The Editors LOOK 488 Madison Avenue New York, N.Y. 10022

Dear Sirs,

There is an amusing paradox in Fletcher Knebel's article, "A New Wave of Doubt." Knebel was so obsessed with an attempt to demolish the undemolishable that he inadvertently and unthinkingly provided an important new piece of data which greatly advances the propositions posed by Edward Jay Epstein in his book Inquest.

Epstein had merely presented evidence which suggested that the FBI was in possession of the autopsy report when it wrote its own two Reports contradicting the official description of the back wound. Knebel has now provided a definite date—December 23, 1963—on which the autopsy was transmitted to the FBI. He has thus corroborated what Epstein inferred: that the FBI was in possession of the autopsy findings before writing its Supplemental Report of January 13, 1964. In that Report, the FBI again described the wound in terms utterly incompatible with the autopsy report that later appeared (for the first time) as an appendix to the Warren Report. Was that autopsy report identical with the one sent to the FBI on December 23?

The case for a fabricated or adulterated autopsy report which Knebel strained so hard to disparage is only further buttressed, thanks to his self-defeating industriousness.

Youns sincerely,

302 West 12 Street

New York, N.Y. 10014