serious questions pertaining to that analysis.

Again, I respectfully request that you do so. May I please hear from you soon; hopefully, with your replies to my questions, but if not, at least a brief note of your intentions in this matter?

Very truly yours,


Raymond J. Marcus

copy: Mr. Leo M. Kelley, Esq.