

Nov 24, 1966

Dear Sylvia,

I received the three glossies of the Nix frame. Many thanks. I'll send you the blowup when I get them next week.

I agree the Life article is ~~an~~ a great breakthrough. But from the tone I didn't feel they had come over to our side. I still can't believe that, even after talking to Ed Keftn and learning that he had written the piece; although as contradictory as it sounds, I believe as of now he is sincere in wanting a thorough re-investigation. I think at least part of his conservative tone in the article (reasonable doubt that someone in addition to Oswald), is a tactical ploy in order to get it printed. He didn't explicitly say so, but I suspect that is the case from our conversation. I hope he's contacted you, as he said he would.

Re our differing interpretations of what Frazier means in re 235-240, I think I see the reason. It's in the first part of his statement:

"Now, this obviously indicates that the Governor in between frame 235 and frame 240 has turned from facing completely forward in the car around to the right . . . "

I took this to mean that frame 235 is the beginning point indicated by F in his turn from ". . . facing completely forward", and that 240 is the end point in the turn "around to the right". I believe my interpretation is correct because F says:

"In fr. 235 . . . the Gov. . . was also (as in 231, rm) facing too far, too much towards the front."

Then he says:

"In fr. 240 the Governor again could not have been shot . . . because he is turned in this case too far to the right".

It seems to me that if at the beginning point, C is too far one way, and at the end point he is too far the opposite way, then at some point in that movement he has turned his back through ~~gh~~ the required position F is talking about. (I don't agree with F on this, because I feel by 236 he is already turning toward the right, and that by time his shoulder is suddenly depressed at 238 he no longer could have been hit from the TSBD).

The current Studies on the Left hasn't reached here yet, and Nov 22 Wall Street Journal was sold out. I intend to get one from their local office tomorrow.

The enclosed letter to Penn was in response to his request that I give him some material for his debate with Cohen in Boston a few days from now. I'm sure he told me you were to participate also, but I forget to ask you about it, and you didn't mention it on the phone-- so I'm not clear you will or not.