The Editors Look 488 Madison Ave New York, N.Y. 10022

That was a savage and unfair attack on <u>Inquest</u> in your issue of (date). Fletcher Knebel has tried to lure his readers like sheep to the pasture of uncritical faith in the Warren Report--and only sheep would follow, in the face of massive and overpowering new evidence of its corruptness, for which we are indebted to Edward Jary Epstein. Knebel should better have demanded of his Commission friends that

they place before the public some of the documents which have been suppressed from the published Exhibits and, so far as is known, from the National Archives as well----(1) the Secret Service report with the control number 767 dealing with allegations that Oswald was on the FBI payroll; (2) the Secret Service survey report intended to accompany its album of reenactment photographs, which may indicate whether or not the Secret Service was trying to determine on December 5, 1963 (with the autopsy report in its hands) how the President was shot in the front from the back, as the press reported at the time; (3) the reports of the FBI agents who were present during the autopsy; (4) the reports of FBI and Secret Service interviews with the doctors at Parkland Hospital; (5) the transcripts of radio and television tapes of statements by Dr. Malcolm Perry and his colleagues about the President's wounds and the nature of the wound at the Adam's apple in particular; and (6) above all, the photographs taken during the autopsy and never seen, even by the Warren Commission, which may show us whether the bullet wound in the President's back is located where the Commission says it is, or where the burden of evidence suggests.

Yours sincerely,

(will try to get William Crehen to send)