Dear Ray,

I was very glad to hear from you directly, for the first time, and I thank you very much for sending me the presentation. Maggie must have overlooked it, for as I told Lillian on the phone I had never seen it before.

It seems to me that our interpretations are very similar. I placed Mrs K's sharp turn a little later than you do, but the principle is the same and it seems undeniable to me that the shot was fired while the car was obscured by the tree.

I have appreciated all your presentations, especially the one dealing with the head shot. Again, thanks.

Do you know what is going on at RAMPARTS? It would be very crushing if they do not go through with their announced plan of serving as a forum beginning with the April issue...but April is only one month away now, and I've heard nothing to indicate that there will be an article on the WR in the next issue.

Someone called my attention to a brief news item in the NY Times a few days ago, reporting that at the annual meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences there was some forthright criticism of the WR. I have written to the Academy asking for the proceedings. The critics included a psychiatrist, who was appalled at the pronouncements made by the WC without competent advice about LHO's psyche; apparently he felt that there was no validity in the WC's conclusions about his motivation etc. Another critic, a lawyer, was dissatisfied with the autopsy report, which he said was "uncorroborated," as I recall (I don't have the article with me).

If I get the proceedings, I will let you all know. Please let me hear from you again, I am glad we are in touch after all this time.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Meagher 302 West 12 St NYC 10014 Code 212 Chelsea 2-4293