

D R A F T

(not before) June 28, 1966

Editors, Look Magazine  
488 Madison Ave NYC 10022

That was a savage and unfair attack on Edward J. Epstein's book INQUEST in your issue of July 12. Fletcher Knebel has tried to lure his readers like sheep to the pasture of uncritical faith in the Warren Report--and only sheep would follow, in the face of the shocking new evidence of the Report's corruptness, for which we are indebted to Epstein.

Knebel should better have demanded of his Commission friends that they place before the public some of the documents which have been suppressed from the published Exhibits and, so far as is known, from the National Archives as well, for example:

1. The Secret Service report with the control number 767 dealing with allegations that Oswald was on the FBI payroll;
2. The Secret Service survey report intended to accompany its album of ~~photographs~~ reenactment photographs (Commission Exhibit 875), but missing, which may indicate whether or not the Secret Service was trying to determine on December 5, 1963 --with the autopsy report in its hands--how the President was shot in the front from the back, as the press reported at the time;
3. The reports of the FBI agents who were present during the autopsy;
4. The reports of FBI and Secret Service interviews with doctors at Parkland Hospital;
5. The transcripts of radio and television tapes of statements by Dr. Malcolm Perry and his colleagues at Parkland Hospital about the location and nature of the President's wounds, and the wound at the Adam's apple in particular; and
6. Above all, the photographs taken during the autopsy and never seen, even by the Warren Commission, which may show whether the bullet wound in the President's back is located where the Commission says, or where all the evidence suggests it really is.

Yours sincerely,