

NEW ORLEANS STATES - ITEM

Monday, May 27, 1968



TWENTY-SEVEN

DREW PEARSON

Notes Show RFK Okayed Snooping

WASHINGTON — Sen. Robert F. Kennedy has denied that when attorney general he authorized the wiretapping or bugging of Dr. Martin Luther King, or on any other of the FBI's eavesdropping activity.

In a conversation with the authors of this column (Editor's note: Jack Anderson is Pearson's associate.) last January, he denied that he knew

anything about the FBI's eavesdropping. In referring to the bugging of lobbyist Fred Black's room in the Sheraton-Carlton Hotel he said he had not known about it until he read it in the newspapers.

Kennedy also denied having anything to do with the investigation of Bobby Baker, the ex-Senate secretary who may have been investigated for the

purpose of linking him with the then vice president, Lyndon Johnson. Baker and Fred Black were intimate friends, and President Johnson has been convinced that Kennedy launched the Black probe as a means of knocking LBJ off his brother's 1964 ticket. Kennedy, however denied this.

"If I had ordered and investigation of Bobby Baker," he told us, "someone would have written a memorandum to that effect; and there is no such memo."

IT IS NOT pleasant to be in the position of challenging the word of a member of the Kennedy family. In all deference to the former attorney general, however, there exist some important memos in the Justice Department which show conclusively that he did have knowledge of eavesdrop-

ping and that he authorized part of it.

One memo was written on July 16, 1963, after Kennedy had requested a wiretap be placed on the phone of Dr. Martin Luther King. The memo explained how the FBI had persuaded Kennedy to change his mind — for the time being.

Another is a written notation on an FBI report on the bugging of Fred Black's room in the Carlton hotel. This eavesdropping was recorded in various memos in which FBI agents gave details on extensive conversations pertaining to the political affairs of Sen. Mike Monroney and Rep. Carl Albert of Oklahoma, Rep. Gerald Ford of Michigan, the legislative operations of President Johnson in getting bills through Congress, and the placing of

North American Aviation and General Dynamics defense plants in certain congressional districts in order to help friendly congressmen. The monitored conversations also unearthed the fact that Bobby Baker was a frequent caller at Black's apartment.

There was also some activity which FBI agents noted very discreetly as "sex activity with female."

These reports were definitely submitted to Attorney General Kennedy and he appeared to be very much interested in them. For he scribbled a notation on one report to Courtney Evans to keep him further advised.

Courtney Evans was then an assistant director of the FBI and liaison man between

the FBI and the attorney general's office.

Perhaps the most significant document involving Attorney General Kennedy in eavesdropping, however, was an FBI memo dated July 7, 1961, which reviewed the FBI's eavesdropping policy. This policy was to use bugging or "electronic devices" for crime investigation and wiretaps for national security cases; in other words, the policy was to listen in on foreign agents and potential spies. Kennedy was represented as "pleased" over the way the FBI was carrying on electronic eavesdropping.

The memo may explain why Bobby Kennedy has been so confident that no eavesdrop memos with his name signed to them exist in the Justice Department today. For he expressed the wish that the records be returned to the FBI and kept under "the special security conditions which only the FBI had."

THE MEMO is signed by FBI liaison man Courtney Evans, who became Bobby's close friend and was entertained socially in the Kennedy home. Addressed to Alan H. Belmont, assistant director of the FBI, the memo reads:

"In line with the director's approval, the attorney general was contacted this morning, July 7, 1961, relative to his observation as to the possibility of utilizing 'electronic devices' in organized crime investigations.

"It was pointed out to the attorney general that we had taken action with regard to the use of microphone surveillances in these cases and, while they represented an expensive investigative step, we were nevertheless utilizing them in all instances where this was technically feasible and where valuable information might be expected. The strong objections to the utilization of telephone taps as contrasted to microphone surveillances was stressed. The attorney general stated he



DREW PEARSON